# Contracts and Purchasing Board County Administration Building, 4<sup>th</sup> Floor December 27, 2011 11:30 PM

I. Call to Order

- II. Review and Approve Minutes
- III. Public Comment
- IV. Contracts and Awards
- A. Tabled Items

Item	Requestor	Description	Board Action
CPB2011- 347	Sheriff's Department	<ol> <li>Provide detailed information about why you need to acquire these goods or services.</li> <li>Caliper Corporation's software is an integrated part of the Common Pleas and Fiscal Office case management system.</li> <li>It was a collaborative effort with the Prosecutor's Office, the Clerk's Office and the Sheriff's Office to process subpoenas. This software calculates mileage fees for the Sheriff's Civil Division.</li> </ol>	
		2. Has your department bought these goods/IT services I the past? The maintenance for the TransCAD software was purchased from Caliper Corporation as sole source. The last purchase date was March 2010 at a cost of \$990 which covered two licenses.	
		3. Why are the requested goods/services the only ones that can satisfy your requirements? N/A – This is a proprietary maintenance agreement.	
		<b>4. Were alternative goods/IT services evaluated?</b> N/A – This is a proprietary maintenance agreement.	
		<b>5. What efforts were made to get the best possible price?</b> No discount pricing was offered because the vendor stated that until this year, they had not raised prices in 22 years.	
		6. Will this purchase obligate Cuyahoga County to this vendor for future purchases, for example maintenance, licensing or continuing need?	
		<ul> <li>N/A – This is a proprietary maintenance agreement.</li> <li>7. Why is the price for this purchase considered to be fair and reasonable?</li> <li>N/A – This is a proprietary maintenance agreement.</li> </ul>	Approve Disapprove Hold
CPB2011- 370	Office of Procurement & Diversity	Recommending an award: Department of Development/Airport Division 1) on RQ21263 to Na-Churs Plant Food Company dba Nachurs Alpine Solutions (4-2) in the amount of \$35,200.00 for runway deicing fluid for the period 11/1/2011 - 10/31/2012.	Approve Disapprove
		Funding Source: 100% General Fund	Hold

### B. Scheduled Items

Item	Requestor	Description	Board Action
CPB2011- 388	Department of Public Works	Submitting an amendment to Contract No. CE0400036-03 with Iron Mountain Information Management, Inc. for underground record storage for the period 11/1/2003 - 10/31/2010 to exercise an option to extend the time period to 10/31/2011, to change the terms, effective 11/1/2010, and for additional funds in the amount not-to-exceed \$16,327.20. Funding Source: 100% General Fund	Approve Disapprove Hold
CPB2011-	Department of	Submitting a contract with Greater Cleveland Partnership in	
389	Public Works	the amount not-to-exceed \$40,000.00 for the Build Up Greater Cleveland Program for the period 1/1/2011 - 12/31/2011. Funding Source: 100% Road & Bridge Fund and Sanitary Sewer District Fund	Approve Disapprove Hold
CPB2011- 390	Department of Development	Submitting an agreement with City of Shaker Heights in the amount not-to-exceed \$57,300.00 for reimbursement of expenses for demolition of various blighted structures, located in Shaker Heights, in connection with the Neighborhood Stabilization Grant Program for the period 10/19/2011 - 10/19/2012: a) 3553 Chelton Road b) 3554 Chelton Road	
		c) 3630 Menlo Road Funding Source: 100% Federal Neighborhood Stabilization Program Funds	Approve Disapprove Hold
CPB2011- 391	Department of Development	Submitting a contract with Allenbey Construction Co., LLC in the amount of \$10,580.00 for Lead Remediation for property located at 15137 Elderwood Avenue, East Cleveland, in connection with the FY2010 Lead-Based Paint Hazard Control and Lead Hazard Reduction Demonstration Grant Program for the period 12/19/2011 - 5/31/2012.	Approve
		Funding Source: 100% U.S. Dept. of Housing and Urban	Disapprove Hold
CPB2011- 392	Department of Development	Development Lead Hazard Remediation Grant Submitting a contract with Trademark Industries, LLC in the amount of \$12,206.00 for Lead Remediation for property located at 5697 Longwood Avenue, Maple Heights, in connection with the FY2010 Lead-Based Paint Hazard Control and Lead Hazard Reduction Demonstration Grant Program for the period 12/19/2011 - 5/31/2012.	Hold
		Funding Source: 100% U.S. Dept. of Housing and Urban Development Lead Hazard Remediation Grant	Disapprove

CPB2011-	Department of	Submitting a contract with Jerry Kuhn's Kwik Car Wash, Inc.	
393	Development	in the amount of \$8,521.00 for exterior improvements in	
		connection with a Storefront Renovation Rebate Program	
		project located at 6761 West 130th Street, Parma Heights,	
		for the period 12/14/2011 - 6/11/2012.	Approve
			Disapprove
		Funding Source: 100% General Fund	Hold
CPB2011-	Information	Submitting a contract with Emerson Network Power,	
394	Services Center	Liebert Services, Inc. in the amount not-to-exceed	
		\$5,464.63 for maintenance on Liebert equipment for the	
		period 10/15/2011 - 10/14/2012.	Approve
		Funding Source: 100% Conoral Fund	Disapprove
0000044		Funding Source: 100% General Fund	Hold
CPB2011-	Information	Submitting a contract with VertiQ Software, LLC, sole	
395	Services Center	source, in the amount not-to-exceed \$9,000.00 for	
		maintenance on the Case Management System for the	
		County Coroner for the period 9/1/2011 - 8/31/2012.	Approve
		Funding Source: 100% General Fund	Disapprove
0002044		-	Hold
CPB2011-	Department of	Submitting a contract with American Center for Conflict	
396	Workforce	Resolution Institute, Inc. dba Lakewood College in the	
	Development	amount not-to-exceed \$2,400.00 for the On-the-Job	
		Training Program for the period 11/7/2011 - 3/31/2012.	Approvo
		Funding Source: 100% Federal Workforce Investment Act	Approve Disapprove
		Funds	Hold
CPB2011-	Juvenile Court	Submitting a contract with MST Group, LLC, dba MST	
397	Juverme Court	Services, sole source, in the amount not-to-exceed	
557		\$14,000.00 for franchise licenses for the Multi-Systemic	
		Therapy Program for the period $7/1/2011$ to $6/30/2012$ .	
			Approve
		Funding Source: Juvenile Court portion – 100% Title IVE	Disapprove
		Revenue Funds. DCFS portion – 100% General Fund	Hold
CPB2011-	Juvenile Court	With Division of Children & Family Services, submitting a	
398		contract with Case Western Reserve University on behalf of	
		The Begun Center for Violence Prevention Research and	
		Education, sole source, in the amount not-to-exceed	
		\$76,500.00 for the Multi-Systemic Therapy Training and	
		Consultation Program for the period 7/1/2011 - 6/30/2012.	
			Approve
		Funding Source: Juvenile Court portion – 100% Title IVE	Disapprove
		Revenue Funds. DCFS portion – 100% General Fund	Hold
CPB2011-	Department of	Submitting an amendment to a grant award in the amount	
399	Public Safety	of \$286,072.00 from Ohio Department of Youth Services for	
	and Justice	the Juvenile Justice and Delinquency Prevention Program	
	Services	for the period 1/1/2010 - 9/30/2011 to extend the time	
		period to 6/30/2012.	
			Approve
		Funding Source: 100% Ohio Department of Youth Services	Disapprove
		Funds	Hold

CPB2011- 400	Department of Public Safety and Justice Services	Submitting an amendment to a grant award in the amount of \$247,240.00 from Ohio Department of Youth Services for the FY2009 Juvenile Accountability Block Grant Program for the period 1/1/2010 - 12/31/2011 to extend the time period to 6/30/2012.	
		Funding Source: 100% Ohio Department of Youth Services Funds	Approve Disapprove Hold
CPB2011- 401	Department of Health and Human Services	Division of Office of Early Childhood, submitting contract with Boost Creative Marketing, LLC in the amount not-to- exceed \$1,500.00 for development of a logo for the FAMILease Project for the period 12/15/2011 - 2/15/2012.	Approve
		Funding Source: 100% Donations from Private Organizations	Disapprove Hold
CPB2011- 402	Department of Health and Human Services	Community Initiatives Division, Office of Early Childhood, recommending an award on RQ21248 to Berea Children's Home and Family Services in the amount not-to-exceed \$33,595.00 for development of a curriculum for alleviating maternal distress in high risk populations for the Positively Moms Initiative in connection with the William J. and Dorothy K. O'Neill Foundation for the period 12/1/2011 - 7/31/2012. (Contracts and Purchasing Board Approval No. CPB2011-18 - authority to seek proposals.)	Approve
		Funding Source: 100% Private Funds from the William J. and Dorothy K. O'Neill Foundation	Disapprove Disapprove Hold
CPB2011- 403	Department of Health and Human Services	<ul> <li>Division of Children &amp; Family Services, submitting amendments to contracts with various providers for placement services for the period 1/1/2011 - 9/30/2011:</li> <li>1) Contract No. CE1100052-01 with Adolescent Counseling &amp; Treatment 1, Inc. for a decrease in the amount of (\$60,000.00).</li> </ul>	
		2) Contract No. CE1100025-01 with START - Support To At- Risk Teens in the amount of \$60,000.00.	Approve
		Funding Source: 100% Health and Human Services Levy Funds	Disapprove Hold
CPB2011- 404	Department of Health and Human Services	Division of Senior & Adult Services, submitting a contract with Numara Software, Inc., in the amount not-to-exceed \$1,297.50 for maintenance on Track-It software for the Division of Senior & Adult Services for the period 9/24/2011 - 9/23/2012.	
		Funding Source: 100% Health and Human Services Levy Funds	Approve Disapprove Hold

CPB2011- 405	Department of Health and Human Services	Division of Employment & Family Services/Cuyahoga Support Enforcement Agency, submitting a contract with Human Services Associates, Inc. in the amount not-to- exceed \$8,500.00 for consultant services on revenue and expenditure reporting processes for the period 1/1/2012 - 12/31/2012.	
		Funding Source: 100% Federal Public Assistance Linkage Funds	Approve Disapprove Hold
CPB2011- 406	Department of Health and Human Services	Division of Employment & Family Services/Cuyahoga Support Enforcement Agency, submitting a state contract with International Business Machines Corporation in the amount not-to-exceed \$24,160.00 for maintenance and support on IBM Tivoli Storage Manager software for the period 12/19/2011 to 4/18/2012.	
		Funding Source: 100% Federal Public Assistance Linkage Funds	Approve Disapprove Hold

# C. Exemption Requests

C. Exemptio	n Requests		
CPB2011-	Department	Office of Early Childhood	
407	of Health and		
	Human	1. Description of Supplies or Services.	
	Services	To serve as the system coordinator for the Special Needs	
		Child Care program. As the System Coordinator, Starting Point	
		will: 1) Provide service coordination for families with children	
		with special needs who are in need of child care, and 2)	
		Coordinate the provisions of technical assistance and training	
		to consultants working with child care providers and	
		providers themselves in order to increase the system's	
		capacity to serve children with special needs. As the System	
		Coordinator, Starting Point will contract with community-	
		based agencies, through an RFP process, to provide technical	
		assistance, consultation, and training to child care providers.	
		2. Estimated Dollar Value	
		\$1,832,782.00 for the 2012 budget year.	
		3. Rationale Supporting the Use of the Selected	
		Procurement Method	
		Starting Point is designated by the Ohio Department of Job	
		and Family Services (ODJFS) as the child care resource and	
		referral agency for service delivery area 3, which	
		encompasses Cuyahoga, Lake, Geauga, and Ashtabula	
		counties. Starting Point was selected through an RFP process	
		conducted by ODJFS in 2009. As the state-designated child	
		care resource and referral agency for Cuyahoga County,	
		Starting Point provides assistance to parents needing child	
		care for their children; child care providers in need of	
		technical assistance, training, and professional development;	
		and employers seeking quality child care for their employees.	

	l		
		4. What other available options and/or vendors were	
		evaluated? If none, include the reasons why.	
		Starting Point is the agency designated by ODJFS to serve as	
		the child care Resource and Referral agency in Cuyahoga	
		County. They are a unique, neutral resource and uniquely	
		suited to perform the functions of this contract as it is their	
		mandate to serve all child care entities in the county.	
		5. What ultimately lead you to this product or service? Why was the recommended vendor selected?	
		The services provided by Starting Point to Cuyahoga County under this contract are complementary to the services	
		provided by Starting Point to the Special Needs Child Care	
		program under their state contract and allow for better	
		coordination with statewide initiatives and planning	
		processes, including Healthy Child Care Ohio administered by	
		the Ohio Child Care Resource and Referral Association of	
		which Starting point is a network member. Thus, by utilizing	
		the designated R and R we are leveraging the state's funding	
		and creating a well-coordinated system.	
		6. Provide an explanation of unacceptable delays in	
		fulfilling the County's need that would be incurred if award	
		was made through a competitive bid.	
		Delays in implementation of these components of the	
		program would result in a lack of adequate training and	
		technical assistance for providers who are currently serving	
		children thereby jeopardizing their ability to adequately meet	
		the needs children with specific concerns. In addition, the	
		system would be unable to respond to the need for new	
		placements of children with special needs.	
		7. Describe what future plans, if any, the County can take to	
		permit competition before any subsequent purchases of the	
		required supplies or services.	
		Use of the agency designated by ODJFS to provide child care	
		resource and referral services allows for better use and	
		coordination of services and allows the County to maximize	Approve
		state funding that flows to the County through the child care	Disapprove
		resource and referral system.	Hold
CPB2011- 408	Department of Health and	Office of Early Childhood	
	Human	1. Description of Supplies or Services.	
	Services	To serve as system coordinator of the Family Child Care Home	
		(FCCH) Regional system, known as Care for Kids, whose goal is	
		to improve the quality of care provided in FCCHs and assist	
		FCCHs out of compliance with health and safety regulations	
		per Employment and Family Services, to get back into	
		compliance. As the System Coordinator, Starting Point will	
		contract with community-based agencies through an RFP	
		process to serve as Regional Managers to implement the	
		activities of the FCCH Regional System.	

<ul><li><b>2. Estimated Dollar Value</b></li><li>\$2,038,762 for the 2012 budget year.</li></ul>
3. Rationale Supporting the Use of the Selected
Procurement Method
Starting Point is designated by the Ohio Department of Job and Family Services (ODJFS) as the child care resource and referral agency for service delivery area 3, which
encompasses Cuyahoga, Lake, Geauga, and Ashtabula counties. Starting Point was selected through an RFP process conducted by ODJFS in 2009. As the state-designated child
care resource and referral agency for Cuyahoga County, Starting Point provides assistance to parents needing child
care for their children; child care providers in need of
technical assistance, training, and professional development; and employers seeking quality child care for their employees.
4. What other available options and/or vendors were
evaluated? If none, include the reasons why. Starting Point is the agency designated by ODJFS to serve as
the child care Resource and Referral agency in Cuyahoga
County. They are a unique, neutral resource and uniquely
suited to perform the functions of this contract as it is their
mandate to serve all child care entities in the county.
5. What ultimately lead you to this product or service? Why
was the recommended vendor selected? The services provided by Starting Point to Cuyahoga County
under this contract are complementary to the services
provided by Starting Point to the FCCH Regional System under
their state contract and allow for better coordination with
statewide initiatives and planning processes. Thus, by
utilizing the designated R and R we are leveraging the state's
funding and creating a well-coordinated system.
6. Provide an explanation of unacceptable delays in fulfilling the Countr's need that would be incurred if award
fulfilling the County's need that would be incurred if award was made through a competitive bid.
Delays in implementation of these components of the
program would result in a delay in, and/or, absence of
services for family child care home providers needing training
and professional development in order to maintain
certification. This, in turn, would result in an interruption in
care for children served by these providers and could result in
the loss of employment for working parents who rely on FCCH settings to meet their child care needs. In addition,
there would be a delay in the technical assistance support
that allows FCCHs to maintain quality services for children in
their care.
7. Describe what future plans, if any, the County can take to
7. Describe what ruture plans, if any, the county can take to

		normit competition before any subsequent numberes of the	
		permit competition before any subsequent purchases of the required supplies or services.	
		Use of the agency designated by ODJFS to provide child care	
		resource and referral services allows for better use and	
		coordination of services and allows the County to maximize	Approve
		state funding that flows to the County through the child care	Disapprove
		resource and referral system.	Hold
CPB2011-	Department	Office of Early Childhood	
409	of Health and		
	Human	1. Description of Supplies or Services.	
	Services	To serve as system coordinator for the Early Care and	
		Education Center Capacity and Expansion program. As the	
		System Coordinator, Starting Point will be responsible for	
		maintaining an adequate number of child care slots in child	
		care centers or Type A Homes in high need neighborhoods by: 1) Maintaining child care supply and demand data to be	
		used for planning and reporting, and 2) Providing child care	
		center expansion and start-up technical assistance and	
		training to potential providers and employers.	
		2. Estimated Dollar Value	
		\$94,697.00 for the 2012 budget year.	
		3. Rationale Supporting the Use of the Selected	
		Procurement Method	
		Starting Point is designated by the Ohio Department of Job	
		and Family Services (ODJFS) as the child care resource and	
		referral agency for service delivery area 3, which	
		encompasses Cuyahoga, Lake, Geauga, and Ashtabula	
		counties. Starting Point was selected through an RFP process	
		conducted by ODJFS in 2009. As the state-designated child	
		care resource and referral agency for Cuyahoga County,	
		Starting Point provides assistance to parents needing child care for their children; child care providers in need of	
		technical assistance, training, and professional development;	
		and employers seeking quality child care for their employees.	
		4. What other available options and/or vendors were	
		evaluated? If none, include the reasons why.	
		Starting Point is the agency designated by ODJFS to serve as	
		the child care Resource and Referral agency in Cuyahoga	
		County. They are a unique, neutral resource and uniquely	
		suited to perform the functions of this contract as it is their	
		mandate to serve all child care entities in the county.	
		5. What ultimately lead you to this product or service? Why	
		was the recommended vendor selected?	
		The services provided by Starting Point to Cuyahoga County under this contract are complementary to the services	
		provided by Starting Point to the Early Care and Education	
		Center Capacity and Expansion program under their state	
		Center capacity and expansion program under their state	

			1
		contract and allow for better coordination with statewide	
		initiatives and planning processes. Thus, by utilizing the	
		designated R and R we are leveraging the state's funding and	
		creating a well-coordinated system.	
		6. Provide an explanation of unacceptable delays in fulfilling the County's need that would be incurred if award	
		was made through a competitive bid.	
		Delays in implementation of these components of the	
		program would result in a lack of adequate data to use in	
		ensuring that adequate child care is available to working	
		families. In addition, the system would be unable to respond	
		to the need for child care in low capacity neighborhoods due	
		to a lack of resources for expansion.	
		7. Describe what future plans, if any, the County can take to	
		permit competition before any subsequent purchases of the	
		required supplies or services.	
		Use of the agency designated by ODJFS to provide child care	
		resource and referral services allows for better use and	
		coordination of services and allows the County to maximize	Approve
		state funding that flows to the County through the child care	Disapprove
		resource and referral system.	Hold
CPB2011-	Department	Office of Early Childhood	
410	of Health and		
	Human	1. Description of Supplies or Services.	
	Services	To serve as the system coordinator of the Center Professional	
		Development System and administer the T.E.A.C.H.	
		scholarship program. As the System Coordinator, Starting	
		Point will develop programs and services that are core	
		elements to having a quality Early Care and Education	
		Professional Development System for Child Care Centers	
		including CDA training modules, train-the-trainer modules,	
		and Leadership Development training that align with the	
		State of Ohio Early Childhood Core Knowledge and	
		Competencies, Step Up To Quality and Center for Early	
		Childhood Development requirements. In addition, Starting	
		Point will assume responsibility for the planning, policy and	
		procedural development, oversight and administration of the	
		Teacher Education and Compensation Help (T.E.A.C.H.)	
		Program in conjunction with Cuyahoga County, the State of	
		Ohio, and the Ohio Child Care Resource and Referral Association.	
		2. Estimated Dollar Value	
		\$626,571 for the 2012 budget year.	
		3. Rationale Supporting the Use of the Selected	
		Procurement Method	
		Starting Point is designated by the Ohio Department of Job	
		and Family Services (ODJFS) as the child care resource and	
		referral agency for service delivery area 3, which	

1		
	encompasses Cuyahoga, Lake, Geauga, and Ashtabula	
	counties. Starting Point was selected through an RFP process	
	conducted by ODJFS in 2009. As the state-designated child	
	care resource and referral agency for Cuyahoga County,	
	Starting Point provides assistance to parents needing child	
	care for their children; child care providers in need of	
	technical assistance, training, and professional development;	
	and employers seeking quality child care for their employees.	
	4. What other available options and/or vendors were	
	evaluated? If none, include the reasons why.	
	Starting Point is the agency designated by ODJFS to serve as	
	the child care Resource and Referral agency in Cuyahoga	
	County. They are a unique, neutral resource and uniquely	
	suited to perform the functions of this contract as it is their	
	mandate to serve all child care entities in the county.	
	5 What ultimately load you to this product or convice? Why	
	5. What ultimately lead you to this product or service? Why	
	was the recommended vendor selected?	
	The services provided by Starting Point to Cuyahoga County	
	under this contract are complementary to the services	
	provided by Starting Point to the Center Professional	
	Development System and T.E.A.C.H. program under their	
	state contract and allow for better coordination with	
	statewide initiatives and planning processes. Thus, by	
	utilizing the designated R and R we are leveraging the state's	
	funding and creating a well-coordinated system.	
	6. Provide an explanation of unacceptable delays in	
	fulfilling the County's need that would be incurred if award	
	was made through a competitive bid.	
	Delays in implementation of these components of the	
	program would result in a delay in, and/or, absence of	
	services for center-based child care staff needing training,	
	technical assistance, and professional development in order	
	to maintain compliance with state licensing and quality rating	
	system requirements that allow centers to provide high-	
	quality care for children.	
	7. Describe what future plans, if any, the County can take to	
	permit competition before any subsequent purchases of the	
	required supplies or services.	
	Use of the agency designated by ODJFS to provide child care	
	resource and referral services allows for better use and	
	coordination of services and allows the County to maximize	Approve
	state funding that flows to the County through the child care	Disapprove
	resource and referral system.	Hold

CPB2011-	Department	1. Description of Supplies or Services.	
411	of Health and		
	Human Services	The AIDS Funding Collaborative (AFC) has been designated as the organization through which funds will be aggregated and distributed from local government and philanthropic organizations. The AFC provides financial support to community-based organizations in Cuyahoga County providing prevention, education, and treatment services to persons living with HIV/AIDS. Grants are made to agencies to support activities not fully funded or which are ineligible for support through other funding streams such as the federal Ryan White Part A program administered by the County. Support of the AIDS Funding Collaborative serves to meet the maintenance of effort requirements of the U. S. Dept. of Health and Human Services Ryan White Part A HIV/AIDS Emergency Services grant. The Center for Community Solutions is the fiscal agent responsible for distributing and accounting for funds	
		contributed to the AFC. 2. Estimated Dollar Value	
		\$150,000 - 100% HHS Levy Funds	
		3. Rationale Supporting the Use of the Selected Procurement Method	
		The AFC is the only established public-private partnership that addresses issues regarding service and funding gaps of People living with HIV/AIDS in this region. The AFC was established in the Fall of 1994 in response to a recommendation by the Citizens' Committee on HIV/AIDS to develop a "method of allocating and distributing community- based funding from local government, health departments, and the private sector." It is one of the more than 30 Community Partnerships of AIDS United. Since its inception, the AFC has leveraged and invested over \$8.1 million to support HIV/AIDS-related services, activities, and prevention efforts in the Greater Cleveland region.	
		4. What other available options and/or vendors were evaluated? If none, include the reasons why.	
		None. The Center for Community Solutions is the fiscal agent responsible for distributing and accounting for funds contributed to the AFC. The Funding Partners include: Alcohol, Drug Addiction, and Mental Health Services Board of Cuyahoga County, The City of Cleveland, The Cleveland Foundation, Cuyahoga County, The George Gund Foundation, Mt. Sinai Health Care Foundation, Saint Luke's Foundation and United Way of Greater Cleveland.	
		Support of the AIDS Funding Collaborative serves to meet the maintenance of effort requirements of the U. S. Dept. of	

		Health and Human Services Ryan White Part A HIV/AIDS	
		Emergency Services grant.	
		5. What ultimately lead you to this product or service? Why	
		was the recommended vendor selected?	
		was the recommended vehicle selected:	
		•The public/private partnership model focused on HIV/AIDS	
		remains relevant and essential to improving the health of the	
		community.	
		•The funding partnership model, where resources are pooled	
		for collaborative grant making, is the core strength upon	
		which the AFC is built.	
		•The AFC is committed to being flexible and adaptable. The	
		structure and functions of the AFC evolve to address	
		strategically the HIV/AIDS needs of the community.	
		•The AFC approaches its work proactively and strategically as	
		needs change and successful outcomes are reached in the	
		community for HIV/AIDS.	
		6. Provide an explanation of unacceptable delays in	
		fulfilling the County's need that would be incurred if award	
		was made through a competitive bid.	
		This is a public-private partnership that was created to	
		address gaps in services for people living with HIV/AIDS in a	
		collaborative manner. Cuyahoga County was one of the	
		founding partners.	
		7. Describe what future plans, if any, the County can take to	
		permit competition before any subsequent purchases of the	
		required supplies or services.	
		Competition would not be feasible as this is a public-private	Approve
		collaboration and inclusion of other partners is not	Disapprove
		prohibited.	Hold
CPB2011-	Department	Office of Reentry	
412	of Health and		
	Human	1. Description of Supplies or Services (If contract	
	Services	amendment, please identify contract term and/or scope	
		change)	
		The (4) contract providers will provide comprehensive case	
		management, direct services and referral services to	
		approximately (150) clients returning to Cuyahoga County	
		from incarceration in local prisons. Also, an outcome	
		evaluation will be conducted by CWRU to analyze data markers including: demographic, descriptive, and recruitment	
		data by site. The evaluation will also include an analysis of	
		exit interview data.	
		2. Estimated Dollar Value and Funding Source(s) including	
		percentage breakdown.	
		This is a grant-funded project. Funding was awarded to HHS	
		Office of Reentry by the U.S. Department of Justice for the	
1		Second Chance Act Adult Offender Reentry Program for	

Planning and Demonstration Projects.	
Total grant award: \$685,303.00	
2. Detionals Comparting the Use of the Colorted	
3. Rationale Supporting the Use of the Selected Procurement Method	
The quick turnaround time (30 to 45 days from	
announcement to deadline for application submittal) to	
submit a federal grant application does not allow the ability	
to submit a full RFP process.	
4. What other available options and/or vendors were	
evaluated? If none, include the reasons why.	
No other vendors were evaluated. The Office of Reentry	
requested approval to apply for the Second Chance Act Grant	
May 2011, and approval was granted by the Board of Control	
(EA2011-0831) and the County Executive Edward FitzGerald	
on June 20, 2011.	
The contract providers working with this grant have	
substantial and successful histories of working with local	
corrections facilities providing case management and other	
pre-release services.	
5. What ultimately led you to this product or service? Why	
was the recommended vendor selected?	
The U.S. Dept. of Justice submitted a Competitive Grant	
Announcement May 2011, seeking qualified applicants to	
apply for funding for the SCA grant, and the Office of Reentry	
in collaboration with the contract providers, submitted and	
received the grant award.	
The contract providers listed in this grant award are more	
than qualified to perform the services at the required level.	
These funds will assist in sustaining services already	
contracted for through previous \$Levy and \$Federal funds	
6. Provide an explanation of unacceptable delays in	
fulfilling the County's need that would be incurred if award	
was made through a competitive bid.	
Late start date for the grant award. The federal grant was	
awarded based upon a proposal that included these specific	
entities performing specific tasks to create a specific program	
and achieve specific outcomes. If we change the parameters	
and the components of the program that was awarded this	
grant, we will not be in compliance with the application that	
was submitted and approved by The U.S. Department of Justice. Outlined outcomes identified in the original	
application should not be altered as it would jeopardize the	
validity of the program components that were submitted in	
the grant application and potentially leading to negative	
findings that can negatively affect future federal funding.	
7. Describe what future plans, if any, the County can take to	
permit competition before any subsequent purchases of the	

		required supplies or services.	
		Allow for a modified and less formal selection process of pre-	Approve
		qualified vendors within a department. The timeframes of	Disapprove
		federal grants Do Not Allow for a lengthy formal RFP process.	Hold
CPB2011-	Department	Office of Homeless Services	
413	of Health and		
	Human	1. Description of Supplies or Services.	
	Services	EDEN, Inc. is the sole owner of 2227 Payne Avenue, which is	
		the location of the Norma Herr Women's Center (NHWC).	
		The County worked with community partners for over 8 years	
		to identify an appropriate site for a community women's	
		shelter that could provide safe, decent, shelter for 140 single	
		adult women. The search for such a site, eventually led the	
		County to take title to 2227 Payne Ave., which was located	
		next to 2219 Payne, which was owned by EDEN. The plan to	
		establish a community women's shelter focused on	
		combining these two properties into one building. EDEN	
		agreed to become the owner of the combined property. The	
		City, County, EDEN, and MHS, Inc. embarked on a four year	
		effort to raise funds, relocate the previous tenant of 2227	
		Payne, provide interim shelter during the renovation of the	
		two buildings, and then move clients back into the new	
		facility. NHWC has been opened as a renovated building	
		since November, 2010.	
		EDEN, Inc. has an established track record for property	
		management for special needs populations. Prior to taking	
		title to both buildings, EDEN had provided property	
		management services for the Community Women's Shelter	
		when it occupied two separate buildings.	
		As owner of 2227 Payne Ave., the building in which the	
		Norma Herr Women's Center is located, EDEN should be	
		acknowledged as the sole source provider for property	
		management services at that site.	
		2. Estimated Dollar Value	
		The estimated annual operating budget for the Norma Herr	
		Women's Center is \$185,521.00	
		3. Rationale Supporting the Use of the Selected	
		Procurement Method	
		EDEN, Inc. is the owner of the building in which the NHWC is	
		located. EDEN raised funds for the renovation of the	
		property and coordinated the architectural and construction	
		plans and development. Property management services are	
		reimbursed on an actual cost basis.	
		4. What other available options and/or vendors were	
		evaluated? If none, include the reasons why.	
		No other vendors were considered for property management	
		of the Women's Shelter because EDEN owns the property and	
		has the capacity and expertise to manage the facility.	

· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			
		5. What ultimately lead you to this product or service? Why	
		was the recommended vendor selected?	
		EDEN, Inc. took title to 2219 Payne in FY 2003 as a result of	
		the failure of the previous owner/ provider to fulfill its federal	
		grant management obligations to the U.S. Department of	
		Housing & Urban Development (HUD). Subsequently, the	
		county acquired 2227 Payne, located adjacent to 2219 Payne.	
		In order to maximize private foundation and public grant	
		support, title to 2227 Payne was transferred to EDEN so that	
		the properties could be combined, and the project could move forward.	
		6. Provide an explanation of unacceptable delays in	
		fulfilling the County's need that would be incurred if award	
		was made through a competitive bid.	
		The NHWC operates 365 days/year, on a 24/7 basis. It is	
		imperative that services are maintained without interruption.	
		7. Describe what future plans, if any, the County can take to	
		permit competition before any subsequent purchases of the	
		required supplies or services.	Approve
		As the Sole Source provider, there would not be a need to bid	Disapprove
		the property management contract.	Hold
CPB2011-	Public Safety	1. Vendor/Department Information	
414	and Justice	Department: Cuyahoga County Public Safety & Justice	
	Services	Services – Vendor/Contractor Name: TAC Computer	
		2. What is the product/service that you seek to acquire?	
		Software licenses from TAC Computer. These licenses are	
		sole source from TAC Computer as they must be compatible	
		with equipment and a system that is currently operational.	
		3. Will this purchase obligate Cuyahoga County to this or	
		any other vendor for future purchases, for example,	
		maintenance, licensing or continuing need?	
		If additional licenses are to be purchased, they will have to be	
		purchased through TAC Computer. Any maintenance would	
		only be paid through the performance period of the grant this	
		equipment is being requested from. The performance period	
		of the grant (FY10 SHSP-LE) expires on March 31, 2013.	
		4. Why do you need to acquire these goods or services?	
		The City of Ashtabula needs to procure additional mobile	
		tactical computers, antennas and MDT licenses for an early	
		identification technology system that allows for computer-	
		aided dispatch and mobile data for personnel in the field.	
		They will use funds awarded to them under the FY10 SHSP-LE	
		grant. This system has been fully operational since 2006. The	
		additional equipment will enable Patrol Officers for the City	
		of Ashtabula to be more efficient in the field. Mobile Tactical	
		Computers are devices used in law enforcement and other	
1		first responder vehicles to communicate with a central	

dispatch office. These devices allow officers in the field to access more information than they otherwise might have available to them and therefore, they are able to respond to incidents more effectively with more information and possibly help predict incident trends and patterns from the field instead of piecing all of the information together at a later date. <b>5. Why are the requested goods/services the only ones that</b> <b>can satisfy your requirements?</b> The City of Ashtabula's early identification system that allows for computer-aided dispatch and mobile data for personnel in the field began in 2004 and was implemented in 2005. The system was fully operational in 2006. TAC Computer has been the software provider from the beginning and purchasing software from another vendor would be cost- prohibitive in that it would no intermix and extra dollars would have to be spent to promote compatibility. The City of Ashtabula has a large investment in the current system, which is working well. They would just like to expand system use with the acquisition of additional equipment. <b>6. Were alternative goods/services evaluate?</b> The system was implemented in a prior Administration. The personnel involved with bringing the equipment to the City of Ashtabula have taken other positions or have retired. Current personnel with the City of Ashtabula had no control or influence over the selection of this vendor. However, there is no reason to believe that anything improper occurred with this procurement. The system works well and it would be cost prohibitive at this time to procure equipment that would not be compatible and would force changes to the system. <b>7. Identify specific steps taken to negate need for sole</b> source provider. As the equipment being procured is for a system that is currently operational no specific steps were taken to negate the need for sole source. The system works for the City of Ashtabula and they have invested in it. They are seeking to expand the system and need equipment that will ble compatible with		
<ul> <li>available to them and therefore, they are able to respond to incidents more effectively with more information and possibly help predict incident trends and patterns from the field instead of piecing all of the information together at a later date.</li> <li>S. Why are the requested goods/services the only ones that can satisfy your requirements?</li> <li>The City of Asttabula's early identification system that allows for computer-aided dispatch and mobile data for personnel in the field began in 2004 and was implemented in 2005. The system was fully operational in 2006. TAC Computer has been the software provider from the beginning and purchasing software from another vendor would be cost-prohibitive in that it would not intermix and extra dollars would have to be spent to promote compatibility. The City of Ashtabula has a large investment in the current system, which is working well. They would just like to expand system use with the acquisition of additional equipment.</li> <li>6. Were alternative goods/services evaluate?</li> <li>The system was implemented in a prior Administration. The personnel involved with bringing the equipment to the City of Ashtabula have taken other positions or have retired. Current personnel with the City of Ashtabula had no control or influence over the selection of this vendor. However, there is no reason to believe that anything improper occurred with this procurement. The system works well and it would be cost prohibitive at this time to procure equipment that would be cost prohibitive at this time to procure equipment that is currently operational no specific steps were taken to negate the need for sole source. The system works for the City of Ashtabula and they have invested in it. They are seeking to expand the system and need equipment that will be compatible with what is currently in place and operational.</li> <li>8. Has your department bought these goods/services in the past?</li> <li>The City of Ashtabula received mobile tactical comp</li></ul>	dispatch office. These devices allow officers in the field to	
<ul> <li>incidents more effectively with more information and possibly help predict incident trends and patterns from the field instead of piecing all of the information together at a later date.</li> <li>5. Why are the requested goods/services the only ones that can satisfy your requirements?         The City of Artibula's early identification system that allows for computer-aided dispatch and mobile data for personnel in the field began in 2004 and was implemented in 2005. The system was fully operational in 2006. TAC Computer has been the software provider from the beginning and purchasing software from another vendor would be cost-prohibitive in that it would not intermix and extra dollars would have to be spent to promote compatibility. The City of Ashtabul has a large investment in the current system, which is working well. They would just like to expand system use with the acquisition of additional equipment.</li> <li>6. Were alternative goods/services evaluate?         The system was implemented in a prior Administration. The personnel with the City of Ashtabul has to they not works well and it would be cost prohibitive at this time to procure equipment to the City of Ashtabula have taken other positions or have retired. Current personnel with the City of Ashtabula have taken other positions or have retired.</li> <li>Current personnel with the City of Ashtabula have to control or influence over the selection of this vendor. However, there is no reason to believe that anything improper occurred with this procurement. The system works well and it would be cost prohibitive at this time to procure equipment that would not be compatible and would force changes to the system.</li> <li>7. Identify specific steps taken to negate need for sole source provider.</li> <li>8. Has your department bought these goods/services in the past?</li> <li>The City of Ashtabula and percurred with this is currently in place and operational.</li> <li>8. Has your department bought these goods/servic</li></ul>	access more information than they otherwise might have	
<ul> <li>possibly help predict incident trends and patterns from the field instead of piecing all of the information together at a later date.</li> <li>S. Why are the requested goods/services the only ones that can satisfy your requirements?</li> <li>The City of Ashtabula's early identification system that allows for computer-aided dispatch and mobile data for personnel in the field began in 2004 and was implemented in 2005. The system was fully operational in 2006. TAC Computer has been the software provider from the beginning and purchasing software from another vendor would be cost-prohibitive in that it would not intermix and extra dollars would have to be spent to promote compatibility. The City of Ashtabula has a large investment in the current system, which is working well. They would just like to expand system use with the acquisition of additional equipment.</li> <li>G. Were alternative goods/services evaluated?</li> <li>The system was implemented in a prior Administration. The personnel involved with bringing the equipment to the City of Ashtabula have taken other positions or have retired. Current personnel with the City of Ashtabula had no control or influence over the selection of this vendor. However, there is no reason to believe that anything improper occurred with this procurement. The system works well and it would be cost prohibitive at this time to procure equipment that would no te compatible and would force changes to the system.</li> <li>J. Identify specific steps taken to negate need for sole source provider.</li> <li>As the equipment being procured is for a system that is currently operational no specific steps were taken to negate the need for sole source. The system works for the City of Ashtabula and they have invested in it. They are seeking to expand the system and need equipment that will be compatible with what is currently in place and operational.</li> <li>B. Has your department bought these goods/services in the past?</li> <li>The City of As</li></ul>	available to them and therefore, they are able to respond to	
field instead of piecing all of the information together at a later date.         5. Why are the requested goods/services the only ones that can satisfy your requirements?         The City of Ashtabula's early identification system that allows for computer-aided dispatch and mobile data for personnel in the field began in 2004 and was implemented in 2005. The system was fully operational in 2006. TAC Computer has been the software provider from the beginning and purchasing software from another vendor would be cost-prohibitive in that it would not intermix and extra dollars would have to be spent to promote compatibility. The City of Ashtabula has a large investment in the current system, which is working well. They would just like to expand system use with the acquisition of additional equipment.         6. Were alternative goods/services evaluate?         The system was implemented in a prior Administration. The personnel involved with bringing the equipment to the City of Ashtabula have taken other positions or have retired. Current personnel with the City of Ashtabula had to control or influence over the selection of this vendor. However, there is no reason to believe that anything improper occurred with this procurement. The system works well and it would be cost prohibitive at this time to procure equipment that would not be compatible and would force changes to the system.         7. Identify specific steps taken to negate need for sole source provider.         As the equipment heig procured is for a system that is currently operational no specific steps were taken to negate the need for sole source. The system works for the City of Ashtabula and they have invested in it. They are seeking to expand the system and need equipment that will be compatible with what is currently in place and operational. <t< td=""><td>incidents more effectively with more information and</td><td></td></t<>	incidents more effectively with more information and	
later date.         5. Why are the requested goods/services the only ones that can satisfy your requirements?         The City of Astabula's early identification system that allows for computer-aided dispatch and mobile data for personnel in the field began in 2004 and was implemented in 2005. The system was fully operational in 2006. TAC Computer has been the software provider from the beginning and purchasing software from another vendor would be cost- prohibitive in that it would not intermix and extra dollars would have to be spent to promote compatibility. The City of Ashtabula has a large investment in the current system, which is working well. They would just like to expand system use with the acquisition of additional equipment.         6. Were alternative goods/services evaluated?         The system was implemented in a prior Administration. The personnel involved with bringing the equipment to the City of Ashtabula have taken other positions or have retired. Current personnel with the City of Ashtabula had no control or influence over the selection of this vendor. However, there is no reason to believe that anything improper occurred with this procurement. The system works well and it would be cost prohibitive at this time to procure equipment that would not be compatible and would force changes to the system.         7. Identify specific steps taken to negate need for sole source provider.         As the equipment being procured is for a system that is currently operational no specific steps were taken to negate the need for sole source. The system works for the City of Ashtabula and they have invested in it. They are seeking to expand the system and need equipment that will be compatible with what is currently in place and operational.         8. Has your department bought these goods/servi	possibly help predict incident trends and patterns from the	
later date.         5. Why are the requested goods/services the only ones that can satisfy your requirements?         The City of Astabula's early identification system that allows for computer-aided dispatch and mobile data for personnel in the field began in 2004 and was implemented in 2005. The system was fully operational in 2006. TAC Computer has been the software provider from the beginning and purchasing software from another vendor would be cost- prohibitive in that it would not intermix and extra dollars would have to be spent to promote compatibility. The City of Ashtabula has a large investment in the current system, which is working well. They would just like to expand system use with the acquisition of additional equipment.         6. Were alternative goods/services evaluated?         The system was implemented in a prior Administration. The personnel involved with bringing the equipment to the City of Ashtabula have taken other positions or have retired. Current personnel with the City of Ashtabula had no control or influence over the selection of this vendor. However, there is no reason to believe that anything improper occurred with this procurement. The system works well and it would be cost prohibitive at this time to procure equipment that would not be compatible and would force changes to the system.         7. Identify specific steps taken to negate need for sole source provider.         As the equipment being procured is for a system that is currently operational no specific steps were taken to negate the need for sole source. The system works for the City of Ashtabula and they have invested in it. They are seeking to expand the system and need equipment that will be compatible with what is currently in place and operational.         8. Has your department bought these goods/servi	field instead of piecing all of the information together at a	
<ul> <li>can satisfy your requirements?         The City of Ashtabula's early identification system that allows for computer-aided dispatch and mobile data for personnel in the field began in 2004 and was implemented in 2005. The system was fully operational in 2006. TAC Computer has been the software provider from the beginning and purchasing software form another vendor would be cost-prohibitive in that it would not intermix and extra dollars would have to be spent to promote compatibility. The City of Ashtabula has a large investment in the current system, which is working well. They would just like to expand system use with the acquisition of additional equipment.     </li> <li>6. Were alternative goods/services evaluated?         The system was implemented in a prior Administration. The personnel involved with bringing the equipment to the City of Ashtabula have taken other positions or have retired. Current personnel with the City of Ashtabula have taken other positions or have retired. Current personnel with the City of Ashtabula had no control or influence over the selection of this vendor. However, there is no reason to believe that anything improper occurred with this procurement. The system works well and it would be compatible and would force changes to the system.     </li> <li>7. Identify specific steps taken to negate need for sole source provider.         As the equipment being procured is for a system that is currently operational no specific steps were taken to negate the need for sole source. The system works for the City of Ashtabula and they have invested in it. They are seeking to expand the system and need equipment that will be compatible with what is currently in place and operational.     </li> <li>8. Has your department bough these goods/services in the past?         The City of Ashtabula received mobile tactical computers, antennas and MDT licenses with funding from the FV08 SHSP-LE grant. The City of Cleveland procured the equipment for them a</li></ul>		
<ul> <li>can satisfy your requirements?         The City of Ashtabula's early identification system that allows for computer-aided dispatch and mobile data for personnel in the field began in 2004 and was implemented in 2005. The system was fully operational in 2006. TAC Computer has been the software provider from the beginning and purchasing software form another vendor would be cost-prohibitive in that it would not intermix and extra dollars would have to be spent to promote compatibility. The City of Ashtabula has a large investment in the current system, which is working well. They would just like to expand system use with the acquisition of additional equipment.     </li> <li>6. Were alternative goods/services evaluated?         The system was implemented in a prior Administration. The personnel involved with bringing the equipment to the City of Ashtabula have taken other positions or have retired. Current personnel with the City of Ashtabula have taken other positions or have retired. Current personnel with the City of Ashtabula had no control or influence over the selection of this vendor. However, there is no reason to believe that anything improper occurred with this procurement. The system works well and it would be compatible and would force changes to the system.     </li> <li>7. Identify specific steps taken to negate need for sole source provider.         As the equipment being procured is for a system that is currently operational no specific steps were taken to negate the need for sole source. The system works for the City of Ashtabula and they have invested in it. They are seeking to expand the system and need equipment that will be compatible with what is currently in place and operational.     </li> <li>8. Has your department bough these goods/services in the past?         The City of Ashtabula received mobile tactical computers, antennas and MDT licenses with funding from the FV08 SHSP-LE grant. The City of Cleveland procured the equipment for them a</li></ul>		
<ul> <li>can satisfy your requirements?         The City of Ashtabula's early identification system that allows for computer-aided dispatch and mobile data for personnel in the field began in 2004 and was implemented in 2005. The system was fully operational in 2006. TAC Computer has been the software provider from the beginning and purchasing software form another vendor would be cost-prohibitive in that it would not intermix and extra dollars would have to be spent to promote compatibility. The City of Ashtabula has a large investment in the current system, which is working well. They would just like to expand system use with the acquisition of additional equipment.     </li> <li>6. Were alternative goods/services evaluated?         The system was implemented in a prior Administration. The personnel involved with bringing the equipment to the City of Ashtabula have taken other positions or have retired. Current personnel with the City of Ashtabula have taken other positions or have retired. Current personnel with the City of Ashtabula had no control or influence over the selection of this vendor. However, there is no reason to believe that anything improper occurred with this procurement. The system works well and it would be compatible and would force changes to the system.     </li> <li>7. Identify specific steps taken to negate need for sole source provider.         As the equipment being procured is for a system that is currently operational no specific steps were taken to negate the need for sole source. The system works for the City of Ashtabula and they have invested in it. They are seeking to expand the system and need equipment that will be compatible with what is currently in place and operational.     </li> <li>8. Has your department bough these goods/services in the past?         The City of Ashtabula received mobile tactical computers, antennas and MDT licenses with funding from the FV08 SHSP-LE grant. The City of Cleveland procured the equipment for them a</li></ul>	5. Why are the requested goods/services the only ones that	
<ul> <li>The City of Ashtabula's early identification system that allows for computer-aided dispatch and mobile data for personnel in the field began in 2004 and was implemented in 2005. The system was fully operational in 2006. TAC Computer has been the software provider from the beginning and purchasing software from another vendor would be cost-prohibitive in that it would not intermix and extra dollars would have to be spent to promote compatibility. The City of Ashtabula has a large investment in the current system, which is working well. They would just like to expand system use with the acquisition of additional equipment.</li> <li>6. Were alternative goods/services evaluated?</li> <li>The system was implemented in a prior Administration. The personnel involved with bringing the equipment to the City of Ashtabula have taken other positions or have retired. Current personnel with the City of Ashtabula had no control or influence over the selection of this vendor. However, there is no reason to believe that anything improper occurred with this procurement. The system works well and it would be cost prohibitive at this time to procure equipment that would be cost prohibitive at the time to procure equipment that would be cost prohibitive and would force changes to the system.</li> <li>7. Identify specific steps taken to negate need for sole source provider. As the equipment being procured is for a system that is currently operational no specific steps were taken to negate the need for sole source. The system works for the City of Ashtabula and they have invested in it. They are seeking to expand they system and need equipment that will be compatible with what is currently in place and operational.</li> <li>8. Has your department bought these goods/services in the past?</li> <li>The City of Ashtabula received mobile tactical computers, antennas and MDT licenses with funding from the FV08 SHSP-LE grant. The City of Cleveland procured the equipment for them and then sought reimbursement</li></ul>		
<ul> <li>for computer-aided dispatch and mobile data for personnel in the field began in 2004 and was implemented in 2005. The system was fully operational in 2006. TAC Computer has been the software provider from the beginning and purchasing software from another vendor would be cost-prohibitive in that it would not intermix and extra dollars would have to be spent to promote compatibility. The City of Ashtabula has a large investment in the current system, which is working well. They would just like to expand system use with the acquisition of additional equipment.</li> <li><b>6. Were alternative goods/services evaluated?</b></li> <li>The system was implemented in a prior Administration. The personnel involved with bringing the equipment to the City of Ashtabula have taken other positions or have retired. Current personnel with the City of Ashtabula have taken other positions or have retired.</li> <li>Current personnel with the City of Ashtabula had no control or influence over the selection of this vendor. However, there is no reason to believe that anything improper occurred with this procurement. The system works well and it would be cost prohibitive at this time to procure equipment that would not be compatible and would force changes to the system.</li> <li>7. Identify specific steps taken to negate need for sole source provider.</li> <li>As the equipment being procured is for a system that is currently operational no specific steps were taken to negate the need for sole source. The system works for the City of Ashtabula and they have invested in it. They are seeking to expand the system and need equipment the Well SHSP-LE grant. The City of Ashtabula received mobile tactical computers, antennas and MDT licenses with funding from the FV8 SHSP-LE grant. The City of Cleveland procured the equipment for the m and then sought reimbursement from Cuyahoga County. TAC Computer was the sole source for licenses purchased then as well. The price has remained consistent</li> </ul>		
<ul> <li>the field began in 2004 and was implemented in 2005. The system was fully operational in 2006. TAC Computer has been the software provider from the beginning and purchasing software from another vendor would be cost-prohibitive in that it would not intermix and extra dollars would have to be spent to promote compatibility. The City of Ashtabula has a large investment in the current system, which is working well. They would just like to expand system use with the acquisition of additional equipment.</li> <li><b>6. Were alternative goods/services evaluated?</b> The system was implemented in a prior Administration. The personnel involved with bringing the equipment to the City of Ashtabula have taken other positions or have retired. Current personnel with the City of Ashtabula have taken other positions or have retired.</li> <li>Current personnel with the City of Ashtabula had no control or influence over the selection of this vendor. However, there is no reason to believe that anything improper occurred with this procurement. The system works well and it would be cost prohibitive at this time to procure equipment that would not be compatible and would force changes to the system.</li> <li><b>7. Identify specific steps taken to negate need for sole source provider.</b> As the equipment being procured is for a system that is currently operational no specific steps were taken to negate the need for sole source. The system works for the City of Ashtabula and they have invested in it. They are seeking to expand the system and need equipment that will be compatible with what is currently in place and operational.</li> <li><b>8. Has your department bought these goods/services in the past?</b> The City of Ashtabula received mobile tactical computers, antennas and MDT licenses with funding from the FY08 SHSP-LE grant. The City of Cleveland procured the equipment for them and then sought reimbursement from Curyahoga County. TAC Computer was the sole source for licenses purchased then as well. The price has re</li></ul>		
<ul> <li>system was fully operational in 2006. TAC Computer has been the software provider from the beginning and purchasing software from another vendor would be cost-prohibitive in that it would not intermix and extra dollars would have to be spent to promote compatibility. The City of Ashtabula has a large investment in the current system, which is working well. They would just like to expand system use with the acquisition of additional equipment.</li> <li><b>6. Were alternative goods/services evaluated?</b> The system was implemented in a prior Administration. The personnel involved with bringing the equipment to the City of Ashtabula have taken other positions or have retired. Current personnel with the City of Ashtabula had no control or influence over the selection of this vendor. However, there is no reason to believe that anything improper occurred with this procurement. The system works well and it would be cost prohibitive at this time to procure equipment that would not be compatible and would force changes to the system.</li> <li><b>7. Identify specific steps taken to negate need for sole source provider.</b> As the equipment being procured is for a system that is currently operational no specific steps were taken to negate the need for sole source. The system works for the City of Ashtabula and they have invested in it. They are seeking to expand the system and need equipment that will be compatible with what is currently in place and operational.</li> <li><b>8. Has your department bought these goods/services in the past?</b> The City of Ashtabula received mobile tactical computers, antennas and MDT licenses with funding from the FY08 SHSP-LE grant. The City of Cleveland procured the equipment for them and then sought reimbursement from Cuyahoga County. TAC Computer was the sole source for licenses</li> </ul>		
<ul> <li>been the software provider from the beginning and purchasing software from another vendor would be cost-prohibitive in that it would not intermix and extra dollars would have to be spent to promote compatibility. The City of Ashtabula has a large investment in the current system, which is working well. They would just like to expand system use with the acquisition of additional equipment.</li> <li><b>6. Were alternative goods/services evaluate?</b> The system was implemented in a prior Administration. The personnel involved with bringing the equipment to the City of Ashtabula have taken other positions or have retired. Current personnel with the City of Ashtabula had no control or influence over the selection of this vendor. However, there is no reason to believe that anything improper occurred with this procurement. The system works well and it would be cost prohibitive at this time to procure equipment that would not be compatible and would force changes to the system.</li> <li><b>7. Identify specific steps taken to negate need for sole source provider.</b> As the equipment being procured is for a system that is currently operational no specific steps were taken to negate the need for sole source. The system works for the City of Ashtabula and they have invested in it. They are seeking to expand the system and need equipment that will be compatible with what is currently in place and operational.</li> <li><b>8. Has your department bought these goods/services in the past?</b> The City of Ashtabula received mobile tactical computers, antennas and MDT licenses with funding from the FY08 SHSP-LE grant. The City of Cleveland procure the equipment for them and then sought reimbursement from Cuyahoga County. TAC Computer was the sole source for licenses purchased then as well. The price has remained consistent</li> </ul>		
<ul> <li>purchasing software from another vendor would be cost- prohibitive in that it would not intermix and extra dollars would have to be spent to promote compatibility. The City of Ashtabula has a large investment in the current system, which is working well. They would just like to expand system use with the acquisition of additional equipment.</li> <li>6. Were alternative goods/services evaluated? The system was implemented in a prior Administration. The personnel involved with bringing the equipment to the City of Ashtabula have taken other positions or have retired. Current personnel with the City of Ashtabula had no control or influence over the selection of this vendor. However, there is no reason to believe that anything improper occurred with this procurement. The system works well and it would be cost prohibitive at this time to procure equipment that would not be compatible and would force changes to the system.</li> <li>7. Identify specific steps taken to negate need for sole source provider. As the equipment being procured is for a system that is currently operational no specific steps were taken to negate the need for sole source. The system works for the City of Ashtabula and they have invested in it. They are seeking to expand the system and need equipment that will be compatible with what is currently in place and operational.</li> <li>8. Has your department bought these goods/services in the past? The City of Ashtabula received mobile tactical computers, antennas and MDT licenses with funding from the FV08 SHSP- LE grant. The City of Cleveland procured the equipment for them and then sought reimbursement from Cuyahoga County. TAC Computer was the sole source for licenses purchased then as well. The price has remained consistent</li> </ul>		
<ul> <li>prohibitive in that it would not intermix and extra dollars would have to be spent to promote compatibility. The City of Ashtabula has a large investment in the current system, which is working well. They would just like to expand system use with the acquisition of additional equipment.</li> <li>6. Were alternative goods/services evaluated?</li> <li>The system was implemented in a prior Administration. The personnel involved with bringing the equipment to the City of Ashtabula have taken other positions or have retired. Current personnel with the City of Ashtabula had no control or influence over the selection of this vendor. However, there is no reason to believe that anything improper occurred with this procurement. The system works well and it would be cost prohibitive at this time to procure equipment that would not be compatible and would force changes to the system.</li> <li>7. Identify specific steps taken to negate need for sole source provider.</li> <li>As the equipment being procured is for a system that is currently operational no specific steps taken to negate the need for sole source. The system works for the City of Ashtabula and they have invested in it. They are seeking to expand the system and need equipment that will be compatible with what is currently in place and operational.</li> <li>8. Has your department bought these goods/services in the past?</li> <li>The City of Ashtabula received mobile tactical computers, antennas and MDT licenses with funding from the FV08 SHSP-LE grant. The City of Cleveland procured the equipment for them and then sought reimbursement from Cuyaboga County. TAC Computer was the sole source for licenses purchased then as well. The price has remained consistent</li> </ul>		
<ul> <li>would have to be spent to promote compatibility. The City of Ashtabula has a large investment in the current system, which is working well. They would just like to expand system use with the acquisition of additional equipment.</li> <li>6. Were alternative goods/services evaluated? The system was implemented in a prior Administration. The personnel involved with bringing the equipment to the City of Ashtabula have taken other positions or have retired. Current personnel with the City of Ashtabula had no control or influence over the selection of this vendor. However, there is no reason to believe that anything improper occurred with this procurement. The system works well and it would be cost prohibitive at this time to procure equipment that would not be compatible and would force changes to the system.</li> <li>7. Identify specific steps taken to negate need for sole source provider. As the equipment being procured is for a system that is currently operational no specific steps were taken to negate the need for sole source. The system works for the City of Ashtabula and they have invested in it. They are seeking to expand the system and need equipment that will be compatible with what is currently in place and operational.</li> <li>8. Has your department bought these goods/services in the past? The City of Ashtabula received mobile tactical computers, antennas and MDT licenses with funding from the FV08 SHSP- LE grant. The City of Cleveland procured the equipment for them and then sought reimbursement from Cuyahoga County. TAC Computer was the sole source for licenses purchased then as well. The price has remained consistent</li> </ul>	, .	
<ul> <li>Ashtabula has a large investment in the current system, which is working well. They would just like to expand system use with the acquisition of additional equipment.</li> <li><b>6. Were alternative goods/services evaluated?</b> The system was implemented in a prior Administration. The personnel involved with bringing the equipment to the City of Ashtabula have taken other positions or have retired. Current personnel with the City of Ashtabula had no control or influence over the selection of this vendor. However, there is no reason to believe that anything improper occurred with this procurement. The system works well and it would be cost prohibitive at this time to procure equipment that would not be compatible and would force changes to the system.</li> <li><b>7. Identify specific steps taken to negate need for sole source provider.</b> As the equipment being procured is for a system that is currently operational no specific steps were taken to negate the need for sole source. The system works for the City of Ashtabula and they have invested in it. They are seeking to expand the system and need equipment that will be compatible with what is currently in place and operational.</li> <li><b>8. Has your department bought these goods/services in the past?</b> The City of Ashtabula received mobile tactical computers, antennas and MDT licenses with funding from the FY08 SHSP-LE grant. The City of Cleveland procured the equipment for them and then sought reimbursement from Cuyahoga County. TAC Computer was the sole source for licenses purchased then as well. The price has remained consistent</li> </ul>		
<ul> <li>which is working well. They would just like to expand system use with the acquisition of additional equipment.</li> <li><b>6. Were alternative goods/services evaluated?</b> The system was implemented in a prior Administration. The personnel involved with bringing the equipment to the City of Ashtabula have taken other positions or have retired. Current personnel with the City of Ashtabula had no control or influence over the selection of this vendor. However, there is no reason to believe that anything improper occurred with this procurement. The system works well and it would be cost prohibitive at this time to procure equipment that would not be compatible and would force changes to the system.</li> <li><b>7. Identify specific steps taken to negate need for sole source provider.</b> As the equipment being procured is for a system that is currently operational no specific steps were taken to negate the need for sole source. The system works for the City of Ashtabula and they have invested in it. They are seeking to expand the system and need equipment that will be compatible with what is currently in place and operational.</li> <li><b>8. Has your department bought these goods/services in the past?</b> The City of Ashtabula received mobile tactical computers, antennas and MDT licenses with funding from the FY08 SHSP-LE grant. The City of Cleveland procured the equipment for them and then sought reimbursement from Cuyahoga County. TAC Computer was the sole source of licenses purchased then as well. The price has remained consistent</li> </ul>		
<ul> <li>use with the acquisition of additional equipment.</li> <li>6. Were alternative goods/services evaluated? The system was implemented in a prior Administration. The personnel involved with bringing the equipment to the City of Ashtabula have taken other positions or have retired. Current personnel with the City of Ashtabula had no control or influence over the selection of this vendor. However, there is no reason to believe that anything improper occurred with this procurement. The system works well and it would be cost prohibitive at this time to procure equipment that would not be compatible and would force changes to the system.</li> <li>7. Identify specific steps taken to negate need for sole source provider. As the equipment being procured is for a system that is currently operational no specific steps were taken to negate the need for sole source. The system works for the City of Ashtabula and they have invested in it. They are seeking to expand the system and need equipment that will be compatible with what is currently in place and operational.</li> <li>8. Has your department bought these goods/services in the past? The City of Ashtabula received mobile tactical computers, antennas and MDT licenses with funding from the FY08 SHSP-LE grant. The City of Cleveland procured the equipment for them and then sought reimbursement from Cuyahoga County. TAC Computer was the sole source for licenses purchased then as well. The price has remained consistent</li> </ul>		
<ul> <li>6. Were alternative goods/services evaluated? The system was implemented in a prior Administration. The personnel involved with bringing the equipment to the City of Ashtabula have taken other positions or have retired. Current personnel with the City of Ashtabula had no control or influence over the selection of this vendor. However, there is no reason to believe that anything improper occurred with this procurement. The system works well and it would be cost prohibitive at this time to procure equipment that would not be compatible and would force changes to the system.</li> <li>7. Identify specific steps taken to negate need for sole source provider. As the equipment being procured is for a system that is currently operational no specific steps were taken to negate the need for sole source. The system works for the City of Ashtabula and they have invested in it. They are seeking to expand the system and need equipment that will be compatible with what is currently in place and operational.</li> <li>8. Has your department bought these goods/services in the past? The City of Ashtabula received mobile tactical computers, antennas and MDT licenses with funding from the FY08 SHSP-LE grant. The City of Cleveland procured the equipment for them and then sought reimbursement from Cuyahoga County. TAC Computer was the sole source for licenses purchased then as well. The price has remained consistent</li> </ul>		
The system was implemented in a prior Administration. The personnel involved with bringing the equipment to the City of Ashtabula have taken other positions or have retired. Current personnel with the City of Ashtabula had no control or influence over the selection of this vendor. However, there is no reason to believe that anything improper occurred with this procurement. The system works well and it would be cost prohibitive at this time to procure equipment that would not be compatible and would force changes to the system.7. Identify specific steps taken to negate need for sole source provider. As the equipment being procured is for a system that is currently operational no specific steps were taken to negate the need for sole source. The system works for the City of Ashtabula and they have invested in it. They are seeking to expand the system and need equipment that will be compatible with what is currently in place and operational.8. Has your department bought these goods/services in the past? The City of Ashtabula received mobile tactical computers, antennas and MDT licenses with funding from the FY08 SHSP- LE grant. The City of Cleveland procured the equipment for them and then sought reimbursement from Cuyahoga County. TAC Computer was the sole source for licenses purchased then as well. The price has remained consistent	ase with the acquisition of additional equipment.	
The system was implemented in a prior Administration. The personnel involved with bringing the equipment to the City of Ashtabula have taken other positions or have retired. Current personnel with the City of Ashtabula had no control or influence over the selection of this vendor. However, there is no reason to believe that anything improper occurred with this procurement. The system works well and it would be cost prohibitive at this time to procure equipment that would not be compatible and would force changes to the system.7. Identify specific steps taken to negate need for sole source provider. As the equipment being procured is for a system that is currently operational no specific steps were taken to negate the need for sole source. The system works for the City of Ashtabula and they have invested in it. They are seeking to expand the system and need equipment that will be compatible with what is currently in place and operational.8. Has your department bought these goods/services in the past? The City of Ashtabula received mobile tactical computers, antennas and MDT licenses with funding from the FY08 SHSP- LE grant. The City of Cleveland procured the equipment for them and then sought reimbursement from Cuyahoga County. TAC Computer was the sole source for licenses purchased then as well. The price has remained consistent	6. Were alternative goods/services evaluated?	
<ul> <li>personnel involved with bringing the equipment to the City of Ashtabula have taken other positions or have retired.</li> <li>Current personnel with the City of Ashtabula had no control or influence over the selection of this vendor. However, there is no reason to believe that anything improper occurred with this procurement. The system works well and it would be cost prohibitive at this time to procure equipment that would not be compatible and would force changes to the system.</li> <li>7. Identify specific steps taken to negate need for sole source provider.</li> <li>As the equipment being procured is for a system that is currently operational no specific steps were taken to negate the need for sole source. The system works for the City of Ashtabula and they have invested in it. They are seeking to expand the system and need equipment that will be compatible with what is currently in place and operational.</li> <li>8. Has your department bought these goods/services in the past? The City of Ashtabula received mobile tactical computers, antennas and MDT licenses with funding from the FY08 SHSP- LE grant. The City of Cleveland procured the equipment for them and then sought reimbursement from Cuyahoga County. TAC Computer was the sole source for licenses purchased then as well. The price has remained consistent</li> </ul>	_	
Ashtabula have taken other positions or have retired. Current personnel with the City of Ashtabula had no control or influence over the selection of this vendor. However, there is no reason to believe that anything improper occurred with this procurement. The system works well and it would be cost prohibitive at this time to procure equipment that would not be compatible and would force changes to the system. 7. Identify specific steps taken to negate need for sole source provider. As the equipment being procured is for a system that is currently operational no specific steps were taken to negate the need for sole source. The system works for the City of Ashtabula and they have invested in it. They are seeking to expand the system and need equipment that will be compatible with what is currently in place and operational. 8. Has your department bought these goods/services in the past? The City of Ashtabula received mobile tactical computers, antennas and MDT licenses with funding from the FY08 SHSP- LE grant. The City of Cleveland procured the equipment for them and then sought reimbursement from Cuyahoga County. TAC Computer was the sole source for licenses purchased then as well. The price has remained consistent		
Current personnel with the City of Ashtabula had no control or influence over the selection of this vendor. However, there is no reason to believe that anything improper occurred with this procurement. The system works well and it would be cost prohibitive at this time to procure equipment that would not be compatible and would force changes to the system. <b>7. Identify specific steps taken to negate need for sole</b> <b>source provider.</b> As the equipment being procured is for a system that is currently operational no specific steps were taken to negate the need for sole source. The system works for the City of Ashtabula and they have invested in it. They are seeking to expand the system and need equipment that will be compatible with what is currently in place and operational. <b>8. Has your department bought these goods/services in the</b> <b>past?</b> The City of Ashtabula received mobile tactical computers, antennas and MDT licenses with funding from the FY08 SHSP- LE grant. The City of Cleveland procured the equipment for them and then sought reimbursement from Cuyahoga County. TAC Computer was the sole source for licenses purchased then as well. The price has remained consistent		
<ul> <li>or influence over the selection of this vendor. However, there is no reason to believe that anything improper occurred with this procurement. The system works well and it would be cost prohibitive at this time to procure equipment that would not be compatible and would force changes to the system.</li> <li><b>7.</b> Identify specific steps taken to negate need for sole source provider. As the equipment being procured is for a system that is currently operational no specific steps were taken to negate the need for sole source. The system works for the City of Ashtabula and they have invested in it. They are seeking to expand the system and need equipment that will be compatible with what is currently in place and operational.</li> <li><b>8.</b> Has your department bought these goods/services in the past? The City of Ashtabula received mobile tactical computers, antennas and MDT licenses with funding from the FY08 SHSP-LE grant. The City of Cleveland procured the equipment for them and then sought reimbursement from Cuyahoga County. TAC Computer was the sole source for licenses purchased then as well. The price has remained consistent</li> </ul>		
<ul> <li>there is no reason to believe that anything improper occurred with this procurement. The system works well and it would be cost prohibitive at this time to procure equipment that would not be compatible and would force changes to the system.</li> <li>7. Identify specific steps taken to negate need for sole source provider. As the equipment being procured is for a system that is currently operational no specific steps were taken to negate the need for sole source. The system works for the City of Ashtabula and they have invested in it. They are seeking to expand the system and need equipment that will be compatible with what is currently in place and operational.</li> <li>8. Has your department bought these goods/services in the past? The City of Ashtabula received mobile tactical computers, antennas and MDT licenses with funding from the FY08 SHSP-LE grant. The City of Cleveland procured the equipment for them and then sought reimbursement from Cuyahoga County. TAC Computer was the sole source for licenses purchased then as well. The price has remained consistent</li> </ul>		
<ul> <li>with this procurement. The system works well and it would be cost prohibitive at this time to procure equipment that would not be compatible and would force changes to the system.</li> <li>7. Identify specific steps taken to negate need for sole source provider. As the equipment being procured is for a system that is currently operational no specific steps were taken to negate the need for sole source. The system works for the City of Ashtabula and they have invested in it. They are seeking to expand the system and need equipment that will be compatible with what is currently in place and operational.</li> <li>8. Has your department bought these goods/services in the past? The City of Ashtabula received mobile tactical computers, antennas and MDT licenses with funding from the FY08 SHSP-LE grant. The City of Cleveland procured the equipment for them and then sought reimbursement from Cuyahoga County. TAC Computer was the sole source for licenses purchased then as well. The price has remained consistent</li> </ul>		
<ul> <li>be cost prohibitive at this time to procure equipment that would not be compatible and would force changes to the system.</li> <li>7. Identify specific steps taken to negate need for sole source provider. As the equipment being procured is for a system that is currently operational no specific steps were taken to negate the need for sole source. The system works for the City of Ashtabula and they have invested in it. They are seeking to expand the system and need equipment that will be compatible with what is currently in place and operational.</li> <li>8. Has your department bought these goods/services in the past? The City of Ashtabula received mobile tactical computers, antennas and MDT licenses with funding from the FY08 SHSP-LE grant. The City of Cleveland procured the equipment for them and then sought reimbursement from Cuyahoga County. TAC Computer was the sole source for licenses purchased then as well. The price has remained consistent</li> </ul>		
<ul> <li>would not be compatible and would force changes to the system.</li> <li>7. Identify specific steps taken to negate need for sole source provider. As the equipment being procured is for a system that is currently operational no specific steps were taken to negate the need for sole source. The system works for the City of Ashtabula and they have invested in it. They are seeking to expand the system and need equipment that will be compatible with what is currently in place and operational.</li> <li>8. Has your department bought these goods/services in the past? The City of Ashtabula received mobile tactical computers, antennas and MDT licenses with funding from the FY08 SHSP-LE grant. The City of Cleveland procured the equipment for them and then sought reimbursement from Cuyahoga County. TAC Computer was the sole source for licenses purchased then as well. The price has remained consistent</li> </ul>		
<ul> <li>system.</li> <li>7. Identify specific steps taken to negate need for sole source provider.</li> <li>As the equipment being procured is for a system that is currently operational no specific steps were taken to negate the need for sole source. The system works for the City of Ashtabula and they have invested in it. They are seeking to expand the system and need equipment that will be compatible with what is currently in place and operational.</li> <li>8. Has your department bought these goods/services in the past?</li> <li>The City of Ashtabula received mobile tactical computers, antennas and MDT licenses with funding from the FY08 SHSP-LE grant. The City of Cleveland procured the equipment for them and then sought reimbursement from Cuyahoga County. TAC Computer was the sole source for licenses purchased then as well. The price has remained consistent</li> </ul>		
<ul> <li>7. Identify specific steps taken to negate need for sole source provider.</li> <li>As the equipment being procured is for a system that is currently operational no specific steps were taken to negate the need for sole source. The system works for the City of Ashtabula and they have invested in it. They are seeking to expand the system and need equipment that will be compatible with what is currently in place and operational.</li> <li>8. Has your department bought these goods/services in the past?</li> <li>The City of Ashtabula received mobile tactical computers, antennas and MDT licenses with funding from the FY08 SHSP-LE grant. The City of Cleveland procured the equipment for them and then sought reimbursement from Cuyahoga County. TAC Computer was the sole source for licenses purchased then as well. The price has remained consistent</li> </ul>		
<ul> <li>source provider.</li> <li>As the equipment being procured is for a system that is currently operational no specific steps were taken to negate the need for sole source. The system works for the City of Ashtabula and they have invested in it. They are seeking to expand the system and need equipment that will be compatible with what is currently in place and operational.</li> <li>8. Has your department bought these goods/services in the past?</li> <li>The City of Ashtabula received mobile tactical computers, antennas and MDT licenses with funding from the FY08 SHSP-LE grant. The City of Cleveland procured the equipment for them and then sought reimbursement from Cuyahoga County. TAC Computer was the sole source for licenses purchased then as well. The price has remained consistent</li> </ul>	system.	
<ul> <li>source provider.</li> <li>As the equipment being procured is for a system that is currently operational no specific steps were taken to negate the need for sole source. The system works for the City of Ashtabula and they have invested in it. They are seeking to expand the system and need equipment that will be compatible with what is currently in place and operational.</li> <li>8. Has your department bought these goods/services in the past?</li> <li>The City of Ashtabula received mobile tactical computers, antennas and MDT licenses with funding from the FY08 SHSP-LE grant. The City of Cleveland procured the equipment for them and then sought reimbursement from Cuyahoga County. TAC Computer was the sole source for licenses purchased then as well. The price has remained consistent</li> </ul>	7. Identify specific steps taken to negate need for sole	
As the equipment being procured is for a system that is currently operational no specific steps were taken to negate the need for sole source. The system works for the City of Ashtabula and they have invested in it. They are seeking to expand the system and need equipment that will be compatible with what is currently in place and operational. 8. Has your department bought these goods/services in the past? The City of Ashtabula received mobile tactical computers, antennas and MDT licenses with funding from the FY08 SHSP- LE grant. The City of Cleveland procured the equipment for them and then sought reimbursement from Cuyahoga County. TAC Computer was the sole source for licenses purchased then as well. The price has remained consistent		
<ul> <li>currently operational no specific steps were taken to negate the need for sole source. The system works for the City of Ashtabula and they have invested in it. They are seeking to expand the system and need equipment that will be compatible with what is currently in place and operational.</li> <li>8. Has your department bought these goods/services in the past?</li> <li>The City of Ashtabula received mobile tactical computers, antennas and MDT licenses with funding from the FY08 SHSP-LE grant. The City of Cleveland procured the equipment for them and then sought reimbursement from Cuyahoga County. TAC Computer was the sole source for licenses purchased then as well. The price has remained consistent</li> </ul>		
<ul> <li>the need for sole source. The system works for the City of Ashtabula and they have invested in it. They are seeking to expand the system and need equipment that will be compatible with what is currently in place and operational.</li> <li>8. Has your department bought these goods/services in the past?</li> <li>The City of Ashtabula received mobile tactical computers, antennas and MDT licenses with funding from the FY08 SHSP- LE grant. The City of Cleveland procured the equipment for them and then sought reimbursement from Cuyahoga County. TAC Computer was the sole source for licenses purchased then as well. The price has remained consistent</li> </ul>		
Ashtabula and they have invested in it. They are seeking to expand the system and need equipment that will be compatible with what is currently in place and operational. 8. Has your department bought these goods/services in the past? The City of Ashtabula received mobile tactical computers, antennas and MDT licenses with funding from the FY08 SHSP- LE grant. The City of Cleveland procured the equipment for them and then sought reimbursement from Cuyahoga County. TAC Computer was the sole source for licenses purchased then as well. The price has remained consistent		
<ul> <li>expand the system and need equipment that will be compatible with what is currently in place and operational.</li> <li>8. Has your department bought these goods/services in the past?</li> <li>The City of Ashtabula received mobile tactical computers, antennas and MDT licenses with funding from the FY08 SHSP-LE grant. The City of Cleveland procured the equipment for them and then sought reimbursement from Cuyahoga County. TAC Computer was the sole source for licenses purchased then as well. The price has remained consistent</li> </ul>		
compatible with what is currently in place and operational. 8. Has your department bought these goods/services in the past? The City of Ashtabula received mobile tactical computers, antennas and MDT licenses with funding from the FY08 SHSP-LE grant. The City of Cleveland procured the equipment for them and then sought reimbursement from Cuyahoga County. TAC Computer was the sole source for licenses purchased then as well. The price has remained consistent		
8. Has your department bought these goods/services in the past? The City of Ashtabula received mobile tactical computers, antennas and MDT licenses with funding from the FY08 SHSP-LE grant. The City of Cleveland procured the equipment for them and then sought reimbursement from Cuyahoga County. TAC Computer was the sole source for licenses purchased then as well. The price has remained consistent		
<b>past?</b> The City of Ashtabula received mobile tactical computers, antennas and MDT licenses with funding from the FY08 SHSP- LE grant. The City of Cleveland procured the equipment for them and then sought reimbursement from Cuyahoga County. TAC Computer was the sole source for licenses purchased then as well. The price has remained consistent	compatible with what is currently in place and operational.	
past?The City of Ashtabula received mobile tactical computers, antennas and MDT licenses with funding from the FY08 SHSP- LE grant. The City of Cleveland procured the equipment for them and then sought reimbursement from Cuyahoga County. TAC Computer was the sole source for licenses purchased then as well. The price has remained consistent	8. Has your department bought these goods/services in the	
The City of Ashtabula received mobile tactical computers, antennas and MDT licenses with funding from the FY08 SHSP- LE grant. The City of Cleveland procured the equipment for them and then sought reimbursement from Cuyahoga County. TAC Computer was the sole source for licenses purchased then as well. The price has remained consistent		
antennas and MDT licenses with funding from the FY08 SHSP- LE grant. The City of Cleveland procured the equipment for them and then sought reimbursement from Cuyahoga County. TAC Computer was the sole source for licenses purchased then as well. The price has remained consistent	•	
LE grant. The City of Cleveland procured the equipment for them and then sought reimbursement from Cuyahoga County. TAC Computer was the sole source for licenses purchased then as well. The price has remained consistent		
them and then sought reimbursement from Cuyahoga County. TAC Computer was the sole source for licenses purchased then as well. The price has remained consistent		
County. TAC Computer was the sole source for licenses purchased then as well. The price has remained consistent		
purchased then as well. The price has remained consistent		
Trom that purchase. The City of Ashtabula was unable to		
	nom that purchase. The City of Astitabula was unable to	

provide any additional information about the original	
procurement for their system as the people involved have	
taken other positions or retired. However, the City of	
Cleveland has a procurement policy and would have	
purchased the equipment for FY08 SHSP-LE in accordance	
with that policy.	
9. What efforts have been made or are being made to	
reduce the Department's reliance on a sole source provider	
for these goods/services in the future?	
Although Public Safety & Justice Services is committed to full	
and open competition, we realize there will be cases like this	
where systems are operational and additional equipment will	
have to be purchased that must be compatible. We hope	
these purchases will be limited, but as this equipment is part	
of a larger Automated Fingerprint Identification System that	
links law enforcement agencies in not only Cuyahoga, but	
also Lake, Lorain, Geauga and Ashtabula Counties as well,	
equipment purchased must be compatible with what is in	
place to keep not Ashtabula's equipment working but also to	
keep them communicating in the region as well.	
10 What offerts were made to get the best pessible price?	
10. What efforts were made to get the best possible price?	
A quote was requested from the vendor by the City of	
Ashtabula based on the knowledge that any additional	
equipment purchased would need to be compatible with	
what already exists. The vendor submitted the quote and it	
was consistent in price with what had been purchased	
before. No special arrangements have been made with the	
vendor.	
11. Why is the price for this purchase considered to be fair	
and reasonable?	
The price that was quoted for the licenses (\$16,800) was the	
same amount that was quoted for the licenses when they	
were procured with FY08 SHSP-LE funds. No price	
comparisons were made because the licenses already	
procured for the City of Ashtabula's system were purchased	
from TAC Computer. The City of Ashtabula reeds additional	
licenses to be compatible to the current system, as it is	
operating effectively and efficiently and it would be cost	
prohibitive for the City to acquire equipment that would	
entail additional costs to ensure software compatibility.	
entan adultional costs to ensure software compatibility.	Approve
12. Amount to be paid:	
-	Disapprove
\$16,800.00	Hold

CDD2011	Denenting	1 Description of Supplies on Semilar	
CPB2011-	Department	1. Description of Supplies or Services	
415	of	Request to enter into a forgivable loan agreement with	
	Development	Famicos Foundation University Tower LLC for the purpose of	
		providing funds to assist in the rehabilitation of University	
		Tower Apartments in Cleveland, Ohio	
		2. Estimated Dollar Value and Funding Source(s) including	
		percentage breakdown	
		\$300,000.00 federal HOME funds	
		3. Rationale Supporting the Use of the Selected	
		Procurement Method	
		Federal law provides that 15% of all HOME funds are reserved	
		for investment in housing developed, sponsored, or owned	
		by Community Housing Development Organizations (CHDO's).	
		Cuyahoga County, as a recipient of and participant with the	
		Cuyahoga HOME Consortium is required to adhere to the	
		federal law for the purpose of assisting with the development of affordable housing.	
		Annually, notification is sent to all eligible nonprofit	
		organizations recognized as CHDO's by the Cuyahoga Housing	
		Consortium that HOME funds to develop for-sale or rental	
		housing under HOME regulations are available.	
		Written proposals are invited from six nonprofit organizations	
		currently recognized as CHDO's by the Cuyahoga Housing	
		Consortium. Proposals from other sources are not permitted.	
		Proposals are reviewed and funding decisions are made by	
		the seven voting members of the Cuyahoga Housing	
		Consortium Review Board, at regular meetings open to the	
		public.	
		4. What other available options and/or vendors were	
		evaluated? If none, include the reasons why.	
		Federal law provides that 15% of all HOME funds are reserved	
		for investment in housing developed, sponsored, or owned	
		by Community Housing Development Organizations (CHDO's).	
		Cuyahoga County, as a recipient of and participant with the	
		Cuyahoga HOME Consortium is required to adhere to the	
		federal law for the purpose of assisting with the development	
		of affordable housing.	
		5	
		Annually, notification is sent to all eligible nonprofit	
		organizations recognized as CHDO's by the Cuyahoga Housing	
		Consortium that HOME funds to develop for-sale or rental	
		housing under HOME regulations are available.	
		Written proposals are invited from six nonprofit organizations	
		currently recognized as CHDO's by the Cuyahoga Housing	
		Consortium. Proposals from other sources are not permitted.	
		Proposals are reviewed and funding decisions are made by	
		the seven voting members of the Cuyahoga Housing	
		Consortium Review Board, at regular meetings open to the	
		public.	

5. What ultimately led you to this product or service? Why	
was the recommended vendor selected?	
Federal law provides that 15% of all HOME funds are reserved	
for investment in housing developed, sponsored, or owned	
by Community Housing Development Organizations (CHDO's).	
Cuyahoga County, as a recipient of and participant with the	
Cuyahoga HOME Consortium is required to adhere to the	
federal law for the purpose of assisting with the development	
of affordable housing.	
Annually, notification is sent to all eligible nonprofit	
organizations recognized as CHDO's by the Cuyahoga Housing	
Consortium that HOME funds to develop for-sale or rental	
housing under HOME regulations are available.	
Written proposals are invited from six nonprofit organizations	
currently recognized as CHDO's by the Cuyahoga Housing	
Consortium. Proposals from other sources are not permitted.	
Proposals are reviewed and funding decisions are made by	
the seven voting members of the Cuyahoga Housing	
Consortium Review Board, at regular meetings open to the	
public.	
6. Provide an explanation of unacceptable delays in	
fulfilling the County's need that would be incurred if award	
was made through a competitive bid.	
Federal law provides that 15% of all HOME funds are reserved	
for investment in housing developed, sponsored, or owned	
by Community Housing Development Organizations (CHDO's).	
Cuyahoga County, as a recipient of and participant with the	
Cuyahoga HOME Consortium is required to adhere to the	
federal law for the purpose of assisting with the development	
of affordable housing.	
Annually, notification is sent to all eligible nonprofit	
organizations recognized as CHDO's by the Cuyahoga Housing	
Consortium that HOME funds to develop for-sale or rental	
housing under HOME regulations are available.	
Written proposals are invited from six nonprofit organizations	
currently recognized as CHDO's by the Cuyahoga Housing	
Consortium. Proposals from other sources are not permitted.	
Proposals are reviewed and funding decisions are made by	
the seven voting members of the Cuyahoga Housing	
Consortium Review Board, at regular meetings open to the	
public.	
7. Describe what future plans, if any, the County can take to	
permit competition before any subsequent purchases of the	
required supplies or services.	
Federal law provides that 15% of all HOME funds are reserved	
for investment in housing developed, sponsored, or owned	
by Community Housing Development Organizations (CHDO's).	
Cuyahoga County, as a recipient of and participant with the	
Cuyahoga HOME Consortium is required to adhere to the	
federal law for the purpose of assisting with the development	
I recertainaw for the purpose of assisting with the development	

		of affordable housing. Annually, notification is sent to all eligible nonprofit organizations recognized as CHDO's by the Cuyahoga Housing Consortium that HOME funds to develop for-sale or rental housing under HOME regulations are available. Written proposals are invited from six nonprofit organizations currently recognized as CHDO's by the Cuyahoga Housing Consortium. Proposals from other sources are not permitted. Proposals are reviewed and funding decisions are made by the seven voting members of the Cuyahoga Housing Consortium Review Board, at regular meetings open to the public.	Approve Disapprove Hold
CPB2011- 416	Office of Procurement and Diversity	<ol> <li>Provide detailed information about why you need to acquire these goods or services.</li> <li>Periscope BuySpeed System is a database, a forms program and a processing system. This system maintains county requisitions, purchase orders, bids, payment vouchers and approval mechanisms. This is the central purchasing system maintained by Cuyahoga County's Office of Procurement and Diversity.</li> <li>Has your department bought these goods/IT services in</li> </ol>	
		<ul> <li>the past?</li> <li>Original purchase was in 2003. Since this point, we have upgraded several times. This is the single system used since this period of time.</li> <li>3. Why are the requested goods/services the only ones that are patief. Upper participation.</li> </ul>	
		<b>can satisfy your requirements?</b> The BuySpeed System is proprietary in nature. This existing system has a maintenance component which has not changed significantly in price since 2003 (original cost \$17,500 vs. \$27,925 at this time).	
		<ul> <li>4. Were alternative goods/IT services evaluated? This is a maintenance contract for an existing system. Procuring a new system would create a significant cost as well as training for staff.</li> <li>5. What efforts were made to get the best possible price?</li> </ul>	
		<ul> <li>What enous were made to get the best possible price:</li> <li>We have worked closely with Periscope to clarify pricing as well as any additional charges (of which there are none).</li> <li>Upgrades are offered without cost-excerting training fees.</li> <li>6. Will this purchase obligate Cuyahoga County to this</li> </ul>	
		vendor for future purchases? No direct obligations. Indirectly, if we choose to maintain this system, we would need to continue annual maintenance in future years.	
		7. Why is the price for this purchase considered to be fair	

and reasonable?	
Cost is \$27, 925.00. We have approximately 200 users from	Approve
over 30 County departments. This cost is deemed	Disapprove
reasonable. Maintenance services have been efficient.	Hold

# D. Consent Agenda

# i. Scheduled Consent Items

tment of Public Works, recommending payment of a from the County's Self-Insurance Fund in the amount 750.00 to J. Doss for personal injury.	Approve
750.00 to J. Doss for personal injury.	Approve
	Approve
	Disapprove
ng Source: 100% Self Insurance Fund	Hold
tment of Development, submitting an amendment to	
ment No. AG0800015-01 with City of Cleveland	
ts for the Homeowner Rehabilitation Loan Program	
e period 4/1/2008 - 12/31/2011 to extend the time	
•	
	Approve
ng Source: 100% City of Cleveland Heights' allocation	Disapprove
	Hold
· •	
-	
0	
,	Approve
ng Source: 100% City of Lakewood's allocation of	Disapprove
	Hold
• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	
	Approve
ng Source: 100% City of Fuclid's allocation of HOME	Disapprove
<b>c</b>	Hold
•	
	Approve
ng Source: 100% U.S. Dent of Housing and Urban	Disapprove
opment Lead Hazard Remediation Grant	Hold
	ement No. AG0800015-01 with City of Cleveland its for the Homeowner Rehabilitation Loan Program e period 4/1/2008 - 12/31/2011 to extend the time d to 12/31/2012; no additional funds required. Ing Source: 100% City of Cleveland Heights' allocation IME funds from the Cuyahoga HOME Consortium rtment of Development, submitting an amendment to ement No. AG0900048-01 with City of Lakewood for lixed Use Rental Assistance Loan Program for the d 7/1/2009 - 12/31/2011 to extend the time period to 2012; no additional funds required. Ing Source: 100% City of Lakewood's allocation of E funds from the Cuyahoga HOME Consortium rtment of Development, submitting an amendment to fact No. CE0900363-03 with Euclid Development oration for the Housing Rehabilitation Loan Program e Emergency Grant Program for the period 3/1/2009 - 1/2011 to extend the time period to 12/31/2012; no ional funds required. Ing Source: 100% City of Euclid's allocation of HOME from the Cuyahoga HOME Consortium rtment of Development, submitting an amendment to act No. CE1100427-01 with MAE Construction, LLC for Remediation of property located at 5900 Lotusdale , Parma Heights, in connection with the FY2010 Lead- I Paint Hazard Control and Lead Hazard Reduction postration Grant Program for the period 8/3/2011 - 2011 to extend the time period to 5/31/2012; no ional funds required.

CPB2011-	Department	Department of Development, submitting an amendment to	
422	of	Contract No. CE1000466-01 with City of Berea for the Polish	
122	Development	Village Neighborhood Improvement Project for the	
	Development	Community Development Block Grant Program for the	
		period $5/1/2010 - 10/31/2011$ to extend the time period to	
		6/30/2012; no additional funds required.	
			Approve
		Funding Source: 100% Federal Community Development	Disapprove
		Block Grant Fund	Hold
CPB2011-	Department	Department of Development, submitting an amendment to	
423	of	Contract No. CE1000484-01 with City of Richmond Heights	
	Development	for the Elementary School Playground Surface Upgrade and	
		Community Garden Project for the FY2010 Parks and	
		Playground Grant Program for the period 6/1/2010 -	
		10/31/2011 to extend the time period to 12/31/2011; no	
		additional funds required.	
			Approve
		Funding Source: 100% Federal Community Development	Disapprove
		Block Grant Fund	Hold
CPB2011-	Department	Department of Development, submitting an amendment to	
424	of	Contract No. CE1100598-01 with BDL General Contracting,	
	Development	Inc. for Lead Remediation for property located at 1850	
		Beverly Hills Drive, Euclid, in connection with the FY2010	
		Lead-Based Paint Hazard Control and Lead Hazard	
		Reduction Demonstration Grant Program for the period	
		10/11/2011 - 12/10/2011 to extend the time period to	
		5/31/2012; no additional funds required.	Approvo
		Funding Source: 100% U.S. Dept. of Housing and Urban	Approve Disapprove
		Development Lead Hazard Remediation Grant	Hold
CPB2011-	Information	Information Services Center, submitting Addendum No. 1	
425	Services	to the request for proposals on RQ21555 from various	
	Center	providers for Electronic Case Management System software	
		for the Inspector General's Office for the period 2/1/2012 -	Approve
		6/1/2012 to make technical changes and to change the bid	Disapprove
		due date from 12/14/2011 to 12/28/2011.	Hold
CPB2011-	Medical	Medical Examiner, submitting a revenue generating	
426	Examiner	Memorandum of Understanding with Louis Stokes	
		Cleveland Department of Veteran Affairs Medical Center	
		for cold storage services for human remains for the period	
		10/25/2011 - 10/24/2012.	Approve
			Disapprove
ļ		Funding Source: No funds required	Hold
CPB2011-	Juvenile	Juvenile Court, submitting Addendum No. 1 to the request	
427	Court	for proposals on RQ21512 for the electronic monitoring	
		services for the period $1/1/2012 - 12/21/2013$ ; to make	
		technical changes and to change the bid due date from	<b>A</b>
		12/15/2011 to 12/28/2011.	Approve
		Funding Sources 100% Concred Fund	Disapprove
		Funding Source: 100% General Fund	Hold

CPB2011-	Department of Public	Department of Public Safety and Justice Services,	
428		submitting agreements with various municipalities for	
	Safety and	reimbursement of eligible expenses in connection with the	
	Justice	FY2010 State Homeland Security Program for the period	
	Services	8/1/2010 - 2/28/2013:	
		<ul> <li>a) City of Berea in the amount not-to-exceed \$1,300.00.</li> <li>b) City of Brook Park in the amount not-to-exceed</li> </ul>	
		\$1,296.15.	
		<ul><li>c) City of Brooklyn in the amount not-to-exceed \$1,300.00.</li><li>d) City of Garfield Heights in the amount not-to-exceed</li></ul>	
		\$1,273.74.	Approve
		<i>μ</i> μ <i>ζ</i> , <i>σ</i> , <i></i>	Disapprove
		Funding Source: 100% by DHS through OEMA	Hold
CPB2011-	Department	Department of Public Safety and Justice Services,	
429	of Public	submitting agreements with various municipalities for	
123	Safety and	reimbursement of eligible expenses in connection with the	
	Justice	FY2010 State Homeland Security Program for the period	
	Services	8/1/2010 - 2/28/2013:	
		a) City of Broadview Heights in the amount not-to-exceed	
		\$1,300.00.	
		b) Orange Village in the amount not-to-exceed \$1,300.00.	
		c) Village of Valley View in the amount not-to-exceed	
		\$5,195.00.	Approve
			Disapprove
CDD2011	Denertment	Funding Source: 100% by DHS through OEMA	Hold
CPB2011- 430	Department of Public	Department of Public Safety and Justice Services, submitting an agreement with City of Olmsted Falls in the	
450	Safety and	amount not-to-exceed \$540.90 for reimbursement of	
	Justice	eligible training and exercise expenses in connection with	
	Services	the FY2008 Urban Area Security Initiative Grant program	
	Scivices	for the period 1/6/2011 - 5/15/2011.	Approve
			Disapprove
		Funding Source: 100% by DHS through OEMA	Hold
CPB2011-	Department	Department of Public Safety and Justice Services,	
431	of Public	submitting an agreement with City of Olmsted Falls in the	
	Safety and	amount not-to-exceed \$415.24 for reimbursement of	
	Justice	eligible training and exercise expenses in connection with	
	Services	the FY2008 Urban Area Security Initiative Grant program	
		for the period 1/6/2011 - 8/1/2011.	Approve
			Disapprove
		Funding Source: 100% by DHS through OEMA	Hold
CPB2011-	Department	Department of Public Safety and Justice Services,	
432	of Public	submitting an agreement with City of Brecksville in the	
	Safety and	amount not-to-exceed \$1,180.73 for reimbursement of	
	Justice	eligible training and exercise expenses in connection with	
	Services	the FY2008 Urban Area Security Initiative Grant Program	A
		for the period 1/6/2011 - 8/1/2011.	Approve
		Funding Source: 100% by DHS through OEMA	Disapprove Hold
		Funding Source: 100% by DHS through OEMA	nuiu

CPB2011- 433	Department of Public Safety and Justice Services	Department of Public Safety and Justice Services, submitting amendments to various grant agreements with Ohio Office of Criminal Justice Services for the FY2010 STOP Violence Against Women Act Grant Program for the period 1/1/2011 - 12/31/2011 to extend the time period to 6/30/2012; no additional funds required. a) in the amount of \$509,170.63. b) in the amount of \$15,642,42 for administration	
		<ul> <li>b) in the amount of \$15,643.43 for administration.</li> <li>Funding Source: 25% match requirement for the block</li> <li>Portion under this grant is assumed by the sub-contractors.</li> <li>The 25% match for the administrative Award is budgeted in the Public Safety Justice Services Public Safety Grants general fund.</li> </ul>	Approve Disapprove Hold
CPB2011- 434	Department of Public Safety and Justice Services	Department of Public Safety and Justice Services, submitting an agreement with Lake County Board of Commissioners in the amount not-to-exceed \$80,000.00 for reimbursement of purchase of equipment and eligible expenses in connection with the FY2010 State Homeland Security-Law Enforcement Grant Program for the period 8/1/2010 - 2/28/2013.	Approve Disapprove
CPB2011- 435	Department of Public	Funding Source: 100% by DHS through OEMA Department of Public Safety and Justice Services, submitting an agreement with City of Brunswick in the	Hold
	Safety and Justice Services	amount not-to-exceed \$2,474.92 for reimbursement of eligible training and exercise expenses in connection with the FY2008 Urban Area Security Initiative Grant Program for the period 1/6/2011 - 8/1/2011.	Approve Disapprove
		Funding Source: 100% by DHS through OEMA	Hold
CPB2011- 436	Department of Public Safety and Justice Services	Department of Public Safety and Justice Services, submitting an amendment to a grant award in the amount of \$20,000.00 from Ohio Department of Youth Services for administration for the FY2010 Juvenile Justice and Delinquency Prevention Program for the period 1/1/2011 - 12/31/2011 to extend the time period to 6/30/2012.	
		Funding Source: ODYS with a 50% cash match which is provided from the Dept. of Public Safety and Justice Services General Fund	Approve Disapprove Hold
CPB2011- 437	Office of Procurement and Diversity	Presenting BuySpeed purchases for the week of December 26, 2011-December 30, 2011.	Approve Disapprove Hold

VI. Other Business

VII. Public Comment

VIII. Adjournment

<u>Minutes</u>

### Contracts and Purchasing Board County Administration Building, 4th Floor December 19, 2011 11:30 PM

I. Call to Order The Meeting was called to order at 11:46 AM

II. Review and Approve Minutes The minutes were reviewed and approved as written

III. Public Comment There was no public comment

IV. Contracts and Awards

A. Tabled Items

B. Scheduled Items

CPB2011-365 Department of Development Submitting a contract with Emerald Development and Economic Network, Inc. in the amount not-to-exceed \$24,588.00 for the Tenant Based Rental Assistance Program for the period 11/1/2011 - 4/30/2012.

Funding Source: 100% Federal funds through Home Investment Partnership Program

\_\_\_X \_\_Approve \_\_\_\_\_Disapprove \_\_\_\_\_Hold

CPB2011-366 Department of Development Submitting a contract with We Wash Inc. in the amount of \$9,975.00 for exterior improvements in connection with a Storefront Renovation Rebate Program project located at 27180 Detroit Road, Westlake, for the period 12/14/2011 - 6/11/2012.

Funding Source: 100% General Fund

\_\_\_X \_\_\_Approve \_\_\_\_\_Disapprove \_\_\_\_\_Hold

CPB2011-367 Department of Development Recommending an award on RQ21567 to Community Housing Solutions in the amount not-to-exceed \$30,000.00 for the Emergency Furnace Repair Services Program for the period 1/1/2012 - 5/31/2012. (Contracts and Purchasing Board Approval No. CPB2011-179 - authority to seek proposals).

Funding Source: 100% Community Development Block Grant Funds

\_\_\_X \_\_\_Approve \_\_\_\_\_Disapprove \_\_\_\_\_Hold

CPB2011-368 Information Services Center Submitting a state contract with DLT Solutions, LLC, in the amount not-to-exceed \$708.68 for maintenance on Quest TOAD software for Division of Children & Family Services for the period 6/30/2011 - 6/30/2012.

Funding Source: 100% General Fund

\_\_\_X \_\_\_Approve \_\_\_\_\_Disapprove \_\_\_\_\_Hold

CPB2011-369 Department of Workforce Development1) Submitting a contract with A.J. Rose Mfg. Co. in the amount not-to-exceed \$11,629.80 for the On-the-Job Training Program for the period 11/7/2011 - 3/31/2012.
2) Submitting a contract with Menorah Park Center for Senior Living BET Moshav Zekenim Hadati in the amount not-to-exceed \$3,477.60 for the On-the-Job Training Program for the period 11/22/2011 - 12/31/2011.
3) Submitting a contract with Repower Solutions, LLC, in the amount not-to-exceed \$3,757.78 for the On-the-Job Training Program for the period 12/1/2011 - 6/30/2012.

Funding Source: 100% Workforce Investment Act Funds

\_ X\_\_Approve \_\_\_\_Disapprove \_\_\_\_Hold

CPB2011-370 Office of Procurement & Diversity

Department of Development/Airport Division

1) on RQ21263 to Na-Churs Plant Food Company dba Nachurs Alpine Solutions (4-2) in the amount of

Recommending an award:

\$35,200.00 for runway deicing fluid for the period 11/1/2011 - 10/31/2012.

Funding Source: 100% General Fund

\_\_\_\_\_Approve \_\_\_\_\_Disapprove \_\_\_\_X\_\_Hold

C. Exemption Requests

CPB2011-371 Department of Workforce Development

1. Vendor/Department Information

Department: Workforce Development

2. What is the product/service that you seek to acquire?

Agreement for Ledger Suite /Quic+ phone agreement.

3. Will this purchase obligate Cuyahoga County to this or any other vendor for future purchases, for example, maintenance, licensing or continuing need?

No

4. Why do you need to acquire these goods or services?

This is the State mandated system that WIA must use to report financials and request draws.

5. Why are the requested goods/services the only ones that can satisfy your requirements?

MAXIMUS Consulting Services provides support, program updates, user group trainings and system materials for Ledger Suite/Quic+. This is the only system that the State allows WIA to use to report financials and request draws. WIA is mandated by federal regulations to comply with the system dictated by that area's state.

6. Were alternative goods/services evaluated?

No alternatives were evaluated, as Federal WIA regulations require compliance with the State reporting requirements.

7. Identify specific steps taken to negate need for sole source provider.

None. Workforce Development, a WIA Area, must comply with federal regulations.

8. Has your department bought these goods/services in the past?

Sole Sourced based on the system requirements dictated by The State. The Ledger Suite /Quic+ system was with MAXIMUS Consulting Services in the amount of \$2,150.00 for the period of7-2010 through 6-2011.

9. What efforts have been made or are being made to reduce the Department's reliance on a sole source provider for these goods/services in the future?

Workforce Development must continue to comply with State approved systems for reporting all financials and requesting all draws.

10. What efforts were made to get the best possible price?

MAXIMUS Consulting Services has not raised pricing - it remains at 2,150.00.

11. Why is the price for this purchase considered to be fair and reasonable?

Use of the system is dictated by the State. MAXIMUS Consulting Services is the sole provider of this system. No alternatives are available at this time.

12. Amount to be paid: \$2,150.00

\_\_\_X \_\_Approve \_\_\_\_Disapprove \_\_\_\_Hold

CPB2011-372 Prosecutor's Office

1. Description of Supplies or Services

Construction of a specially equipped mobile investigation unit for the Ohio Internet Crimes Against Children (ICAC) Task Force.

2. Estimated Dollar Value and Funding Source(s) including percentage breakdown.

\$135,310; All funds will be coming from Ohio ICAC Grant Funds PR764860 0720

3. Rationale Supporting the Use of the Selected Procurement Method

The company has a track record of providing law enforcement with mobile units, as well as a state contract. Also, they are located in Ohio, which has allowed for greater interaction between the vendor and Task Force members.

4. What other available options and/or vendors were evaluated? If none, include the reasons why. None: The vendor has a proven track record and was able to provide satisfactory answers to all of the Task Force questions

5. What ultimately led you to this product or service? Why was the recommended vendor selected?

Discussions at the national level with members of other state ICAC Task Forces on the need for such an item. This vendor was selected based on their proven track record and interaction with Task Force members and the vendor.

6. Provide an explanation of unacceptable delays in fulfilling the County's need that would be incurred if award was made through a competitive bid.

This product has at best a 180 day construction timetable. Any delay in the receipt of this crucial piece of law enforcement equipment could place law enforcement of the general public in danger.

7. Describe what future plans, if any, the County can take to permit competition before any subsequent purchases of the required supplies or services.

This is a unique situation and purchase. Other law enforcement agencies have recommended such a purchase and attest to how valuable this item is to their investigations and personnel safety. This is not an item which in envision purchasing again for quite some time.

\_\_\_X \_\_\_Approve \_\_\_\_\_Disapprove \_\_\_\_\_Hold

CPB2011-373 Health and Human Services Department of Senior and Adult Services

1. Description of Supplies or Services.

Adult guardianship is the assignment of decision making on behalf of another individual who is deemed to be unable to make their own decisions.

On an annual basis, DSAS refers 68 clients to the provider for adult guardianship services.

The Department of Senior & Adult Services is charged with fulfilling the County's state mandate to provide adult protective services (APS) within the geographical boundaries of Cuyahoga County.

One step in the protection process is to use a guardian to move a person to safety. Unfortunately, a number of these APS clients do not have appropriate family members to provide guardianship services and/or are indigent (lacking the financial means to secure these services for themselves).

Currently, DSAS identifies the at-risk APS client requiring guardianship services and makes a referral to the provider of guardianship services.

The provider completes its own assessment of the client and if it agrees with the DSAS

recommendation for guardianship services, the provider prepares an application to the Probate Court for the appointment of itself as the guardian.

The Probate Court makes the final determination if a client requires guardianship services and if the client is indigent.

2. Estimated Dollar Value: \$475,000.00

3. Rationale Supporting the Use of the Selected Procurement Method

As stated earlier, DSAS is charged with fulfilling the County's state mandate to provide adult protective services (APS) within the geographical boundaries of Cuyahoga County. One step in the protection proce0ss is to use a guardian to move a person to safety. Indigent clients do not have the means by which to secure their own guardian.

In 1988, Lutheran Metropolitan Ministry created its adult guardianship program for the sole purpose of addressing the unique need for guardianship services for indigent clients. It is now the only organization that delivers adult guardianship services for indigent clients in Cuyahoga County. Because of this, DSAS bas contracted with LMM for this service since 2003.

Prior to 2003, DSAS struggled with requesting the Probate Court identities attorneys to provide these services. Absent the availability of individual attorneys willing to provide these services to indigent clients, clients went without guardianship services and remained at-risk.

For these reasons, DSAS is requesting to continue to the relationship with LMM by means other than "full and open competition" as:

1) LMM is the only provider of indigent guardianship services in Cuyahoga County.

2) DSAS docs not have the staff capacity to furnish guardianship services.

3) It is a conflict of interest for DSAS to both recommend a person for guardianship services and to provide those services which further eliminates the possibility of DSAS providing these services itself:

4) Absent a contract to provide these services, this need will remain unmet and the clients will remain at-risk.

4. What other available options and/vendors were evaluated? None, include the reasons why. No other vendors were evaluated. The services which are being provided are for a particular and special need. LMM's program was created specifically to meet the need of indigent clients.

DSAS attempted to solicit other providers of this service by researching the Internet, however no other potential providers were identified.

5. What ultimately lead you to this product or service? Why was the recommended vendor selected? DSAS bas secured adult guardianship services for the last 8 years from Lutheran Metropolitan Ministry (LMM) via a sole source contract.

LMM's adult guardianship program was established in 1988 to provide legal guardians to serve as concerned, caring advocates and surrogate decision-makers for indigent people who were deemed incompetent by. the Cuyahoga County Probate Court. According our web-based research, LMM

Is the sole provider of' indigent guardianship services in Cuyahoga County.

6. Provide an explanation of unacceptable delays in fulfilling the County's need that would be incurred if award was made through a competitive bid.

If competitively bid, DSAS is not likely to receive a bid from any other potential provider While this process unfolds and until a new contract is executed with the current provider•, new APS clients will not receive Guardianship services as our current contract expires 12/31/11.

7. Describe what future plans, if any, the County can take to permit competition before any subsequent purchases of the required supplies or services.

If OPD and the County Contacts & Purchasing Board don't agree that LMM is the sole provider• of indigent guardianship services but do agree to granting a one-time waiver- for the upcoming contract period, DSAS will plan to issue a RFP for adult guardianship services in July 2012 for the period 1/01/13 through 12/.31/13.

\_\_\_X \_\_Approve \_\_\_\_Disapprove \_\_\_\_Hold

### CPB2011-374 Health and Human Services

1. Description of Supplies or Services.

The purpose of these amendments is to reallocate awarded contract dollars to reflect anticipated service delivery through the end of the current contract period (6/30/12).

In 2010 Cuyahoga County issued RFP 15974 for the purpose of procuring adult day, chore, emergency response, grab bar, borne delivered meal, homemaker and transportation services to be delivered to clients participating in the County Options program during the period 7/1/10 through 12/31/10. Forty seven (47) potential providers submitted a total of 66 service proposals. These proposals were evaluated and scored by members of DSAS who compared proposals for 11 particular service (i.e., one group evaluated chore proposals, another group evaluated home delivered meal services, etc.)

Provider proposals needed to score a minimum of 60 (out of 100) points to be considered for an award. Proposals were ranked by score highest to lowest. Starting from the top of the list for each service, awards were recommended based on the provider's service delivery area and unit price until the money was exhausted for a particular service. With the exception of grab bar installation, contracts were awarded to more than one provider for each service (there is less of a demand for grab bar services so only one contract [worth \$5,0001 was awarded).

### 2. Estimated Dollar Value

DSAS is recommending amendments to its current Options contracts resulting in an overall program decrease of \$490,398 which represents and overall program reduction of 9.5%. Overall, DSAS seeks to decrease eighteen (18) contracts, increase six (6) contracts while nine (9) contracts will remain unchanged (33 contracts overall).

Currently, Options contracts total \$5,152,162. If the amendments are approved as recommended, the value of these contracts will decrease to \$4,661,764.

As to the money being vacated by these amendments, DSAS will be submitting n separate request to reallocate up to \$300,000 to providers of its Community Social Services and the providers' of its Community Social Services Program (CSSP) as the demand for CSSP services and providers' abilities to deliver contracted services is outpacing the current allocation to this program. This request will be submitted in late December 2011/early January 2012 at which time DSAS expects to be submitting the executed options amendments listed in this request. The proposed CSSP amendments will be based on provider service delivery and contract scores. Both sets of amendments have been discussed with OBM.

3. Rationale Supporting the Use of the Selected Procurement Method

The procurement method used for the original awards (a RFP) is required by County policy. {question adapted to explain rationale for amendments}

In determining the amendments, DSAS sought to reallocate program dollars to reflect anticipated service delivery by the various providers through the end of the current contract period (6/30/13). DSAS reviewed each contract separately, analyzing the number of units delivered by each provider and factoring in the number of clients enrolled with each provider. Those contracts being reduced will allow the affected providers to continue to serve its current options caseload through 6/30/12.

When evaluation the amendments, it is important to understand how clients are referred to providers. First and foremost, clients are referred to providers who serve the whole County (or large geographic areas) are being recommended for increases while providers serving smaller areas are being recommended for decreases. Reallocating monies this way will allow options to continue to serve large geographic areas are being recommended for decreases because the provider's reluctance to accept referrals has resulted in decreased client counts. Secondly, clients, who share in part of the cost of the services they receive, enjoy limited input in the decision as to which provider's serve them.

At the time awards were made in May 2010. DSAS increased its allocation to home delivered meals (HDM) and transportation (TRN) in order to meet expected demand. While additional dollars did allow DSAS to eliminate the wait list for services, the expected demand did not materialize resulting in surpluses of \$122,000 (HDM) (9.7%) and \$241,00 (TRN) (28%) in these services. Specific to HDM, new clients tended to enroll with on of the two providers (Casleo & Mobile Meals) rather than the traditional neighborhood centers. This is because Casleo offers ethnic and kosher food which is preferred by Options' Russian speaking clients and as the only HDM provider serving the entire county, the DSAS contract with Mobile Meals allows Options to serve clients in areas previously not served.

Options transportation offers clients one of tow types of service: medical transportation and/or adult day transportation. The reductions to the adult day transportation providers go hand in hand with corresponding reductions to the providers' adult day contracts. Two medical transportation providers, A-1 MedTran and Senior Transportation Connection for large reductions as a result of the over-funding issue explained previously and in the case of Senior Transportation Connection, the provider's limited service delivery area in the first year of its contract. In addition, many clients prefer to utilize a third contracted medical transportation provider, Ace Taxi Service, as Ave Taxi has a lower unit rate and only requires 24 hour notification required by the other two providers.

The general decreased in emergency response and grab bar services are a result of lower than anticipated demand for these services: while the redistribution of contract dollars for chore and homemaker services reflect a redistribution of contract dollars from providers with smaller service areas and lower acceptance rates to providers with larger service areas and/or higher acceptance rates.

4. What other available options and or vendors were evaluated? If none, include the reasons why. No other vendors were evaluated outside of the initial submissions as doing so would violate County policy.

5. What ultimately lead you to this product or service? Why was the recommended vendor selected? This question is not applicable as it pertains to these amendments. The process for originally identifying providers is detailed in the answer to question 1. The rationale for the amendments is detailed in answer to question 3.

6. Provide an explanation of unacceptable delays in fulfilling the County's need that would be incurred if award was made through a competitive bid.

This question is not applicable as it pertains to these amendments. As explained in question III, DSAS used a competitive process when initially selecting providers.

7. Describe what future plans, if any, the County can take to permit competition before any subsequent purchases of the required supplies or services.

DSAS is currently drafting the RFP for procuring Options services for the perio1l 7/1/12 through 6/30/14. Once the County's Communications Officer approves the legal notice for this RFP, the RFP will be submitted for approval. Approval of the legal notice has already been submitted.

\_\_\_\_X\_Approve \_\_\_\_\_Disapprove \_\_\_\_\_Hold

CPB2011-375 Health and Human Services Department of Children and Family Services 1. Description of Supplies or Services.

The Cuyahoga County Department of Children and Family Services (CFS) is requesting authorization to enter into contracts with three (3) organizations for diligent recruitment activities for the period September 30, 2011— September 29, 2012. The Partners for Forever Families initiative is entering its fourth (4th) year of funding through an Adoptions Opportunities Grant via the United States Department of Health and Human Services, Administration for Children and Families, Children's Bureau. This is a five- year federal grant award for the period September 30, 2008 thru September 29, 2013 (award # 90C01034).

Under the grant, (CFS) is working with the Children's Bureau and three partners to impact permanency outcomes for youth through diligent recruitment efforts targeted toward: teens, sibling groups, and relatives through:

\* Implementing comprehensive multi-faceted diligent recruitment programs for resource families, including kinship, foster, concurrent and adoptive families for children and youth served by public child welfare agencies as a means of improving permanency outcomes.

\* Integrating the diligent recruitment program with other agency programs including foster care case planning and permanency planning processes to facilitate active concurrent planning activities.

\*Evaluating the implementation of the comprehensive diligent recruitment programs:

Adoption Network Cleveland will provide kinship/resource family system navigation services.

Beech Brook will provide services through the "Teen Permanency Connections" program. They will have one fulltime staff person assigned to work with older teens in the agency's permanent custody to create permanency connections prior to aging out of the system.

Case Western Reserve University will provide project coordination and grant evaluation services.

2. Estimated Dollar Value

Adoption Network Cleveland----\$110,000.00

Beech Brook--- \$79,022.00

Case Western Reserve University--- \$147,031.00

TOTAL--- \$336,053.00

3. Rationale Supporting the Use of the Selected Procurement Method

The three organizations were included as part of the original grant application submission. The federal grant award to (CFS) is based upon a collaborative partnership with Adoption Network Cleveland, Beech Brook, and Case Western Reserve University.

4. What other available options and/or vendors were evaluated? If none, include the reasons why.

CFS is entering the fourth (4th) year of a five- year grant award. The three organizations were included as part of the original grant application submission in 2008. The federal grant award to The Cuyahoga County Department of Children and Family Services (CFS) is based upon a collaborative partnership with these entities.

5. What ultimately lead you to this product or service? Why was the recommended vendor selected? The federal grant award is based upon a collaborative grant application with Adoption Network Cleveland, Beech Brook, and Case Western Reserve University.

6. Provide an explanation of unacceptable delays in fulfilling the County's need that would be incurred if award was made through a competitive bid.

CFS is entering the fourth (4th) year of a five- year grant award. The year four work plan has been approved by the Federal Project Officer responsible for monitoring the project through the Administration for Children and Families. Further delays could result in the loss of the grant, and the continuation of funding for the project by

the United States Department of Health and Human Services, Administration for Children and Families, Children's Bureau.

7. Describe what future plans, if any, the County can take to permit competition before any subsequent purchases of the required supplies or services.

The federal grant award is based upon a collaborative grant application with Adoption Network Cleveland, Beech Brook, and Case Western Reserve University for the period of 9/30/2008 thru 9/29/2013.

\_\_\_X \_\_Approve \_\_\_\_\_Disapprove \_\_\_\_\_Hold

CPB2011-376 Health and Human Services Department of Children and Family Services 1. Description of Supplies or Services.

The Cuyahoga County Department of Children and Family Services (CFS) is requesting authorization to contract with various agencies providing placement services.

These contracts are for placement services which include foster care, residential care, independent living, group homes, and shelter care and/or day treatment.

2. Estimated Dollar Value.

-The contract period is October 1, 2011 – June 30, 2012.

Carrington Academy- \$153,000.00

Fox Run Center for Children and Adolescents- \$153,000.00

Keystone Richland Center, LLC DBA Foundations For Living- \$51,300.00

The total dollar amount of all contracts is- \$357,300.00

3. Rationale Supporting the Use of the Selected Procurement Method?

At the request of the County Executive Office, the 2011-12 Board and Care RFP (RQ20366) was placed on hold and subsequently canceled because the RFP responses did not yield the anticipated pricing/cost results.

4. What other available options and/or vendors were evaluated? If none, include the reasons why.

We completed a RFP. The RFP did not yield a reduction in the average daily cost of service as was anticipated.

5. What ultimately lead you to this product or service? Why was the recommended vendor selected? Providers were selected based upon the range of services available that best met the needs of the youth needing placement.

6. Provide an explanation of unacceptable delays in fulfilling the County's need that would be incurred if award was made through a competitive bid.

We completed a RFP. The RFP did not yield a reduction in the average daily cost of service as was anticipated. 7. Describe what future plans, if any, the County can take to permit competition before any subsequent purchases of the required supplies or services.

The County plans to engage in a competitive bid process for the next contract period.

\_\_\_X \_\_\_Approve \_\_\_\_\_Disapprove \_\_\_\_\_Hold

D. Consent Agenda

i. Scheduled Consent Items

CPB2011-377 Department of Public Works Submitting specifications and estimate of cost; requesting authority for the Director of the Office of Procurement & Diversity to advertise for bids:

a) on RQ21875 for maintenance on the Metasys and Pneumatic Heating, Ventilation and Air Conditioning Control Systems for various County buildings for the period 4/1/2012 - 3/31/2014 for an estimated cost in the amount not-to-exceed \$411,310.00.

Funding Source: 100% General Fund

\_\_\_X \_\_Approve \_\_\_\_Disapprove \_\_\_\_Hold

CPB2011-378 Department of Public Works Submitting specifications and estimate of cost; requesting authority for the Director of the Office of Procurement & Diversity to advertise for bids:

a) on RQ21880 for maintenance and repair of the Fire Alarm System located at the Justice Center for the period 4/1/2012 - 3/31/2014 for an estimated cost in the amount not-to-exceed \$180,752.00.

Funding Source: 100% General Fund

\_\_\_X \_\_\_Approve \_\_\_\_\_Disapprove \_\_\_\_\_Hold

CPB2011-379 Department of Public Works Recommending payment of a claim from the County's Self-Insurance Fund in the amount of \$812.65 to D & T Nelis for vehicle damage.

Funding Source: 100% Self Insurance Fund

\_\_\_X \_\_Approve \_\_\_\_Disapprove \_\_\_\_Hold

CPB2011-380 Department of Public Works Submitting an agreement with Olmsted Township for snow removal services for the period 12/1/2011 - 4/30/2012.

Funding Source: 100%

\_\_\_X \_\_Approve \_\_\_\_\_Disapprove \_\_\_\_\_Hold

CPB2011-381 Department of Public Works Submitting an amendment to an agreement with Wheeling and Lake Erie Railway Company in connection with the replacement of Austin Powder Drive Bridge No. 137 over a branch of Tinkers Creek in the Village of Glenwillow to add the time period of 10/10/2007 - 3/31/2013. Funding Source: 100% County Road and Bridge Funds

\_\_\_X \_\_\_Approve \_\_\_\_\_Disapprove \_\_\_\_\_Hold

CPB2011-382 Department of Public Works Recommending awards to various property owners as settlement for property rights in connection with the widening and reconstruction of Barrett Road from Spafford Road to the Berea West Corporation Line, replacement of Barrett Road Culvert Nos. 8, 9, 10 and 11 and improvement of Barrett Road Culvert No. 12 in Olmsted Township:

Parcel No(s): 29CH

Owner(s): R.L. Bogater

Approved Appraisal (Fair Market Value Estimated): \$300.00

Parcel No(s): 31CH

Owner(s): T.J. & S.A. Antel

Approved Appraisal (Fair Market Value Estimated): \$300.00

Funding Source: 50% State Issue One Funds and 50% County Road and Bridge Funds

\_\_\_X \_\_Approve \_\_\_\_\_Disapprove \_\_\_\_\_Hold

CPB2011-383 Office of Procurement & Diversity Recommending to reject all bids received on RQ21077 for snow removal services at the Cuyahoga County Juvenile Justice Center for the period 11/1/2011 - 4/30/2012. \_\_\_X\_Approve \_\_\_\_Disapprove \_\_\_\_Hold

CPB2011-384 Office of Procurement & Diversity Submitting specifications and estimate of cost; requesting authority for the Director to advertise for bids:

County Sheriff on RQ21453 for temporary professional practitioner services for the period 3/1/2012 - 2/28/2014 for an estimated cost in the amount not-to-exceed \$495,000.00.

Funding Source: 100% General Fund

\_\_\_X \_\_\_Approve \_\_\_\_\_Disapprove \_\_\_\_\_Hold

CPB2011-385 Department of Health and Human Services Division of Children & Family Services, requesting authority to seek proposals on RQ21629 for Independent Living care package services for young adults for the period 11/1/2011 - 3/31/2012; requesting authority for the Director of the Office of Procurement & Diversity to advertise for proposals for an estimated cost in the amount not-to-exceed \$49,997.00. Funding Source: 100% Tobacco Use Prevention and Control Foundation Endowment Fund

\_\_\_\_X\_Approve \_\_\_\_\_Disapprove \_\_\_\_\_Hold

CPB2011-386 Public DefenderSubmitting a revenue generating agreement with City of Cleveland/Cleveland Municipal Court in the amount not-to-exceed \$1,804,656.00 for legal services for indigent persons for the period 1/1/2011 - 12/31/2011.

\_\_\_\_\_Approve \_\_\_\_\_Disapprove \_\_\_\_\_Hold

CPB2011-387Office of Procurement and DiversityPresenting BuySpeed purchases for the week ofDecember 19, 2011-December 23, 2011.\_\_\_\_\_\_\_XApprove\_\_\_\_\_\_\_Hold

VI. Other BusinessVII. Public CommentThere was no public commentVIII. AdjournmentThe meeting was adjourned at 12:18 PM

#### Tabled Items

CPB2011-370

Title: Office of Procurement and Diversity recommending award of contract with Na-Churs Plant Food Company

A. Scope of Work Summary

1. Office of Procurement & Diversity recommending award of contract on RQ21263 with Na-Churs Plant Food Company dba Nachurs Alpine Solutions, Ind. in the amount of \$35,200.00 for the period 11/1/2011 through 10/31/2012.

2. The primary goal is to enter into a contract to furnish and deliver runway deicing fluid (Potassium Acetate) to the Cuyahoga County Airport to ensure safe runway and pedestrian areas.

- B. Procurement
- 1. The procurement method was a competitive bid. The estimate was \$56,000.00.
- 2. The bid closed on 11/01/2011. There was a 0% SBE goal.
- 3. There were 2 bids pulled from OPD, 2 bids submitted, and 1 bid approved.
- C. Contractor and Project Information

1. Contractor: Na-Churs Plant Food Company dba, Nachurs Alpine Solutions, Ind., 421Leader Street Marion, Ohio 43302

2. The owner/vice president/CFO for the company is Jeff Barnes.

3.a. The address for the project is Cuyahoga County Airport, 26300 Curtiss Wright Parkway, Richmond Heights, OH 44143

3.b. The project is located in Council District 11.

- D. Project Status and Planning
- 1. The project Is not new to the County, it is a reoccurring contract.

2. The project is on a critical action path because it is urgent that a contractor is in place before winter. The Department of Development (Airport Division) will be providing any service needed before contract award.

E. Funding

- 1. The project is funded 100% by the General Fund.
- 2. The schedule of payment is monthly.

#### Scheduled Items

CPB2011-388

Title: Department of Public Works submitting contract with Iron Mountain Information Management.

#### A. Scope of Work Summary

Submitting a contract amendment with (current contract CE 0400036) Iron Mountain Information Management, Inc., for underground record storage located at 1137 Branchton Road, Boyers, PA commencing on November 1, 2010 to October 31, 2011 to extend the time period to 10/31/2011, to change the terms effective 11/1/2010, and for additional funds in the amount of \$16,327.20.

B. Procurement

C. Contractor and Project Information

Iron Mountain Information Management, Inc. - Tom Sommers, Business Manager

### D. Project Status and Planning

1. The Clerk of Courts - Common Pleas, Probate Court, Juvenile Court, Recorders Office, and other County agencies utilize 1,416 cubic feet for long-term safe and secure underground storage needs. This reflects the desire to provide a unique, highly secure environment to protect various County Agencies microfilm copies of vital records. The County has utilized this location for many years, and the facility houses public records for local and state governments across the Country.

2. There is a 3% cost increase based on higher utility expenses.

E. Funding Total Amount Requested: \$16,327.20

-----

CPB2011-389

Title: Department of Public Works submitting agreement with Greater Cleveland Partnership

A. Scope of Work Summary

1. Submitting an agreement in the amount of \$40,000.00 with Greater Cleveland Partnership for the Build Up Greater Cleveland 2011 Program for the period of January 1, 2011 through December 31, 2011.

2. Purpose - to continue a regional partnership with the Greater Cleveland Core Government Units.

B. Procurement

C. Contractor and Project Summary Greater Cleveland Partnership 1240 Huron Road E Cleveland, OH 44115-1717

### D. Project Status and Planning

E. Funding

Funding Source: Road and Bridge Fund, Sanitary Sewer District Fund

-----

CPB2011-390

Title: Department of Development requesting approval of agreement with City of Shaker Heights

A. Scope of Work Summary

1. Department of Development, requesting approval of an agreement with the City of Shaker Heights for \$57,300.00. The anticipated start and completion dates are 10/19/2011- 10/19/2012.

2. The primary goals of the project are to provide benefit to an area with a majority of low, moderate, and middle-income persons and; to aid in the prevention or elimination of slum or blight, by demolishing vacant and abandoned housing.

B. Procurement

1. Resolution number 092306 dated June 2, 2009 authorized the Department of Development to enter into a grant agreement with the State of Ohio, Department of Development in the amount of \$1,330,773.00 for the Neighborhood Stabilization Program. Grant funds were awarded for the purpose of reimbursing any of the fifty (50) eligible suburban communities that make up the urban county, for their cost associated with demolishing single family and two family homes. The procurement method for this project was a request for funding from an eligible suburban community.

2. The total value of the grant agreement is \$57,300.00.

3. Procurement method was approved by OPD on November 17, 2011.

C. Contractor and Project Information

1. The address of the contracting party is: City of Shaker Heights , 3400 Lee Road , Shaker Heights, Ohio 44120 2. Earl Leiken, Mayor.

3.a The addresses of the projects are:
3553 Chelton Road
3554 Chelton Road
3630 Menlo Road
Shaker Heights, OH 44120
3.b. The projects are located in Council District 9; C. Ellen Connally, Councilwoman

D. Project Status and Planning

1. Project is an ongoing expenditure of NSP funding allocated by the State of Ohio. Resolution number 092306 dated June 2, 2009 authorized the Department of Development to enter into a grant agreement with the State of Ohio, Department of Development in the amount of \$1,330,773.00 for the Neighborhood Stabilization Program.

2. Grant funds were awarded for the purpose of reimbursing any of the fifty (50) eligible suburban communities that make up the urban county, for their cost associated with demolishing single family and two family homes.

E. Funding

1. The projects is funded 100% by Federal Neighborhood Stabilization Program (NSP1) funds passed through the State of Ohio.

2. The schedule of payments is via invoice upon complete.

-----

CPB2011-391

Title: Department of Development requesting approval of contract with Allenbey Construction Co., LLC

A. Scope of Work Summary

Department of Development requesting approval of a contract with Allenbey Construction Co., LLC for the anticipated cost \$10,580.00. The anticipated start-completion dates are December 19, 2011 – May 31, 2012.
 The primary goals of the project are removing lead hazards at the home of a low-income family, maintaining the housing stock of Cuyahoga County's inner ring suburbs, and reducing the risk of lead poisoning in young children.

# B. Procurement

1. The procurement method for this project was competitive bidding administered by the Department of Development. The total value of the winning competitive bid is \$10,580.00.

2. The competitive bid was closed on 10/27/2011.

3. There were 6 bids received by the Department of Development, lowest bidder approved.

C. Contractor and Project Information

1. The address(es) of all vendors and/or contractors is: Allenbey Construction Co., LLC , 540 East 105th Street, Suite 2054, Cleveland, Ohio , Council District 7

2. The president for the contractor/vendor is Clifford Allenbey.

3.a. The address or location of the project is: Dees, 15137 Elderwood Avenue, East Cleveland, Ohio 44112 3.b. The project is located in Council District 10.

D. Project Status and Planning

This is one of 250 such contracts that will be issued during the three-year grant period.

E. Funding

1. The project is funded 100% by the U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development Lead Hazard Remediation Grant passed through County Board of Health.

2. The schedule of payments is payment upon completion of project.

-----

CPB2011-392

Title: Department of Development 2011 Trademark Industries, LLC. Contract Lead Remediation Case 085 Jones at 5697 Longwood Avenue Maple Heights RQ# 22149

### A. Scope of Work Summary

 Department of Development requesting approval of a contract with Trademark Industries, LLC. for the anticipated cost \$12,206.00. The anticipated start-completion dates are December 19, 2011 – May 31, 2012.
 The primary goals of the project are removing lead hazards at the home of a low-income family, maintaining the housing stock of Cuyahoga County's inner ring suburbs, and reducing the risk of lead poisoning in young children.

### B. Procurement

1. The procurement method for this project was competitive bidding administered by the Department of Development. The total value of the winning competitive bid is \$12,206.00.

2. The competitive bid was closed on 09/15/2011.

3. There were 8 bids received by the Department of Development, lowest bidder approved.

### C. Contractor and Project Information

1. The address(es) of all vendors and/or contractors is: Trademark Industries, LLC., 18968 Coffinberry Blvd., Fairview Park, Ohio 44126, Council District 01.

2. The president for the contractor/vendor is Michael A. Gus.

3.a. The address or location of the project is: Jones, 5697 Longwood Avenue, Maple Heights, Ohio 44137 3.b. The project is located in Council District 08.

### D. Project Status and Planning

This is one of 250 such contracts that will be issued during the three-year grant period.

E. Funding

1. The project is funded 100% by the U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development Lead Hazard Remediation Grant passed through County Board of Health and Community Development Block Grant Program Funds. Due to federal grant requirements the Lead Hazard Remediation Grant maximum contribution for this project is \$8,000.00. The homeowner has been approved for a loan from the Department of Development's Community Development Block Grant Program Funds to cover the balance of \$4,206.00. 2. The schedule of payments is payment upon completion of project.

\_\_\_\_\_

CPB2011-393

Title: of Development requesting approval of a contract with Jerry Kuhn's Kwik Car Wash, Inc.

A. Scope of Work Summary

1. Department of Development requesting approval of a contract with Jerry Kuhn's Kwik Car Wash, Inc. for the anticipated cost of \$8,521. The anticipated start-completion dates are 12/14/2011-6/11/2012.

2. The primary goals of the project are to install garage-style doors at the car wash and to repair and paint the building.

#### B. Procurement

1. The procurement method for this project was through Board of Control approval-BC2011-43 dated 8/5/2011. The total amount allocated to the Storefront Rebate program is \$300,000.00.

C. Contractor and Project Information

1. The address of the project is: Jerry Kuhn's Kwik Car Wash, Inc., 6761 W. 130th Street, Parma Heights, Ohio 44030, Council District 4, Charles M. Germana

2. The business owners are Joe Coughlin and Jerry Kuhn

Property Owner-Car Wash Inc. (Primary) Jerry Kuhn (Secondary)

D. Project Status and Planning

The project is a part of a reoccurring County program- Storefront Renovation Rebate Program, in which business owners receive a rebate for approved facade improvements.

- E. Funding
- 1. The project is funded 100% by the General Fund.

2. Scheduled payment (rebate) for the project is on a reimbursement basis upon submission of an invoice and backup documentation, after the completion of the project.

3. Total Amount Requested: \$8,521.00

-----

### CPB2011-394

Title: Contract with Emerson Network Power, Liebert Services, for CCISC

A. Scope of Work Summary

CCISC, submitting a contract with Emerson Network Power, Liebert Services, Inc. in the amount not-to-exceed \$5,464.63 for maintenance of Powerware Uninterruptible Power System.

B. Procurement Field Buy - Lowest Bid (see list on RQ)

C. Contractor and Project Information

Emerson Network Power, Liebert Services, Inc., 610 Executive Campus Dr., Westerville, Ohio 43082, Frank Bibens, President. Not in Cuyahoga County.

D. Project Status and Planning

E. Funding

- 1. Funding source: General Fund
- 2. Total Amount Requested: \$5,464.63

-----

CPB2011-395

Title: Cuyahoga County Medical Examiner's 2011/2012 VertiQ Software LLC Contract

A. Scope of Work Summary

Submitting a contract with VertiQ Software LLC in the amount not-to-exceed \$9,000.00 for software maintenance service of VertiQ's Case Management System Software for the period 9/1/11 - 8/31/12.

B. Procurement

Purchase under Sole Source (see Justification & Affidavit)

- C. Contractor and Project Information
- 1. VertiQ Software LLC, 18525 Sutter Blvd., Suite 280, Morgan Hill, CA 95037
- 2. Rolf Kessel President
- D. Project Status and Planning

Software maintenance service of VertiQ's Case Management System Software at the Cuyahoga County Medical Examiner's Office.

- E. Funding
- 1. Funding source: General Fund
- 2. Total Amount Requested: \$9,000.00

\_\_\_\_\_

#### CPB2011-396

Title: American Center for Conflict Resolution Institute, Inc. DBA Lakewood College - OJT Contract A. Scope of Work Summary

1. The City of Cleveland/Cuyahoga County Workforce Development is requesting approval of an On-the-Job Training Program grant with American Center for Conflict Resolution Institute, Inc. DBA Lakewood College for the anticipated cost of not more than \$2,400.00. The anticipated start-completion dates are 11/7/2011 to 3/31/2012.

2. The primary goals of the project are to hire and train one trainee under an On-the-Job Training Program. The employer will be reimbursed 50% of the wages paid for the contract period.

3. The project is mandated by the ORC statute 307.86 (E): Specifically allowable program to assist employers in Workforce Investment Act.

# B. Procurement

1. The OJT program is specifically authorized under the federal Workforce Investment Act and is exempt from competitive bidding requirements.

2. The Department of Workforce Development follows the guidelines established in the OJT policy which was approved by the Workforce Investment Board. The OJT policy is attached.

C. Contractor and Project Information

1. American Center for Conflict Resolution Institute, Inc. DBA Lakewood College, 12900 Lake Avenue, #003A, Lakewood, OH 44107, Council District # 2

2. The Principal Owner is Tanya Haggins, President

The employer is an online non-profit college that offers paralegal and mediation training programs throughout the world.

D. Project Status and Planning

1. This is a new contract. However, the employer has previous contracts with the department and the evaluation is satisfactory.

2. The OJT Program has already begun. The reason for the delay in this request is the contract negotiations with the employer and the fact that the department has to be responsive to the employer's hiring needs.

E. Funding

1. The project is funded 100% by Federal Workforce Investment Act (WIA) Funds.

2. The schedule of payments is by invoice received from the employer on a monthly basis.

\_\_\_\_\_

CPB2011-397

Title: Juvenile Court requesting approval of contract with MST Group LLC

A. Scope of Work Summary

1. Juvenile Court and Children and Family Services requesting approval of a contract with MST Group LLC, dba MST Services for the anticipated cost \$14,000.00. The anticipated start-completion dates are July 1, 2011 – June 30, 2012.

2. The primary goals of the project are licensure authorization for the MST programs at Juvenile Court and the Department of Children and Family Services.

3. N/A

B. Procurement

1. The procurement method for this project was sole source. The total value of the project is \$14,000.00

2. N/A

3. The proposed contract is an OPD approved sole source item with materials attached.

C. Contractor and Project Information

1. The address(es) of all vendors and/or contractors is: MST Services 710 J. Dodds Blvd., Ste 200 Mount Pleasant, SC 29464 Council District N/A

2. The principal owners for vendor are (all own 16.67% of the organization): Scott W. Henggeler Melisa D. Rowland Sonja K. Schoenwald Keller B. Strother Marshall E. Swenson Cindy Cupit Swenson

3.a N/A

3.b. County-wide

D. Project Status and Planning

1. The project is an on-going licensure agreement.

2. N/A

3. N/A

4. The project's term has already begun. The reason there was a delay in this request is waiting for signatures from all parties.

5. N/A

E. Funding

1. The project is funded 100% of the Juvenile Court portion through Title IV E revenue funds (\$7,000.00) and the Department of Children and Family Services portion is 100% general fund (\$7,000.00).

2. The schedule of payments is quarterly, and separately to each agency.

3. N/A

-----

CPB2011-398

Title: Juvenile Court requesting approval of contract with Begun Center for Violence Prevention, Mandel School of Applied Social Sciences, Case Western Reserve University

A. Scope of Work Summary

1. Juvenile Court and Children and Family Services requesting approval of a contract with Begun Center for Violence Prevention, Mandel School of Applied Social Sciences, Case Western Reserve University for the anticipated cost \$76,500.00. The anticipated start-completion dates are July 1, 2011 – June 30, 2012.

2. The primary goals of the project are to provide Multisystemic Therapy (MST) training and consultation to two Juvenile Court MST teams and two DCFS MST teams.

3. The project is mandated by the MST franchise agreement.

B. Procurement

1. The procurement method for this project was sole source. The total value of the project is \$76,500.00.

2. N/A

3. The proposed contract is an OPD approved sole source item with materials attached.

C. Contractor and Project Information

1. The address(es) of all vendors and/or contractors is: Begun Center for Violence Prevention Mandel School of Applied Social Science Case Western Reserve University 11235 Bellflower Road Cleveland, Ohio 44106 Council District (7)

2. The director of the Begun Center for Violence Prevention is Daniel J. Flannery.

3.a N/A

3.b. The project is located is county-wide.

D. Project Status and Planning

1. The project is an on-going service of the existing MST program.

2. N/A

3. N/A

4. The project's term has already begun. The reason there was a delay in this request is waiting for proper signatures – the Begun Center for Violence Prevention only opened on July 1, 2011. 5. N/A

E. Funding

1. The project is funded 100% of the Juvenile Court portion through Title IV E revenue funds (\$37,500.00) and the Department of Children and Family Services portion is 100% general fund (\$39,000.00).

2. The schedule of payments is quarterly, and separately to each agency.

3. N/A

-----

CPB2011-399

Title: Cuyahoga County, Department of Public Safety & Justice Services, 2011, Ohio Department of Youth Services FY2009 Juvenile Justice and Delinquency Prevention Block Grant extension.

# A. Scope of Work Summary

1. Department of Public Safety & Justice Services is requesting approval of an amendment to the FY2009 Juvenile Justice and Delinquency Prevention (JJDP) block grant award with the Ohio Department of Youth Services in the amount of \$286,072.00. The purpose of this grant amendment is to extend the time period from 1/1/2010 - 9/30/2011, to 6/30/2012. No additional funds are required. This grant does not require a cash match.

2. The primary goals of this grant are targeted at reducing the number of minority youth who come into contact with the juvenile justice system, by funding various community-based programs that provide prevention/intervention services to at-risk youth.

3. This grant is mandated by ORC statute 5139.87.

B. Procurement

1. The procurement method for this grant is: this grant award is a direct allocation from the Ohio Department of Youth Services. The total value of the award is \$286,072.00.

2. N/A

3. N/A

C. Contractor and Project Information

- 1. N/A D. Project Status and Planning
- 1. This juvenile justice grant re-occurs annually.
- 2. This juvenile justice grant has 0 phases.
- 3. No action path is identified.

4. The term of this funding cycle was 1/1/2010 through 9/30/2011, to extend the time period to 6/30/2012.

5. No ink signature is required.

E. Funding

1. The funding source for this grant is the Ohio Department of Youth Services. Funds associated with this grant are targeted at the reducing the number of minority youth who are at the greatest risk of becoming involved in the juvenile justice system or who are already involved in the juvenile justice system, funding purpose area number 4.

2. The schedule of payments are quarterly and on a reimbursement basis.

3. This grant amendment changes the grant time period from 01/01/2010 through 9/30/2012 to end 6/30/2012. It is the 2nd amendment request of the grant. The history of the amendments are: EA2011-0552- May 13, 2011, extending grant time period from 01/01/2010 - 6/30/2011 to 9/30/2011; no additional funds required.

#### CPB2011-400

Title: Cuyahoga County, Department of Public Safety & Justice Services Project Title: FY2009 Juvenile Accountability Block Grant (JABG)

A. Scope of Work Summary: submitting an amendment to a grant award in the amount of \$ 247,240.00 from the Ohio Department of Youth Services for the FY2009 Juvenile Accountability Block Grant (JABG) for the time period of 1/1/2010 through 12/31/2011, to extend the time period to 6/30/2012. No additional funds are required.

B. Procurement: N/A

- C. Contractor and Project Information: N/A
- D. Project Status and Planning:
- 1. This Juvenile Justice grant reoccurs annually.
- 2. The grant award has 0 phases.
- 3. No action path is identified.
- 4. The term of this funding cycle was 1/1/2010 through 12/31/2011.
- \*to extend the time period to 6/30/2012.
- 5. No ink signature required.

E. Funding: The funding source is from the Ohio Department of Youth Services as a pass through from the Office of Juvenile Justice and Delinquency Prevention.

-----

CPB2011-401

Title: Office of Early Childhood, 2011, Boost Creative Marketing, Contract, Logo Development for FAMILease Project, 1194 Park Ledge Drive, Macedonia, Ohio 44056.

A. Scope of Work Summary

1. Office of Early Childhood requesting approval of a contract with Boost Creative Marketing, for the cost of up

to \$1,500. The anticipated start-completion dates are 12/15/11 through 2/15/12.

2. The primary goals of the project are:

a) Boost Creative Marketing will develop two to three log options that feature the word, "FAMILease," and the name, "Invest in Children," as a line below the logo. The logo should encompass family, environment/place, safety, and enjoyment.

b) Boost Creative Marketing will prepare high-resolution, native art, and vector files, and provide these to the Invest in Children staff on a computer disc (CD).

3. N/A

#### B. Procurement

The procurement method for this project was through a Request for Bid (RFB) process. The total value of the RFB is \$1,500. The RFB process was closed on November 28, 2011. We issued an informal RFB and received 3 bids. Upon reviewing all 3 bids, we chose Boost Creative Marketing as the lowest bidder. The original RFB and the three responses are attached.

C. Contractor and Project Information

1. The address of the vendor: Boost Creative Marketing , 1194 Park Ledge Drive , Macedonia, Ohio 44056

2. The owner for the contractor/vendor is: Phillip Yaeger, Managing Partner

3.a N/A

3.b. N/A

# D. Project Status and Planning

1. Invest in Children, since its inception, has utilized private funds to produce high quality communication materials as stipulated by the Invest in Children Partnership Committee comprised of funders and community leaders. This bid process and contract was conducted with that as a major consideration.

FAMILease is a certification/award program open to businesses and public places that are "child and familyfriendly." Any business wishing to apply for the Familease award must meet specific criteria in each of the following categories: Engaging, Accessible, Safe, and Enjoyable. Invest in Children with the help of the Cleveland Bridge Builders has developed this award program to help improve the accessibility, safety, and engagement of families with young children in public places throughout the community.

2. N/A

3. N/A

4. N/A

5. The contract needs a signature in ink by 12/27/11.

E. Funding

1. The project is funded through donations from private organizations.

2. The schedule of payments is by invoice.

3. N/A

-----

CPB2011-402

Title: Community Initiatives/Office of Early Childhood-Invest in Children 2011 Berea Children's Home and Family Services Recommending an Award from RFP-21248 Positively Moms Initiative

A. Scope of Work Summary

1. The Office of Early Childhood is requesting approval of an Award from RFP-21248 with Berea Children's Home and Family Services for the anticipated cost of \$33,595.00. The anticipated start-completion dates are (12/01/2011-08/30/2012).

2. The primary goals of the project are: Conduct Literature Review related to alleviating maternal distress Conduct Focus Groups with client and providers of Home Visiting Services. Design a curriculum to alleviate maternal distress in perinatal women.

B. Procurement

1. The procurement method for this project was RFP (#21248), The total value of the RFP is \$33,595.00.

2. The (above procurement method) was closed on (October 14th, 2011).

3. There were 3 bids/proposals/applications pulled from OPD, 3 bids/proposals/ applications submitted for review, 1 bid/proposal/application approved.

C. Contractor and Project Information

1. The address(es) of all vendors and/or contractors is: Berea Children's Home and Family Services , 202 E. Bagley Rd., Berea, OH 44017 , Council District 5

2. The executive director, for the contractor/vendor is Richard R. Frank

D. Project Status and Planning

1. The project is a new to the County.

4. The project's term has will be starting soon. The reason there was a delay in this request is the required time to process RFP's, and the contracting process.

E. Funding

1. The project is funded 100% Private Funds-William J. and Dorothy K. O'Neill Foundation.

2. The schedule of payments is by invoice.

\_\_\_\_\_

CPB2011-403

Title: Department of Children and Family Services, (2011) (2) Adolescent Counseling & Treatment 1, Inc. (ACT 1, INC.), S.T.A.R.T.-Support to At-Risk Teens.

A. Scope of Work Summary

1. Children and Family Services is requesting approval to amend various community-based providers of Placement Services, for the period January 1, 2011 to September 30, 2011 in the amounts as follows (see below). Community-based residential services provider agencies serve the Department of Children and Family Services as a primary resource for placement of children who have been abused and/or neglected. This contract amendment is needed in order to provide payment for placement services already rendered.

\*\* Due to the length of information provided, the Novus Agenda Summary of Requested Action form is attached. \*\*

Adolescent Counseling & Treatment 1, Inc. (ACT 1, INC.) (CE1100052-01) Decrease (\$60,000.00)-Breakdown below

S.T.A.R.T.-Support to At-Risk Teens (CE1100025-01) Increase \$60,000.00

2. The Cuyahoga County Department of Children and Family Services (CFS) is requesting authorization to amend contract with various agencies providing placement services. These agreements are for placement services which include foster care, residential care, independent living, group homes, shelter care and/or day treatment. To protect children who cannot remain in their own homes and must be placed in substitute care until reunification or adoption can take place. Provide the least restrictive placement consistent with the best interest and special needs of the child which prevent temporary placement with relatives, etc.

B. Procurement

Exempt from Competitive Bid Requirements

C. Contractor and Project Information

D. Project Status and Planning

E. Funding Funding Source: Health and Human Services Levy

\_\_\_\_\_

CPB2011-404

Title: Senior & Adult Services - (2012) - Numara Software, Inc. – contract - "Premium Care Maintenance Plan"

A. Scope of Work Summary

1. The Department of Senior and Adult Services is requesting approval of a contract with Numara Software, Inc. for the anticipated cost not-to-exceed \$1,297.50. The anticipated start-completion dates are 09/24/11 through 09/23/12.

2. The primary goals of the "Premium Care Maintenance Plan" are to provide:

Technical Support services and Software Maintenance.

3. The "Premium Care Maintenance Plan" is a non-mandated service.

B. Procurement

1. The procurement method for this project was an informal RFP. The total value of the RFP is \$1,297.50.

2. The closing date for the RFP was October 3, 2011 at 12:00 noon.

C. Contractor and Project Information

1. The address of the vendor is Numara Software, Inc. , 2202 N. Westshore Blvd. - Suite 650, Tampa, Florida 33607

2. Not applicable

3. The location of the project is: Department of Senior & Adult Services, 1701 E. 12th Street - Reserve Sq. - Lower Level, Cleveland, Ohio 44114

D. Project Status and Planning

1. The awarded contract will reoccur annually.

2. Not applicable.

3. This project is on a critical action path because if DSAS experiences any technical difficulties in the operation or performance of their proprietary "Track-It!" software which has been in place the past 3 years, DSAS would be subject to costly fees and/or expenses which are generally protected under a maintenance plan. Therefore, the provision of these technical support services require an expedited action until a new contract is executed, as our contract expired 09/25/11.

4. The project's term has already begun. The reason there was a delay in this request is because in the past this contract was procured through a Sole Source letter. A Sole Source designation was not accepted by OPD this contract year based on new policies and procedures; subsequently we had to release an RFP for the provision of services; thereby, causing the delay and late submittal.

5. Not applicable.

E. Funding

1. The "Premium Care Maintenance Plan" is funded by the County Health & Human Services levy.

2. The schedule of payments to the vendor is achieved through one annual invoice.

3. Not applicable.

-----

CPB2011-405

Title: Employment & Family Services-2012, Human Services Associates, Inc. - Contract: Financial Management Consulting Services

### A. Scope of Work Summary

1. EFS requesting approval of a contract with Human Services Associates, Inc for the cost of \$8,500.00. The contract period is 1/1/2012 - 12/31/2012.

2. The primary goals of the project are:

Provide consulting services that enable Cuyahoga County to benefit from State and Federal funding across Cuyahoga Job and Family Services Agencies and train staff on the funding flow of the State's fiscal process. Maximize State and Federal Funding expenditures, develop a SFY spending plan, and train Human Services fiscal staff on Maximus Ledgersuite©, QuIC and CORe. B. Procurement

1. The procurement method for this project was an exemption from RFP.

2. The proposed contract received an RFP exemption on 11/28/2011. The CPB Minutes (CPB2011-290) are attached for review.

C. Contractor and Project Information

1. The address of all vendors and/or contractors is: Human Services Associates, Inc, 6410 Ridge Road, Parma, OH 44129, Council District 04

2. The owner for the contractor/vendor is John Hogan.

3. The contracted service is provided in Council District 07.

D. Project Status and Planning

1. The service is recurring annually.

2. The reason there was a delay in this request is due to the pending approval of the 2012 County Budget.

E. Funding

1. The project is funded 100% by Federal Funding- Public Assistance (PA) Linkage.

2. The schedule of payments is monthly by invoice.

-----

CPB2011-406

Title: Employment & Family Services-2011, International Business Machines Corporation - Contract: IBM Tivoli Storage Manager Software Upgrade & Training Support Services, 6100 Oak Tree Blvd. Ste 490, Independence, OH 44131

A. Scope of Work Summary

1. Employment & Family Services requesting approval of a contract with International Business Machines (IBM) Corporation for the amount of \$24,160.00. The contract period is December 19, 2011 to April 18, 2012.

2. The primary goals of the program:

- Upgrade installation of TSM v6.2 to EFS server

- Provide 80 hrs of technical training to 4 IT support staff from EFS & DCFS

**B.** Procurement

1. The procurement method for this project was other-State Term Schedule.

2. The proposed contract received an other exemption (state term schedule) on 11/17/11. The justification approval is attached for review.

C. Contractor and Project Information

1. The address of vendor: IBM Corp., 6100 Oak Tree Blvd. Suite 490, Independence, OH 44131, Council District 06

2. The owner of the corporation is Samuel J. Palmisano, Chairman of the Board of Directors & CEO.

3. The program supports all recipients of Cuyahoga County being served by EFS.

D. Project Status and Planning

This is a periodic upgrade and training on the software.

E. Funding

1. The project is funded 100% through Federal Public Assistance (PA) Linkage funds.

2. The schedule of payment is by a one-time invoice.

#### Exemption Requests CPB2011-407 through CPB2011-416

See Item Summary Above

Consent Agenda – Scheduled Consent Items

CPB2011-417

Title: Department of Public Works- 2011 Risk Management/Doss Settlement Agreement

A. Scope of Work Summary

1. Department of Public Works requesting approval of a Settlement Agreement with Ms. Jamilya Doss - not a vendor - for the anticipated cost of \$3,750.00.

- 2. The primary goals are settlement of a bodily injury claim.
- 3. Not applicable.
- B. Procurement
- 1. Not applicable.
- 2. Not applicable.
- 3.[Option 1] Not Applicable.
- 3.[Option 2] Not Applicable.
- 3.[Option 3] Not Applicable.

C. Contractor and Project Information

1. The address is : (Claimant) Jamilya Doss (Street Number and Name) 7350 Joseph Drive (City, State, and Zip Code) Solon, Ohio 44139 (Council District) Not applicable.

2. Not Applicable.

3.a Not Applicable.

3.b Not Applicable.

D. Project Status and Planning Not a project – one time claim settlement.

- E. Funding
- 1. The project is funded 100% by the Self Insurance Fund.
- 2. The schedule of payments is one time only.
- 3. Not applicable.

\_\_\_\_\_

#### CPB2011-418

Title: Department of Development 2011-12 City of Cleveland Heights Amendment to Cleveland Heights Homeowner Rehabilitation Loans (AG0800015-01).

#### A. Scope of Work Summary

1. Department of Development requesting approval of an amendment to contract number AG0800015-01 with the City of Cleveland Heights to extend the time of performance from 12/31/2011 to 12/31/2012 with no additional funds. Original start - completion dates are 04/01/2008 - 12/31/2011; amendment request start - completion dates are 04/01/2008 - 12/31/2012.

2. The primary goal of this project is to rehabilitate single-family, owner occupied homes of income eligible families in the City of Cleveland Heights. This amendment was approved by the Cuyahoga HOME Consortium at its regularly scheduled meeting on 11/9/2011.

# B. Procurement

1. City of Cleveland Heights is a member of the Cuyahoga HOME Consortium as approved by the U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development. As such the City of Cleveland Heights is entitled to an allocation of HOME funds received and administered by the Cuyahoga County Department of Development. Procurement method approved by OPD on November 17, 2011. The total value of this agreement before amendment approval is \$210,360 and the same amount thereafter.

2. The amended agreement will end on 12/31/2012.

C. Contractor and Project Information

1. The address of the contractor is: City of Cleveland Heights , 40 Severance Circle , Cleveland Heights, Ohio 44118

2. Edward J. Kelley, Mayor , City of Cleveland Heights , Council District 10, Councilman Julian Rogers

D. Project Status and Planning

The project reoccurs annually.

## E. Funding

1. Project funded through the City of Cleveland Heights' allocation of HOME funds from the Cuyahoga HOME Consortium

2. The schedule of payments is monthly.

3. This project is an amendment to an agreement to extend the time of performance effective November 9, 2011. Original start - completion dates are 04/01/2008 - 12/31/2011; amended requested start - completion dates: 04/01/2008 - 12/31/2012.

-----

CPB2011-419

Title: Department of Development 2011/2012 - City of Lakewood - Amendment to Lakewood's Mixed Used Rental Assistance Loan (MURAL) program agreement (AG0900048-01).

### A. Scope of Work Summary

1. Department of Development requesting approval of an amendment to agreement number AG0900048-01 with the City of Lakewood amending the time of completion from December 31, 2011 to May 31, 2012. Original start - completion dates are 07/01/2009 - 06/30/2011;

Amended requested start - completion dates: 07/01/2009 - 05/31/2012.

2. The primary goal of this project is to provide the City of Lakewood, a political subdivision of the State of Ohio, their allocation of federal HOME funds. The City of Lakewood will use their allocation of HOME funds to provide loans not to exceed \$15,000 per unit, for the renovation of residential units located above commercial space. Use of the funds and the time of performance extension were approved by the Cuyahoga HOME Consortium at its regularly scheduled meeting held November 9, 2011.

### B. Procurement

1. City of Lakewood is a member of the Cuyahoga HOME Consortium as approved by the U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development. As such the City of Lakewood is entitled to an allocation of HOME funds received and administered by the Cuyahoga County Department of Development. Procurement method approved by OPD on November 17, 2011. The total value of this agreement before and after the amendment is \$20,000

2. The amended agreement will end on 05/31/2012.

C. Contractor and Project Information

1. The address of the contractor is: City of Lakewood, 12650 Detroit Avenue, Lakewood, Ohio 44107

2. Michael P. Summers, Mayor, City of Lakewood, County District 2 - Dale Miller, County Councilman

D. Project Status and Planning

The project reoccurs annually.

E. Funding

1. Project funded through the City of Lakewood's allocation of HOME funds from the Cuyahoga HOME Consortium

2. The schedule of payments is monthly.

3. This project is an amendment to extend the time of performance effective November 9, 2011. Original start - completion dates are 07/01/2009 - 06/30/2011;

Amended to 7/1/2009 -12/31/2011

Current amendment request: start - completion dates: 07/01/2009 - 05/31/2012.

\_\_\_\_\_

CPB2011-420

Title: Department of Development 2011-2012, Euclid Development Corporation (EDCOR) Amendment to Emergency Grant Program (CE0900363-03).

#### A. Scope of Work Summary

1. Department of Development requesting approval of an amendment to contract number CE0900363-03 with the Euclid Development Corporation (EDCOR) to extend the time of performance from 12/31/2011 to 12/31/2012 with no additional funds. Original start - completion dates are 03/01/2009 - 02/28/2011; Contract amended in December 2010 to amend the end date to 12/31/2011; current request to amend start completion dates to: 03/01/2009- 12/31/2012.

2. The primary goal of this project is to administer the City of Euclid's homeowner rehabilitation loan program. Extension of time will allow funding to continue and provide at least nine additional loans to qualified home owners to repair code violations. This amendment was approved by the Cuyahoga HOME Consortium at its regularly scheduled meeting on 11/9/2011.

**B.** Procurement

1. The City of Euclid is a member of the Cuyahoga HOME Consortium as approved by the U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development. As such the City of Euclid is entitled to an allocation of HOME funds received and administered by the Cuyahoga County Department of Development. The City of Euclid uses the Euclid Development Corporation (EDCOR) to administer their allocation of HOME Funds. Procurement method approved by OPD on November 17, 2011. The total value of this agreement before amendment approval is \$325,555 and will remain unchanged.

2. The amended agreement will end on 12/31/2012.

C. Contractor and Project Information

1. The address of the contractor is: Euclid Development Corporation (EDCOR), 585 East 222nd Street, Euclid, Ohio 44123

2. Bill Cervenik, Mayor, City of Euclid, County Council District 11, Sunny M. Simon, Councilwoman

D. Project Status and Planning The projects reoccurs annually. E. Funding

1. Project funded through the City of Euclid's allocation of HOME funds from the Cuyahoga HOME Consortium and administered by the Euclid Development Corporation (EDCOR).

2. The schedule of payments is monthly.

3. This project is an amendment to extend the time of performance effective November 9, 2011.

Original start - completion dates are 03/01/2009 - 02/28/2011; Contract amended in December 2010 to amend the end date to 12/31/2011; current request to amend start completion dates to: 03/01/2009 -- 12/31/2012.

-----

CPB2011-421

Title: 2011 Department of Development Amendment CE1100427 MAE Construction LLC Lead Case 001 Bryce at 5900 Lotusdale Dr. Parma Hts.

A. Scope of Work

Department of Development requesting approval of an amendment to CE1100427 with MAE Construction LLC for the anticipated cost of \$7,750.00. The anticipated start-completion dates are 08/03/2011 – 05/31/2012.
 The primary goals of the project are removing lead hazards at the home of a low-income family, maintaining the housing stock of Cuyahoga County's inner ring suburbs, and reducing the risk of lead poisoning in young children.

#### B. Procurement

1. The procurement method for this project was competitive bidding administered by the Department of Development. The total value of the winning competitive bid is \$7,750.00.

2. The competitive bid was closed on 05/05/2011.

3. There were 10 bids received by the Department of Development, lowest bidder approved.

C. Contractor and Project Information

1. The address(es) of all vendors and/or contractors is: MAE Construction LLC , 960 West Hill Drive, Sagamore Hills, Ohio 44067, Council District (N/A)

2. The president for the contractor/vendor is Bob Miller.

3a. The address of the project is: Bryce, 5900 Lotusdale Drive, Parma Heights, Ohio 44130, 3b. The project is located in Council District 04.

D. Project Status and Planning

1. This is one of 250 such contracts that will be issued during the three-year grant period.

2. The contract's original term ended on September 30, 2011, but it is being extended. The project was delayed due to illness of the occupant of the property.

E. Funding

1. The project is funded 100% by the U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development Lead Hazard Remediation Grant passed through County Board of Health.

2. The schedule of payments is payment upon completion of project.

3. The project is an amendment to a contract. This amendment changes the time period only, no additional funds, and is the first amendment of the contract.

-----

CPB2011-422

Title: Department of-2011-City of Berea Municipal Grant-Contract Amendment-Polish Village Neighborhood Improvements

A. Scope of Work Summary

1. Department of Development submitting an amendment to Contract No. 100466-01 with the City of Berea for the Polish Village Neighborhood Improvement Project for the time period 5/1/2010 - 10/31/2011. The amendment is to extend the time period to 6/30/2012. No additional funds are requested.

2. The primary goals of this project is to install sidewalks, catch basins, aprons, curbs and trees in the city's Polish Village Neighborhood.

## B. Procurement

1. The procurement method for this project was through Board of County Commissioners approval, resolution no. 103111 dated 7/29/2010. The resolution awarded Municipal Grant contracts to various communities. The total amount of the award to the City of Berea was \$100,000.

2. Justification for contract amendment was approved by OPD on 11/30/2011.

C. Contractor and Project Information

1. The address of the city hall is: City of Berea, 11 Berea commons, Berea, Ohio 44017, Council District 5, Michael J. Gallagher

2. The mayor is The Honorable Cyril M. Kleem

3. The location of the project is High Street and Pulaski Street

D. Project Status and Planning

The project is a part of a reoccurring County program- Municipal Grant Program, in which urban county communities are awarded funds to complete a Community Development Project.

E. Funding

1. The project is funded 100% by Federal Community Development Block Grant funds.

2. Scheduled payment for the project is on a reimbursement basis upon submission of invoices and backup documentation.

-----

CPB2011-423

TITLE: Department of Development-2010 Parks & Playground Grant Amendment-Richmond Heights

### A. Scope of Work Summary

 Department of Development submitting an amendment to Contract No. CE1000484-01 with the City of Richmond Heights for Elementary School Playground Surface Upgrade and Community Garden for the period 6/1/2010 - 10/31/2011 to extend the time period to 12/31/2011. No additional funds are requested.
 The primary goals of this project is install rubberized surfacing under a swing set and to construct a raised bed community garden.

### B. Procurement

1. The procurement method for this project was through Board of County Commissioners approval, resolution no. 102412 dated 6/17/2010. The resolution awarded Parks & Playground Grants to various communities. The total amount of the award to the City of Richmond Heights was \$39,000.

2. Justification for other than full and open competition, approved on 11/17/2011.

### C. Contractor and Project Information

1. The address of the city hall is: City of Richmond Heights, 26789 Highland Road , Richmond Heights, Ohio 44143, Council District 11, Sunny M. Simon

2. The mayor is The Honorable Daniel J. Ursu

3. The location of the project is: Richmond Heights Elementary School, 447 Richmond Road, Richmond Heights, Ohio 44143

D. Project Status and Planning

The project is a part of a reoccurring County program- Parks & Playground Grant Program, in which urban county communities are awarded funds to upgrade community parks, playgrounds and community gardens.

E. Funding

1. The project is funded 100% by Federal Community Development Block Grant Funds.

2. Scheduled payment for the project is on a reimbursement basis upon submission of invoices and backup documentation.

\_\_\_\_\_

CPB2011-424

Title: Department of Development, requesting approval of amendment with BDL General Contracting, Inc.

A. Scope of Work

1. Department of Development requesting approval of an amendment to CE1100598 with BDL General Contracting, Inc. for the anticipated cost of \$8,000.00. The anticipated start-completion dates are 10/11/2011 – 05/31/2012.

2. The primary goals of the project are removing lead hazards at the home of a low-income family, maintaining the housing stock of Cuyahoga County's inner ring suburbs, and reducing the risk of lead poisoning in young children.

### B. Procurement

 The procurement method for this project was competitive bidding administered by the Department of Development. The total value of the winning competitive bid is \$8,325.00. Due to federal grant requirements the Department of Development maximum contribution is \$8,000.00. The homeowner will contribute \$325.00.
 The competitive bid was closed on 08/18/2011.

3. There were 11 bids received by the Department of Development, lowest bidder approved.

C. Contractor and Project Information

1. The address(es) of all vendors and/or contractors is: BDL General Contracting Inc., P.O. Box 32430, Euclid, Ohio 44132, Council District 11

2. The president for the contractor/vendor is Dawna Rotert.

3.a. The address or location of the project is: Brimage, 1850 Beverly Hills Drive, Euclid, Ohio 44132

3.b. The project is located in Council District 11.

D. Project Status and Planning

1. This is one of 250 such contracts that will be issued during the three-year grant period.

2. The contract's original term ends on December 10, 2011, but it is being extended. The project was delayed due to delivery of materials.

E. Funding

1. The project is funded 100% by the U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development Lead Hazard Remediation Grant passed through County Board of Health.

2. The schedule of payments is payment upon completion of project.

3. The project is an amendment to a contract. This amendment changes the time period only, no additional funds, and is the first amendment of the contract.

\_\_\_\_\_

#### CPB2011-425

Title: Information Services Center submitting addendum to request for proposals

A. Scope of Work Summary

Information Services Center submitting Addendum # 1 to the request for proposals on RQ21555 for RFP for Electronic Case management System / Agency of the Inspector General, for the period 2/1/2012 - 6/1/2012

\_\_\_\_\_

CPB2011-426

Title: Medical Examiner's Office requesting approval of a revenue generating agreement with the United States Veterans Administration Medical Center

#### A. Scope of Work Summary

1. Cuyahoga County Medical Examiner's Office requesting approval of a revenue generating agreement with the United States Veterans Administration Medical Center (VA MedCenter) for the anticipated cost of \$0. The anticipated start-completion dates are 10/25/11 - 10/24/12.

2. The primary goals of the project are to provide, in the event that it is required, cold storage services for human remains. The VA MedCenter will pay Cuyahoga County Medical Examiner's Office to store bodies at a rate of \$25/day.

#### **B.** Procurement

1. The procurement method for this project was other - request from vendor.

2. The total value of the revenue generating agreement is variable based upon services provided.

C. Contractor and Project Information

1. The address of the vendor and/or contractor is: 10701 East Boulevard Cleveland, OH 44106-1702 Council District 07

2. The executive director, for the contractor/vendor is Susan M. Fuehrer, MBA on behalf of the U.S. Secretary of Veteran's Affairs.

### D. Project Status and Planning

The project is a new to the County. The project's term has already begun. The reason there was a delay in this request is legal and formatting delays.

### E. Funding

The project requires no funding by Cuyahoga County. The schedule of payments is other- as needed.

\_\_\_\_\_

### CPB2011-427

Title: Juvenile Court submitting Addendum # 1 for technical changes and to extend the deadline for the request for proposals on RQ 21512 for Electronic Monitoring Services

#### A. Scope of Work Summary

Juvenile Court submitting Addendum # 1 for technical changes and to extend the deadline for the request for proposals on RQ 21512 for Electronic Monitoring Services for the period January 1, 2012 through December 31, 2013 with an option to extend for two additional years; requesting authority for the Director of the Office of Procurement & Diversity to advertise for proposals.

2. The purpose of this program is to select a service provider to deliver Electronic Monitoring Services using an active radio frequency (RF) system, a secure cellular electronic monitoring technology, and the ability to provide an active and passive Global Positioning System (GPS). The Vendors primary responsibility will be centralized to the following scope of service; Client Tracking, Precision Reporting, 24 hour Staff Information Center, Web Conferencing, 24 hour Equipment Resolution Center, Staff on Site Workshops and Software/Equipment Updates and its operation to each participant.

B. Procurement

C. Contractor and Project Information

- D. Project Status and Planning
- E. Funding
- 1. Funding source: General Fund
- 2. Total Amount Requested: \$247,500.00

\_\_\_\_\_

#### CPB2011-428

Title: CONFIDENTIAL: Public Safety & Justice Services, 2011, City of Berea, CERT Agreement, FY2010 State Homeland Security Program (SHSP)

#### A. Scope of Work Summary

1. Public Safety & Justice Services requesting approval for an agreement with the City of Berea for FY10 SHSP in the amount not-to-exceed \$1,300.00 for the period 8/1/2010-2/28/2013.

2. The primary goals of the project are: § Continue to develop secure local-,regional-,and state level intelligence and information sharing systems with the goal of interconnecting and standardizing these systems so that they may ultimately join the national information sharing environment § All jurisdictions will have plans and procedures in place to manage volunteers and donations during an emergency

3. The funding for FY10 SHSP is passed through to Cuyahoga County from the Ohio Emergency Management Agency (OEMA) and the Department of Homeland Security (DHS).

#### B. Procurement

1. The procurement method for this project was a request for applications and a review process conducted by the Urban Area Working Group (Cuyahoga County's Terrorism Advisory Team) and with the oversight of OEMA. Per guidance provided for the FY10 SHSP program, the Terrorism Advisory Team must consist of AT LEAST one member from the following ten disciplines: Fire services, Emergency Medical Services, EMA, Police departments, Sheriff's Office, Public Works departments, Public Health organizations, Township Trustees, Mayor's office, and County Executive's office. No one person on the team may represent multiple disciplines. Specific names can be provided if necessary, but this group accepted applications for FY10 SHSP and determined awards with OEMA's oversight.

2. The performance period of the agreement ends on February 28, 2013. 3. (The City of Berea follows their procurement policy, which must at least be compliant with Cuyahoga County's procurement policy for all equipment procured.)

3. [Option 1]There were (# bids/proposals/applications) pulled from OPD, (# bids/proposals/ applications) submitted for review, (# bids/proposals/applications) approved.

4. [Option 2] The proposed (contract, loan, agreement, etc.) is an OPD approved sole source item with materials attached.

5. [Option 3] The proposed (contract, loan, agreement, etc.) received an (RFB, RFP, RFQ, or other [specify]) exemption on (list date). The approval letter is attached for review.

C. Contractor and Project Information

1. The address of the City of Berea: 11 Berea Commons Berea, Oh 44017 Council District 5

2. N/A

3. a The address or location of the project is: (provide the full address or list the municipality(ies) impacted by the project in the following format): Same as above

3. b. Council District 5.

D. Project Status and Planning

1. The SHSP is awarded on a yearly basis provided DHS receives funding from Congress.

2. The project is underway and will be completed by February 28, 2013.

3. The project is not on a critical action path.

4. The project's term has already begun. The reason for the delay in the request was the project was working its way through the application/approval process and the agreement had to be signed off on by the City of Berea. 5. N/A

E. Funding

1. The project is funded 100% by the DHS through OEMA.

2. The grant is a reimbursement grant so expenses are submitted for reimbursement as they occur. The City of Berea has until February 28, 2013, to request reimbursement for expenses incurred against the FY10 SHSP award.

3. N/A

Title: CONFIDENTIAL: Public Safety & Justice Services, 2011, City of Brook Park, CERT Agreement, FY2010 State Homeland Security Program (SHSP)

### A. Scope of Work Summary

1. Public Safety & Justice Services requesting approval for an agreement with the City of Brook Park for FY10 SHSP in the amount not-to-exceed \$1,296.15 for the period 8/1/2010-2/28/2013.

2. The primary goals of the project are: § Continue to develop secure local-,regional-,and state level intelligence and information sharing systems with the goal of interconnecting and standardizing these systems so that they may ultimately join the national information sharing environment § All jurisdictions will have plans and procedures in place to manage volunteers and donations during an emergency

3. The funding for FY10 SHSP is passed through to Cuyahoga County from the Ohio Emergency Management Agency (OEMA) and the Department of Homeland Security (DHS).

### B. Procurement

1. The procurement method for this project was a request for applications and a review process conducted by the Urban Area Working Group (Cuyahoga County's Terrorism Advisory Team) and with the oversight of OEMA. Per guidance provided for the FY10 SHSP program, the Terrorism Advisory Team must consist of AT LEAST one member from the following ten disciplines: Fire services, Emergency Medical Services, EMA, Police departments, Sheriff's Office, Public Works departments, Public Health organizations, Township Trustees, Mayor's office, and County Executive's office. No one person on the team may represent multiple disciplines. Specific names can be provided if necessary, but this group accepted applications for FY10 SHSP and determined awards with OEMA's oversight.

2. The performance period of the agreement ends on February 28, 2013. (The City of Brook Park follows their procurement policy, which must at least be compliant with Cuyahoga County's procurement policy for all equipment procured.)

3. [Option 1]There were (# bids/proposals/applications) pulled from OPD, (# bids/proposals/ applications) submitted for review, (# bids/proposals/applications) approved.

4. [Option 2] The proposed (contract, loan, agreement, etc.) is an OPD approved sole source item with materials attached.

5. [Option 3] The proposed (contract, loan, agreement, etc.) received an (RFB, RFP, RFQ, or other [specify]) exemption on (list date). The approval letter is attached for review.

C. Contractor and Project Information

1. The address of the City of Brook Park: 6161 Engle Road Brook Park, Oh 44142 Council District 2

2. N/A

3. a The address or location of the project is: (provide the full address or list the municipality(ies) impacted by the project in the following format): Same as above

3. b. Council District 2.

D. Project Status and Planning

1. The SHSP is awarded on a yearly basis provided DHS receives funding from Congress.

2. The project is underway and will be completed by February 28, 2013.

3. The project is not on a critical action path.

4. The project's term has already begun. The reason for the delay in the request was the project was working its way through the application/approval process and the agreement had to be signed off on by the City of Brook Park.

5. N/A

E. Funding

1. The project is funded 100% by the DHS through OEMA.

2. The grant is a reimbursement grant so expenses are submitted for reimbursement as they occur. The City of Brook Park has until February 28, 2013, to request reimbursement for expensed incurred against the FY10 SHSP award.

3. N/A

Title: CONFIDENTIAL: Public Safety & Justice Services, 2011, City of Brooklyn, CERT Agreement, FY2010 State Homeland Security Program (SHSP)

A. Scope of Work Summary

1. Public Safety & Justice Services requesting approval for an agreement with the City of Brooklyn for FY10 SHSP in the amount not-to-exceed \$1,300.00 for the period 8/1/2010-2/28/2013.

2. The primary goals of the project are: § Continue to develop secure local-, regional-, and state level intelligence and information sharing systems with the goal of interconnecting and standardizing these systems so that they may ultimately join the national information sharing environment § All jurisdictions will have plans and procedures in place to manage volunteers and donations during an emergency

3. The funding for FY10 SHSP is passed through to Cuyahoga County from the Ohio Emergency Management Agency (OEMA) and the Department of Homeland Security (DHS).

B. Procurement

1. The procurement method for this project was a request for applications and a review process conducted by the Urban Area Working Group (Cuyahoga County's Terrorism Advisory Team) and with the oversight of OEMA. Per guidance provided for the FY10 SHSP program, the Terrorism Advisory Team must consist of AT LEAST one member from the following ten disciplines: Fire services, Emergency Medical Services, EMA, Police departments,

Sheriff's Office, Public Works departments, Public Health organizations, Township Trustees, Mayor's office, and County Executive's office. No one person on the team may represent multiple disciplines. Specific names can be provided if necessary, but this group accepted applications for FY10 SHSP and determined awards with OEMA's oversight.

2. The performance period of the agreement ends on February 28, 2013. (The City of Brooklyn follows their procurement policy, which must at least be compliant with Cuyahoga County's procurement policy for all equipment procured.)

3. [Option 1]There were (# bids/proposals/applications) pulled from OPD, (# bids/proposals/ applications) submitted for review, (# bids/proposals/applications) approved.

4. [Option 2] The proposed (contract, loan, agreement, etc.) is an OPD approved sole source item with materials attached.

5. [Option 3] The proposed (contract, loan, agreement, etc.) received an (RFB, RFP, RFQ, or other [specify]) exemption on (list date). The approval letter is attached for review.

C. Contractor and Project Information

1. The address of the City of Brooklyn: 7619 Memphis Ave. Brooklyn, Oh 44144 Council District 4 2. N/A

3. a The address or location of the project is: (provide the full address or list the municipality(ies) impacted by the project in the following format): Same as above

3. b. Council District 4.

D. Project Status and Planning

1. The SHSP is awarded on a yearly basis provided DHS receives funding from Congress.

2. The project is underway and will be completed by February 28, 2013.

3. The project is not on a critical action path.

4. The project's term has already begun. The reason for the delay in the request was the project was working its way through the application/approval process and the agreement had to be signed off on by the City of Brooklyn.

5. N/A

### E. Funding

1. The project is funded 100% by the DHS through OEMA.

2. The grant is a reimbursement grant so expenses are submitted for reimbursement as they occur. The City of Brooklyn has until February 28, 2013, to request reimbursement for expensed incurred against the FY10 SHSP award.

3. N/A

Title: CONFIDENTIAL: Public Safety & Justice Services, 2011, City of Garfield Heights, CERT Agreement, FY2010 State Homeland Security Program (SHSP)

### A. Scope of Work Summary

1. Public Safety & Justice Services requesting approval for an agreement with the City of Garfield Heights for FY10 SHSP in the amount not-to-exceed \$1,273.74 for the period 8/1/2010-2/28/2013.

2. The primary goals of the project are:§ Continue to develop secure local-,regional-,and state level intelligence and information sharing systems with the goal of interconnecting and standardizing these systems so that they may ultimately join the national information sharing environment§ All jurisdictions will have plans and procedures in place to manage volunteers and donations during an emergency

3. The funding for FY10 SHSP is passed through to Cuyahoga County from the Ohio Emergency Management Agency (OEMA) and the Department of Homeland Security (DHS).

B. Procurement

1. The procurement method for this project was a request for applications and a review process conducted by the Urban Area Working Group (Cuyahoga County's Terrorism Advisory Team) and with the oversight of OEMA. Per guidance provided for the FY10 SHSP program, the Terrorism Advisory Team must consist of AT LEAST one member from the following ten disciplines: Fire services, Emergency Medical Services, EMA, Police departments, Sheriff's Office, Public Works departments, Public Health organizations, Township Trustees, Mayor's office, and County Executive's office. No one person on the team may represent multiple disciplines. Specific names can be provided if necessary, but this group accepted applications for FY10 SHSP and determined awards with OEMA's oversight.

2. The performance period of the agreement ends on February 28, 2013. (The City of Garfield Heights follows their procurement policy, which must at least be compliant with Cuyahoga County's procurement policy for all equipment procured.)

3. [Option 1]There were (# bids/proposals/applications) pulled from OPD, (# bids/proposals/ applications) submitted for review, (# bids/proposals/applications) approved.

4. [Option 2] The proposed (contract, loan, agreement, etc.) is an OPD approved sole source item with materials attached.

5. [Option 3] The proposed (contract, loan, agreement, etc.) received an (RFB, RFP, RFQ, or other [specify]) exemption on (list date). The approval letter is attached for review.

C. Contractor and Project Information

1. The address of the City of Garfield Heights:5407 Turney Road,Garfield Heights, Oh 44125Council District 8 2. N/A

3. a The address or location of the project is: (provide the full address or list the municipality(ies) impacted by the project in the following format):Same as above

3. b. Council District 8.

D. Project Status and Planning

1. The SHSP is awarded on a yearly basis provided DHS receives funding from Congress.

2. The project is underway and will be completed by February 28, 2013.

3. The project is not on a critical action path.

4. The project's term has already begun. The reason for the delay in the request was the project was working its way through the application/approval process and the agreement had to be signed off on by the City of Garfield Heights.

5. N/A

E. Funding

1. The project is funded 100% by the DHS through OEMA.

2. The grant is a reimbursement grant so expenses are submitted for reimbursement as they occur. The City of Garfield Heights has until February 28, 2013, to request reimbursement for expensed incurred against the FY10 SHSP award.

3. N/A

\_\_\_\_\_

CPB2011-429

Title: CONFIDENTIAL: Public Safety & Justice Services, 2011, City of Broadview Heights, CERT Agreement, FY2010 State Homeland Security Program (SHSP)

A. Scope of Work Summary

1. Public Safety & Justice Services requesting approval for an agreement with the City of Broadview Heights for FY10 SHSP in the amount not-to-exceed \$1,300.00 for the period 8/1/2010-2/28/2013.

2. The primary goals of the project are:§ Continue to develop secure local-,regional-,and state level intelligence and information sharing systems with the goal of interconnecting and standardizing these systems so that they may ultimately join the national information sharing environment§ All jurisdictions will have plans and procedures in place to manage volunteers and donations during an emergency

3. The funding for FY10 SHSP is passed through to Cuyahoga County from the Ohio Emergency Management Agency (OEMA) and the Department of Homeland Security (DHS).

## B. Procurement

1. The procurement method for this project was a request for applications and a review process conducted by the Urban Area Working Group (Cuyahoga County's Terrorism Advisory Team) and with the oversight of OEMA. Per guidance provided for the FY10 SHSP program, the Terrorism Advisory Team must consist of AT LEAST one member from the following ten disciplines: Fire services, Emergency Medical Services, EMA, Police departments, Sheriff's Office, Public Works departments, Public Health organizations, Township Trustees, Mayor's office, and County Executive's office. No one person on the team may represent multiple disciplines. Specific names can be provided if necessary, but this group accepted applications for FY10 SHSP and determined awards with OEMA's oversight.

2. The performance period of the agreement ends on February 28, 2013. (The City of Broadview Heights follows their procurement policy, which must at least be compliant with Cuyahoga County's procurement policy for all equipment procured.)

3. [Option 1]There were (# bids/proposals/applications) pulled from OPD, (# bids/proposals/ applications) submitted for review, (# bids/proposals/applications) approved.

4. [Option 2] The proposed (contract, loan, agreement, etc.) is an OPD approved sole source item with materials attached.

5. [Option 3] The proposed (contract, loan, agreement, etc.) received an (RFB, RFP, RFQ, or other [specify]) exemption on (list date). The approval letter is attached for review.

C. Contractor and Project Information

1. The address of the City of Broadview Heights: 9543 Broadview Road Broadview Heights, Oh 44147Council District 6

2. N/A

3. a The address or location of the project is: (provide the full address or list the municipality(ies) impacted by the project in the following format):Same as above

3. b. Council District 6.

D. Project Status and Planning

1. The SHSP is awarded on a yearly basis provided DHS receives funding from Congress.

2. The project is underway and will be completed by February 28, 2013.

3. The project is not on a critical action path.

4. The project's term has already begun. The reason for the delay in the request was the project was working its way through the application/approval process and the agreement had to be executed by the City of Broadview Heights.

5. N/A

E. Funding

1. The project is funded 100% by the DHS through OEMA.

2. The grant is a reimbursement grant so expenses are submitted for reimbursement as they occur. The City of Broadview Heights has until February 28, 2013, to request reimbursement for expenses incurred against the FY10 SHSP award. 3. N/A

Title: CONFIDENTIAL: Public Safety & Justice Services, 2011, Orange Village, CERT Agreement, FY2010 State Homeland Security Program (SHSP)

#### A. Scope of Work Summary

1. Public Safety & Justice Services requesting approval for an agreement with Orange Village for FY10 SHSP in the amount not-to-exceed \$1,300.00 for the period 8/1/2010-2/28/2013.

2. The primary goals of the project are:§ Continue to develop secure local-,regional-,and state level intelligence and information sharing systems with the goal of interconnecting and standardizing these systems so that they may ultimately join the national information sharing environment§ All jurisdictions will have plans and procedures in place to manage volunteers and donations during an emergency

3. The funding for FY10 SHSP is passed through to Cuyahoga County from the Ohio Emergency Management Agency (OEMA) and the Department of Homeland Security (DHS).

B. Procurement

1. The procurement method for this project was a request for applications and a review process conducted by the Urban Area Working Group (Cuyahoga County's Terrorism Advisory Team) and with the oversight of OEMA. Per guidance provided for the FY10 SHSP program, the Terrorism Advisory Team must consist of AT LEAST one member from the following ten disciplines: Fire services, Emergency Medical Services, EMA, Police departments, Sheriff's Office, Public Works departments, Public Health organizations, Township Trustees, Mayor's office, and County Executive's office. No one person on the team may represent multiple disciplines. Specific names can be provided if necessary, but this group accepted applications for FY10 SHSP and determined awards with OEMA's oversight.

2. The performance period of the agreement ends on February 28, 2013. (Orange Village follows their procurement policy, which must at least be compliant with Cuyahoga County's procurement policy for all equipment procured.)

3. [Option 1]There were (# bids/proposals/applications) pulled from OPD, (# bids/proposals/ applications) submitted for review, (# bids/proposals/applications) approved.

4. [Option 2] The proposed (contract, loan, agreement, etc.) is an OPD approved sole source item with materials attached.

5. [Option 3] The proposed (contract, loan, agreement, etc.) received an (RFB, RFP, RFQ, or other [specify]) exemption on (list date). The approval letter is attached for review.

C. Contractor and Project Information

1. The address of Orange Village: 4600 Lander Road, Orange, Oh 44022Council District 9

2. N/A

3. a The address or location of the project is: (provide the full address or list the municipality(ies) impacted by the project in the following format):Same as above

3. b. Council District 9.

D. Project Status and Planning

1. The SHSP is awarded on a yearly basis provided DHS receives funding from Congress.

2. The project is underway and will be completed by February 28, 2013.

3. The project is not on a critical action path.

4. The project's term has already begun. The reason for the delay in the request was the project was working its way through the application/approval process and the agreement had to be executed by Orange Village.
5. N/A

E. Funding

1. The project is funded 100% by the DHS through OEMA.

2. The grant is a reimbursement grant so expenses are submitted for reimbursement as they occur. Orange Village has until February 28, 2013, to request reimbursement for expenses incurred against the FY10 SHSP award.

3. N/A

Title: CONFIDENTIAL: Public Safety & Justice Services, 2011, Village of Valley View, CERT Agreement, FY2010 State Homeland Security Program (SHSP)

A. Scope of Work Summary

1. Public Safety & Justice Services requesting approval for an agreement with the Village of Valley View for FY10 SHSP in the amount not-to-exceed \$5,195.00 for the period 8/1/2010-2/28/2013.

2. The primary goals of the project are:§ Continue to develop secure local-,regional-,and state level intelligence and information sharing systems with the goal of interconnecting and standardizing these systems so that they may ultimately join the national information sharing environment§ All jurisdictions will have plans and procedures in place to manage volunteers and donations during an emergency

3. The funding for FY10 SHSP is passed through to Cuyahoga County from the Ohio Emergency Management Agency (OEMA) and the Department of Homeland Security (DHS).

B. Procurement

1. The procurement method for this project was a request for applications and a review process conducted by the Urban Area Working Group (Cuyahoga County's Terrorism Advisory Team) and with the oversight of OEMA. Per guidance provided for the FY10 SHSP program, the Terrorism Advisory Team must consist of AT LEAST one member from the following ten disciplines: Fire services, Emergency Medical Services, EMA, Police departments, Sheriff's Office, Public Works departments, Public Health organizations, Township Trustees, Mayor's office, and County Executive's office. No one person on the team may represent multiple disciplines. Specific names can be provided if necessary, but this group accepted applications for FY10 SHSP and determined awards with OEMA's oversight.

2. The performance period of the agreement ends on February 28, 2013. (Village of Valley View follows their procurement policy, which must at least be compliant with Cuyahoga County's procurement policy for all equipment procured.)

3. [Option 1]There were (# bids/proposals/applications) pulled from OPD, (# bids/proposals/ applications) submitted for review, (# bids/proposals/applications) approved.

4. [Option 2] The proposed (contract, loan, agreement, etc.) is an OPD approved sole source item with materials attached.

5. [Option 3] The proposed (contract, loan, agreement, etc.) received an (RFB, RFP, RFQ, or other [specify]) exemption on (list date). The approval letter is attached for review.

C. Contractor and Project Information

1. The address of Village of Valley View: 6848 Hathaway Road Valley View, Oh 44125Council District 6 2. N/A

3. a The address or location of the project is: (provide the full address or list the municipality(ies) impacted by the project in the following format):Same as above

3. b. Council District 6.

D. Project Status and Planning

1. The SHSP is awarded on a yearly basis provided DHS receives funding from Congress.

2. The project is underway and will be completed by February 28, 2013.

3. The project is not on a critical action path.

4. The project's term has already begun. The reason for the delay in the request was the project was working its way through the application/approval process and the agreement had to be executed by the Village of Valley View.

5. N/A

E. Funding

1. The project is funded 100% by the DHS through OEMA.

2. The grant is a reimbursement grant so expenses are submitted for reimbursement as they occur. The Village of Valley View has until February 28, 2013, to request reimbursement for expenses incurred against the FY10 SHSP award.

3. N/A

-----

#### CPB2011-430

Title: Public Safety and Justice Services 2011 City of Olmsted Falls Training Reimbursement Agreement FY08 UASI Grant

#### A. Scope of Work Summary

Public Safety and Justice Services requesting approval of an agreement with the City of Olmsted Falls for the cost of \$540.90 The start-end date of the agreement is January 6, 2011 through May 15, 2011.
 The primary goals of the project are:

Provide funding for First Responders to prepare for, prevent, respond to and recover from natural and manmade disasters. Provide funding for homeland security related equipment, training, exercises. This agreement reimburses the City of Olmsted Falls for Overtime and Backfill expenses associated with FEMA-approved training.

#### B. Procurement

1. The procurement method for this project was the grant agreement with OEMA. The County is reimbursed by OEMA for all allowable expenses. The FEMA training was an approved project.

2. The grant agreement will close on 2/29/2012.

C. Contractor and Project Information

1. City of Olmsted Falls, 26100 Bagley Road, Olmsted Falls, Ohio 44138, Council District 5

2. The Mayor of Olmsted Falls is Robert G. Blomquist

D. Project Status and Planning

1. The UASI grant is awarded yearly based on DHS receiving funding from Congress and including Cleveland/Cuyahoga County in the list of recipient Urban Areas.

2. The reimbursed expenses for training involve an ongoing project to present awareness-level training to all Cuyahoga County First Responders, as well as the County Bomb Response Plan.

E. Funding

1. The project is funded 100% by DHS through OEMA.

2. The grant is a reimbursement grant. Expenses are submitted for reimbursement as they occur.

\_\_\_\_\_

CPB2011-431

Title: Public Safety and Justice Services 2011 City of Olmsted Falls Training Reimbursement Agreement FY08 UASI Grant

#### A. Scope of Work Summary

1. Public Safety and Justice Services requesting approval of an agreement with the City of Olmsted Falls for the cost of \$415.24. The start-end date of the agreement is January 6, 2011 through August 1, 2011.

2. The primary goals of the project are; provide funding for First Responders to prepare for, prevent, respond to and recover from natural and man-made disasters. Provide funding for homeland security related equipment,

training, exercises. This agreement reimburses the City of Olmsted Falls for Overtime and Backfill expenses associated with a FEMA and OEMA-approved full scale exercise.

B. Procurement

 The procurement method for this project was the grant agreement with OEMA. The County is reimbursed by OEMA for all allowable expenses. The full scale exercise was an approved project by OEMA.
 The grant agreement will close on 2.29.2012.

C. Contractor and Project Information

1. City of Olmsted Falls, 26100 Bagley Road, Olmsted Falls, Ohio 44138, Council District 5

2. The Mayor of the City of Olmsted Falls is Robert G. Blomquist

D. Project Status and Planning

1. The UASI grant is awarded yearly based on DHS receives funding from Congress and including Cleveland/Cuyahoga County in the list of recipient Urban Areas.

2. The reimbursed expenses for exercises involve an ongoing project to present realistic scenarios exercises awareness to all Cuyahoga County First Responders, as well as the private and volunteer partners.

E. Funding

1. The project is funded 100% by DHS through OEMA.

2. The grant is a reimbursement grant. Expenses are submitted for reimbursement as they occur.

\_\_\_\_\_

CPB2011-432

Title: Public Safety and Justice Services 2011 City of Brecksville Training Reimbursement Agreement FY08 UASI Grant

### A. Scope of Work Summary

1. Public Safety and Justice Services requesting approval of an agreement with the City of Brecksville for the cost of \$1,180.73. The start-end date of the agreement is January 6, 2011 through August 1, 2011.

2. The primary goals of the project are; provide funding for First Responders to prepare for, prevent, respond to and recover from natural and man-made disasters. Provide funding for homeland security related equipment, training, exercises. This agreement reimburses the City of Brecksville for Overtime and Backfill expenses associated with a FEMA and OEMA-approved full scale exercise.

B. Procurement

 The procurement method for this project was the grant agreement with OEMA. The County is reimbursed by OEMA for all allowable expenses. The full scale exercise was an approved project by OEMA.
 The grant agreement will close on 2.29.2012.

C. Contractor and Project Information

1. City of Brecksville, 9069 Brecksville Road, Brecksville, Ohio 44141, Council District 6

2. The Mayor of the City of Brecksville is Jerry N. Hruby

D. Project Status and Planning

1. The UASI grant is awarded yearly based on DHS receives funding from Congress and including Cleveland/Cuyahoga County in the list of recipient Urban Areas.

2. The reimbursed expenses for exercises involve an ongoing project to present realistic scenarios exercises awareness to all Cuyahoga County First Responders, as well as the private and volunteer partners.

E. Funding

1. The project is funded 100% by DHS through OEMA.

2. The grant is a reimbursement grant. Expenses are submitted for reimbursement as they occur.

-----

#### CPB2011-433

TITLE:

Department of Public Safety and Justice Services submitting an extension for the 2010 Violence Against Women Act Grant (2010 VAWA).

A. Scope of Work Summary:

 Department of Public Safety and Justice Services submitting an extension for the project period of the 2010 Violence Against Women Act grant Block and Administrative awards from the Ohio Office of Criminal Justice Services. The time frame is extended from 1/1/2011 through 12/31/2011 to 1/1/2011 through 6/30/2012.
 The primary goal of this extension is to allow projects under the grant to complete approved activities. No additional funds have been requested. The amount of funding under the Block portion is \$509,170.63. The funding for the Administrative Portion is \$15,643.43.

B. Procurement N/A

C. Contractor and Project Information: N/A

D. Project Status and Information:

VAWA grant is an annual award passed through from the U. S. Department of Justice, Office on Violence Against Women to the Ohio Office of Criminal Justice Services to Cuyahoga County.

E. Funding

25% match requirement for the block Portion under this grant is assumed by the sub-contractors. The 25% match for the administrative Award is budgeted in the Public Safety Justice Services Public Safety Grants general fund.

\_\_\_\_\_

CPB2011-434

Title: CONFIDENTIAL: Public Safety & Justice Services, 2011, Lake County Board of Commissioners, Agreement, FY2010 State Homeland Security Program-Law Enforcement (SHSP-LE)

#### A. Scope of Work Summary

 Public Safety & Justice Services requesting approval for an agreement with Lake County Board of Commissioners for FY10 SHSP-LE in the amount not-to-exceed \$80,000.00 for the period 8/1/2010-2/28/2013.
 The primary goals of the project are:§ Acquire equipment to promote the early identification of threats§ Continue to develop secure local-, regional-, and state level intelligence and information sharing systems, with the goal of interconnecting and standardizing these systems so that they may ultimately join the national information sharing environment

3. The funding for FY10 SHSP-LE is passed through to Cuyahoga County from the Ohio Emergency Management Agency (OEMA) and the Department of Homeland Security (DHS).

#### B. Procurement

1. The procurement method for this project was a request for applications and a review process conducted by the Region 2 Law Enforcement and Terrorism Prevention Program Advisory Group and with the oversight of OEMA. Per guidance provided for the FY10 SHSP-LE program, the Advisory Group must AT A MINIMUM consist of the following members: three police chiefs, three county sheriffs, one university law enforcement representative, one representative from a criminal justice services agency and one representative from a terrorism and early warning group. Specific names can be provided if necessary, but this group accepted applications for FY10 SHSP-LE and determined awards with OEMA's oversight.

2. The performance period of the agreement ends on February 28, 2013. 3. (Lake County Board of Commissioners follows their procurement policy, which must at least be compliant with Cuyahoga County's procurement policy for all equipment procured.)

3. [Option 1]There were (# bids/proposals/applications) pulled from OPD, (# bids/proposals/ applications) submitted for review, (# bids/proposals/applications) approved.

4. [Option 2] The proposed (contract, loan, agreement, etc.) is an OPD approved sole source item with materials attached.

5. [Option 3] The proposed (contract, loan, agreement, etc.) received an (RFB, RFP, RFQ, or other [specify]) exemption on (list date). The approval letter is attached for review.

C. Contractor and Project Information

1. The address of Lake County Board of Commissioners: 105 Main Street, Painesville, OH 44077, Council District N/A

2. N/A

3. a The address or location of the project is: (provide the full address or list the municipality(ies) impacted by the project in the following format): 8505 Garfield Rd., Mentor, Oh 44060

3. b. Council District N/A.

D. Project Status and Planning

1. The SHSP-LE is awarded on a yearly basis provided DHS receives funding from Congress.

2. The project is underway and will be completed by February 28, 2013.

3. The project is not on a critical action path.

4. The project's term has already begun. The reason for the delay in the request was the project was working its way through the application/approval process and the agreement had to be executed by Lake County Board of Commissioners.

5. N/A

E. Funding

1. The project is funded 100% by the DHS through OEMA.

2. The grant is a reimbursement grant so expenses are submitted for reimbursement as they occur. Lake County Board of Commissioners has until February 28, 2013, to request reimbursement for expenses incurred against the FY10 SHSP-LE award.

3. N/A

-----

### CPB2011-435

Title: Public Safety and Justice Services 2011 City of Brecksville Training Reimbursement Agreement FY08 UASI Grant

### A. Scope of Work Summary

1. Public Safety and Justice Services requesting approval of an agreement with the City of Brunswick for the cost of \$2474.92. The start-end date of the agreement is January 6, 2011 through August 1, 2011.

2. The primary goals of the project are; provide funding for First Responders to prepare for, prevent, respond to and recover from natural and man-made disasters. Provide funding for homeland security related equipment, training, exercises. This agreement reimburses the City of Brunswick for Overtime and Backfill expenses associated with a FEMA and OEMA-approved full scale exercise.

## B. Procurement

 The procurement method for this project was the grant agreement with OEMA. The County is reimbursed by OEMA for all allowable expenses. The full scale exercise was an approved project by OEMA.
 The grant agreement will close on 2.29.2012.

C. Contractor and Project Information

1. City of Brunswick, 4095 Center Road, Brunswick, Ohio 44212, Medina County

2. The Mayor of the City of Brunswick is Gary F. Werner.

D. Project Status and Planning

1. The UASI grant is awarded yearly based on DHS receives funding from Congress and including Cleveland/Cuyahoga County in the list of recipient Urban Areas.

2. The reimbursed expenses for exercises involve an ongoing project to present realistic scenarios exercises awareness to all Cuyahoga County First Responders, as well as the private and volunteer partners.

E. Funding

1. The project is funded 100% by DHS through OEMA.

2. The grant is a reimbursement grant. Expenses are submitted for reimbursement as they occur.

\_\_\_\_\_

CPB2011-436

Title: Cuyahoga County, Department of Public Safety & Justice Services, 2011, Ohio Department of Youth Services FY2010 Juvenile Justice and Delinquency Prevention Administrative Grant extension.

A. Scope of Work Summary

1. Department of Public Safety & Justice Services is requesting approval of an amendment to the FY2010 Juvenile Justice and Delinquency Prevention (JJDP) Administrative grant award with the Ohio Department of Youth Services in the amount of \$20,000.00. The purpose of this grant amendment is to extend the time period from 01/01/2011 – 12/31/2011, to 6/30/2012. No additional funds are required. There was a 50% cash match which is provided from the Department of Public Safety & Justice Services General Fund.

2. The primary goals of this grant are administrative and are designated for staff to administer the JJDP block grant.

3. This grant is mandated by ORC statute 5139.87.

B. Procurement

1. The procurement method for this grant is: this grant award is an allocation from the Ohio Department of Youth Services. The total value of the award is \$20,000.00.

2. N/A

3. N/A

C. Contractor and Project Information N/A

D. Project Status and Planning

1. This juvenile justice grant re-occurs annually.

- 2. This juvenile justice administrative grant has 0 phases.
- 3. No action path is identified.
- 4. The term of this funding cycle was 1/1/2011 through 12/31/2011, to extend the time period to 6/30/2012.
- 5. No ink signature is required.

#### E. Funding

1. The funding source for this grant is the Ohio Department of Youth Services. Funds associated with this award are administrative and are designated for staff to administer the JJDP block grant. There was a 50% cash match which is provided from the Department of Public Safety & Justice Services General Fund.

2. The schedule of payments are quarterly and on a reimbursement basis.

3. This grant amendment changes the grant time period from 01/01/2011 through 12/31/2011 to end 06/30/2012. No amendments to this grant have been previously requested

\_\_\_\_\_

CPB2011-437

Q 1 Q 1 Q 1 1 1	
a SA: Senior & Adult c Svs.	WI: Workforce Development
SE: Support Enforcement Agency	WT: Employment & Family Svs.
SM: Solid Waste Dist	rict
DS ST: Sanitary Engineer	rs (Public Works)
TR: Treasurer	
	SM: Solid Waste Dist

Direct Open Market Purchases (Purchases between \$500.- \$25,000 <u>unless</u> requiring assistance from Procurement & Diversity Dept - see below)

<b>Requisition</b> #	Purchase Order Date	Description	Dept/Loc	Total	
CE-11-22288	12/8/2011	Road Salt	CE / CE01	\$2,181.03	
CE-11-22198	12/2/2011	Rotary Lift	CE / CE01	\$4,493.00	
<u>CF-11-22309</u>	12/12/2011	Birth certificates	CF / CF01	\$10,000.00	
CP-11-22381	12/16/2011	Boat Maintenance #4 - NEORSD	CP / CP01	\$2,510.41	
<u>CT-11-22402</u>	12/19/2011	RIDGE K DRAIN CLEANER JJC	CT / CT01	\$1,544.24	
<u>CT-11-22387</u>	12/19/2011	CABLE FOR AUDIO SYSTEM JC	CT / CT01	\$2,400.67	
<u>CT-11-22384</u>	12/18/2011	JJC AIR FILTERS	CT / CT01	\$895.74	
<u>CT-11-22359</u>	12/15/2011	VEB DECEMBER FILTER SERVICE	CT / CT01	\$525.00	
<u>CT-11-22392</u>	12/19/2011	AUTOMOTIVE REPAIR	CT / CT04	\$1,485.55	
<u>CT-11-22386</u>	12/18/2011	AUTOMOTIVE REPAIRS- HOOD, RIGHT FENDER	CT / CT04	\$838.50	
<u>CT-11-22429</u>	12/21/2011	CITY OF SOLON MAILING	CT / CT09	\$859.00	

OT 11 00410	12/20/2011	ELECTIONS OFFICIAL	CT / CT09	\$14,193.00	
<u>CT-11-22418</u>		MANUAL- BOE			
<u>CT-11-22415</u>	12/20/2011	POLL WORKER FORMS PACKET - BOE	CT / CT09	\$9,272.00	
<u>CT-11-22410</u>	12/20/2011	12X18 60# WHITE PAPER	CT / CT09	\$892.00	
<u>CT-11-22385</u>	12/18/2011	BOE 9X12 WHITE ENVELOPES	CT / CT09	\$2,156.40	
<u>CT-11-22362</u>	12/15/2011	17 YEAR OLD ENVELOPE	CT / CT09	\$3,375.00	
<u>CT-11-22361</u>	12/15/2011	OPTICAL SCAN "A" ENVELOPE	CT / CT09	\$735.00	
HS-11-21868	10/27/2011	Someone owe you money Ad	HS / HS12	\$560.00	
IS-12-22376	12/16/2011	Microsoft Office Professional License with Assurance	IS / IS01	\$826.06	
IS-12-22373	12/16/2011	Motorola Bar Code Readers	IS / IS01	\$1,285.00	
IS-12-22353	12/14/2011	Editing Equipment	IS / IS01	\$22,386.92	
IS-12-22352	12/14/2011	Adobe Creative Suite	IS / IS01	\$8,081.00	
IS-12-22351	12/14/2011	Workstation Repurpose to Meta Data Controller	IS / IS01	\$3,031.00	
IS-12-22346	12/14/2011	Mac Pro "Westmere" with Misc. Equipment	IS / IS01	\$20,483.39	
IS-11-21626	10/5/2011	Dell OptiPlex 790	IS / IS01	\$2,542.46	
IS-11-21451	9/19/2011	HP StorageWorks Server	IS / IS01	\$24,890.00	
10 11 21 451					
<u>JC-11-22363</u>	12/15/2011	Badges & Holders	JC	\$3,512.48	
	12/15/2011 12/14/2011	Badges & Holders Toner purchase	JC SE	\$3,512.48 \$899.70	
<u>JC-11-22363</u>		Ŭ			
JC-11-22363 SE-12-22345	12/14/2011	Toner purchase	SE	\$899.70	
<u>JC-11-22363</u> <u>SE-12-22345</u> SH-12-22438	12/14/2011 12/21/2011	Toner purchase ZEP - Tuff Green Concentrate ZEP - Tranquil Meadows	SE SH / SH01	\$899.70 \$1,653.30	
JC-11-22363           SE-12-22345           SH-12-22438           SH-12-22436	12/14/2011 12/21/2011 12/21/2011	Toner purchase           ZEP - Tuff Green Concentrate           ZEP - Tranquil Meadows           Antibacterail           ZEP 55/gal drum FS Formula	SE SH / SH01 SH / SH01	\$899.70 \$1,653.30 \$1,930.00	
JC-11-22363           SE-12-22345           SH-12-22438           SH-12-22436           SH-12-22435	12/14/2011           12/21/2011           12/21/2011           12/21/2011           12/21/2011	Toner purchase         ZEP - Tuff Green Concentrate         ZEP - Tranquil Meadows         Antibacterail         ZEP 55/gal drum FS Formula         4089         ZEP Formula 4089         Repair Home Monitoring         Devices	SE SH / SH01 SH / SH01 SH / SH01	\$899.70 \$1,653.30 \$1,930.00 \$1,098.90	
JC-11-22363           SE-12-22345           SH-12-22438           SH-12-22436           SH-12-22435           SH-12-22434	12/14/2011           12/21/2011           12/21/2011           12/21/2011           12/21/2011           12/14/2011           12/14/2011	Toner purchase         ZEP - Tuff Green Concentrate         ZEP - Tranquil Meadows         Antibacterail         ZEP 55/gal drum FS Formula         4089         ZEP Formula 4089         Repair Home Monitoring         Devices         Uniforms for C/O Sgt's 2 sets         each	SE           SH / SH01	\$899.70 \$1,653.30 \$1,930.00 \$1,098.90 \$1,626.00 \$2,480.00 \$2,156.00	
JC-11-22363           SE-12-22345           SH-12-22438           SH-12-22436           SH-12-22435           SH-12-22434           SH-12-22340           SH-12-22339           SH-12-22338	12/14/2011           12/21/2011           12/21/2011           12/21/2011           12/21/2011           12/21/2011           12/14/2011           12/14/2011	Toner purchase         ZEP - Tuff Green Concentrate         ZEP - Tranquil Meadows         Antibacterail         ZEP 55/gal drum FS Formula         4089         ZEP Formula 4089         Repair Home Monitoring         Devices         Uniforms for C/O Sgt's 2 sets         each         Monthly Access Fee	SE           SH / SH01	\$899.70 \$1,653.30 \$1,930.00 \$1,098.90 \$1,626.00 \$2,480.00 \$2,156.00 \$919.00	
JC-11-22363           SE-12-22345           SH-12-22438           SH-12-22436           SH-12-22435           SH-12-22434           SH-12-22340           SH-12-22339           SH-12-22336	12/14/2011           12/21/2011           12/21/2011           12/21/2011           12/21/2011           12/21/2011           12/14/2011           12/14/2011           12/14/2011	Toner purchase         ZEP - Tuff Green Concentrate         ZEP - Tranquil Meadows         Antibacterail         ZEP 55/gal drum FS Formula         4089         ZEP Formula 4089         Repair Home Monitoring         Devices         Uniforms for C/O Sgt's 2 sets         each         Monthly Access Fee         Medical Chart Dividers	SE           SH / SH01	\$899.70         \$1,653.30         \$1,930.00         \$1,098.90         \$1,626.00         \$2,480.00         \$2,156.00         \$919.00         \$1,700.00	
JC-11-22363           SE-12-22345           SH-12-22438           SH-12-22436           SH-12-22435           SH-12-22434           SH-12-22340           SH-12-22339           SH-12-22336           SH-12-22334	12/14/2011           12/21/2011           12/21/2011           12/21/2011           12/21/2011           12/21/2011           12/14/2011           12/14/2011           12/14/2011           12/14/2011           12/14/2011	Toner purchase         ZEP - Tuff Green Concentrate         ZEP - Tranquil Meadows         Antibacterail         ZEP 55/gal drum FS Formula         4089         ZEP Formula 4089         Repair Home Monitoring         Devices         Uniforms for C/O Sgt's 2 sets         each         Monthly Access Fee         Medical Chart Dividers         Manila Medical Charts	SE           SH / SH01	\$899.70 \$1,653.30 \$1,930.00 \$1,098.90 \$1,626.00 \$2,480.00 \$2,156.00 \$919.00 \$1,700.00 \$1,240.00	
JC-11-22363           SE-12-22345           SH-12-22438           SH-12-22436           SH-12-22435           SH-12-22434           SH-12-22340           SH-12-22339           SH-12-22336           SH-12-22334           SH-12-22334	12/14/2011           12/21/2011           12/21/2011           12/21/2011           12/21/2011           12/21/2011           12/14/2011           12/14/2011           12/14/2011           12/14/2011           12/14/2011           12/14/2011           12/14/2011           12/14/2011           12/14/2011           12/20/2011	Toner purchase           ZEP - Tuff Green Concentrate           ZEP - Tranquil Meadows           Antibacterail           ZEP 55/gal drum FS Formula           4089           ZEP Formula 4089           Repair Home Monitoring           Devices           Uniforms for C/O Sgt's 2 sets           each           Monthly Access Fee           Medical Chart Dividers           Manila Medical Charts           Screen Weldment	SE           SH / SH01           ST / ST01	\$899.70 \$1,653.30 \$1,930.00 \$1,098.90 \$1,626.00 \$2,480.00 \$2,156.00 \$2,156.00 \$1,700.00 \$1,240.00 \$890.44	
JC-11-22363           SE-12-22345           SH-12-22438           SH-12-22436           SH-12-22435           SH-12-22434           SH-12-22340           SH-12-22339           SH-12-22338           SH-12-22334           SH-12-22340	12/14/2011           12/21/2011           12/21/2011           12/21/2011           12/21/2011           12/21/2011           12/14/2011           12/14/2011           12/14/2011           12/14/2011           12/14/2011           12/14/2011           12/14/2011           12/14/2011           12/14/2011           12/14/2011           12/14/2011           12/14/2011           12/14/2011           12/14/2011	Toner purchase         ZEP - Tuff Green Concentrate         ZEP - Tranquil Meadows         Antibacterail         ZEP 55/gal drum FS Formula         4089         ZEP Formula 4089         Repair Home Monitoring         Devices         Uniforms for C/O Sgt's 2 sets         each         Monthly Access Fee         Medical Chart Dividers         Manila Medical Charts         Screen Weldment         Flow Meter Repair	SE           SH / SH01           ST / ST01           ST / ST01	\$899.70 \$1,653.30 \$1,930.00 \$1,098.90 \$1,626.00 \$2,480.00 \$2,480.00 \$2,156.00 \$919.00 \$1,700.00 \$1,240.00 \$890.44 \$1,863.29	
JC-11-22363           SE-12-22345           SH-12-22438           SH-12-22436           SH-12-22435           SH-12-22434           SH-12-22340           SH-12-22339           SH-12-22336           SH-12-22334           SH-12-22340           SH-12-22338           SH-12-22336           SH-12-22334           ST-12-22312	12/14/2011           12/21/2011           12/21/2011           12/21/2011           12/21/2011           12/21/2011           12/14/2011           12/14/2011           12/14/2011           12/14/2011           12/14/2011           12/14/2011           12/14/2011           12/14/2011           12/14/2011           12/14/2011           12/14/2011           12/14/2011           12/14/2011           12/12/2011	Toner purchase         ZEP - Tuff Green Concentrate         ZEP - Tranquil Meadows         Antibacterail         ZEP 55/gal drum FS Formula         4089         ZEP Formula 4089         Repair Home Monitoring         Devices         Uniforms for C/O Sgt's 2 sets         each         Monthly Access Fee         Medical Chart Dividers         Manila Medical Charts         Screen Weldment         Flow Meter Repair         Camera Assembly	SE           SH / SH01           ST / ST01           ST / ST01           ST / ST01	\$899.70 \$1,653.30 \$1,930.00 \$1,098.90 \$1,626.00 \$2,480.00 \$2,480.00 \$2,156.00 \$919.00 \$1,700.00 \$1,240.00 \$890.44 \$1,863.29 \$18,924.95	
JC-11-22363           SE-12-22345           SH-12-22438           SH-12-22436           SH-12-22435           SH-12-22434           SH-12-22340           SH-12-22339           SH-12-22338           SH-12-22334           SH-12-22340	12/14/2011           12/21/2011           12/21/2011           12/21/2011           12/21/2011           12/21/2011           12/14/2011           12/14/2011           12/14/2011           12/14/2011           12/14/2011           12/14/2011           12/14/2011           12/14/2011           12/14/2011           12/14/2011           12/14/2011           12/14/2011           12/14/2011           12/14/2011	Toner purchase         ZEP - Tuff Green Concentrate         ZEP - Tranquil Meadows         Antibacterail         ZEP 55/gal drum FS Formula         4089         ZEP Formula 4089         Repair Home Monitoring         Devices         Uniforms for C/O Sgt's 2 sets         each         Monthly Access Fee         Medical Chart Dividers         Manila Medical Charts         Screen Weldment         Flow Meter Repair	SE           SH / SH01           ST / ST01           ST / ST01           ST / ST01           ST / ST01	\$899.70 \$1,653.30 \$1,930.00 \$1,098.90 \$1,626.00 \$2,480.00 \$2,480.00 \$2,156.00 \$919.00 \$1,700.00 \$1,240.00 \$890.44 \$1,863.29	
JC-11-22363           SE-12-22345           SH-12-22438           SH-12-22436           SH-12-22435           SH-12-22434           SH-12-22340           SH-12-22339           SH-12-22336           SH-12-22334           ST-12-22312           ST-12-22312           ST-12-22312           ST-12-22312           ST-12-22271	12/14/2011           12/21/2011           12/21/2011           12/21/2011           12/21/2011           12/21/2011           12/14/2011           12/14/2011           12/14/2011           12/14/2011           12/14/2011           12/14/2011           12/14/2011           12/14/2011           12/14/2011           12/14/2011           12/14/2011           12/12/2011           12/13/2011           12/12/2011           12/12/2011           12/7/2011	Toner purchaseZEP - Tuff Green ConcentrateZEP - Tranquil Meadows AntibacterailZEP 55/gal drum FS Formula 4089ZEP Formula 4089Repair Home Monitoring DevicesUniforms for C/O Sgt's 2 sets eachMonthly Access FeeMedical Chart DividersManila Medical Charts Screen WeldmentFlow Meter Repair Camera AssemblyFan Clutch #S-218 Mortar	SE           SH / SH01           ST / ST01	\$899.70 \$1,653.30 \$1,930.00 \$1,098.90 \$1,626.00 \$2,480.00 \$2,480.00 \$2,156.00 \$919.00 \$1,700.00 \$1,240.00 \$890.44 \$1,863.29 \$18,924.95	
JC-11-22363           SE-12-22345           SH-12-22438           SH-12-22436           SH-12-22435           SH-12-22434           SH-12-22340           SH-12-22339           SH-12-22336           SH-12-22334           ST-12-22340           SH-12-22338           SH-12-22338           SH-12-22340	12/14/2011           12/21/2011           12/21/2011           12/21/2011           12/21/2011           12/21/2011           12/21/2011           12/14/2011           12/14/2011           12/14/2011           12/14/2011           12/14/2011           12/14/2011           12/14/2011           12/14/2011           12/14/2011           12/13/2011           12/12/2011           12/12/2011           12/7/2011           12/7/2011           12/6/2011	Toner purchaseZEP - Tuff Green ConcentrateZEP - Tranquil Meadows AntibacterailZEP 55/gal drum FS Formula 4089ZEP Formula 4089Repair Home Monitoring DevicesUniforms for C/O Sgt's 2 sets eachMonthly Access FeeMedical Chart DividersManila Medical ChartsScreen WeldmentFlow Meter Repair Camera AssemblyFan Clutch #S-218 MortarMortarTires #S-219	SE           SH / SH01           ST / ST01           ST / ST01	\$899.70 \$1,653.30 \$1,930.00 \$1,098.90 \$1,626.00 \$2,480.00 \$2,156.00 \$2,156.00 \$1,700.00 \$1,700.00 \$1,240.00 \$890.44 \$1,863.29 \$18,924.95 \$853.59 \$3,000.00 \$3,030.76	
JC-11-22363           SE-12-22345           SH-12-22438           SH-12-22436           SH-12-22435           SH-12-22434           SH-12-22340           SH-12-22339           SH-12-22336           SH-12-22334           ST-12-22312           ST-12-22312           ST-12-22312           ST-12-22312           ST-12-22271	12/14/2011           12/21/2011           12/21/2011           12/21/2011           12/21/2011           12/21/2011           12/14/2011           12/14/2011           12/14/2011           12/14/2011           12/14/2011           12/14/2011           12/14/2011           12/14/2011           12/14/2011           12/14/2011           12/14/2011           12/12/2011           12/13/2011           12/12/2011           12/12/2011           12/7/2011	Toner purchaseZEP - Tuff Green ConcentrateZEP - Tranquil Meadows AntibacterailZEP 55/gal drum FS Formula 4089ZEP Formula 4089Repair Home Monitoring DevicesUniforms for C/O Sgt's 2 sets eachMonthly Access FeeMedical Chart DividersManila Medical ChartsScreen WeldmentFlow Meter Repair Camera AssemblyFan Clutch #S-218 MortarMing Pins # S-215	SE           SH / SH01           ST / ST01	\$899.70 \$1,653.30 \$1,930.00 \$1,098.90 \$1,626.00 \$2,480.00 \$2,156.00 \$2,156.00 \$1,700.00 \$1,700.00 \$1,240.00 \$890.44 \$1,863.29 \$18,924.95 \$853.59 \$3,000.00	
JC-11-22363           SE-12-22345           SH-12-22438           SH-12-22436           SH-12-22435           SH-12-22434           SH-12-22340           SH-12-22340           SH-12-22339           SH-12-22336           SH-12-22336           SH-12-22326           ST-12-22312           ST-12-22284           ST-12-22271           ST-12-2245	12/14/2011           12/21/2011           12/21/2011           12/21/2011           12/21/2011           12/21/2011           12/21/2011           12/14/2011           12/14/2011           12/14/2011           12/14/2011           12/14/2011           12/14/2011           12/14/2011           12/14/2011           12/14/2011           12/13/2011           12/12/2011           12/12/2011           12/7/2011           12/7/2011           12/6/2011	Toner purchaseZEP - Tuff Green ConcentrateZEP - Tranquil Meadows AntibacterailZEP 55/gal drum FS Formula 4089ZEP Formula 4089Repair Home Monitoring DevicesUniforms for C/O Sgt's 2 sets eachMonthly Access FeeMedical Chart DividersManila Medical ChartsScreen WeldmentFlow Meter Repair Camera AssemblyFan Clutch #S-218 MortarMortarTires #S-219	SE           SH / SH01           ST / ST01           ST / ST01	\$899.70 \$1,653.30 \$1,930.00 \$1,098.90 \$1,626.00 \$2,480.00 \$2,156.00 \$2,156.00 \$1,700.00 \$1,700.00 \$1,240.00 \$890.44 \$1,863.29 \$18,924.95 \$853.59 \$3,000.00 \$3,030.76	

Open Market Purchases (Over \$25,000 or requiring assistance from Procurement & Diversity Dept)

Source: BuySpeed Approv	al cue for POs.				
Purchase Order #	Purchase Order Date	Description	Dept/Loc	Total	
<u>1107527</u>	12/21/2011	Consumables - All-In-One- Analyzer	CR/CR00	\$957.60	
<u>1107521</u>	12/20/2011	Applied Bio - Consumables	CR/CR00	\$4,108.97	
<u>1107520</u>	12/20/2011	Maxwell 16 Forensic Instrument	CR/CR00	\$28,000.00	
<u>1107519</u>	12/20/2011	Maxwell 16 DNA Pro Kits	CR/CR00	\$10,155.00	
<u>1107463</u>	12/19/2011	Siemens Viva Jr. Analyzer Plus - Consumables	CR/CR00	\$3,769.58	
<u>1107462</u>	12/19/2011	Synthetic Cannabinoids	CR/CR00	\$622.00	
<u>1107532</u>	12/22/2011	Dell Latitude E4200 Laptop	IS/IS01	\$2,271.16	

Requistion # (if available)	Purchase Order Date	Description	Dept/Loc	Total
'ime Sensitive Mission ature)	Critical Items (I	tems authorized for pure	chase due to t	ime sensitive-mission critical
	<u> </u>			
<u>1107485</u>	12/20/2011	JAN - MAR DRINK MIX	SH/SHFS	\$11,550.00
<u>1107486</u>	12/20/2011	JANUARY BULK CEREAL	SH/SHFS	\$1,736.00
<u>1107487</u>	12/20/2011	JANUARY DONUTS	SH/SHFS	\$4,084.50
1107489	12/20/2011	JANUARY JELLY / SYRUP	SH/SHFS	\$1,659.50
1107488	12/20/2011	JANUARY JELLY / SYRUP	SH/SHFS	\$581.90
1107490	12/20/2011	JANUARY CAKE MIX	SH/SHFS	\$4,648.40
1107491	12/20/2011	JANUARY FRANKS	SH/SHFS	\$3,255.00
1107492	12/20/2011	JANUARY FRESH FRUIT	SH/SHFS	\$15,393.00
1107493	12/20/2011	JANUARY FISH	SH/SHFS	\$4,440.00
1107495	12/20/2011	JANUARY SUGAR, FLOUR	SH/SHFS	\$3,427.80
1107494	12/20/2011	JANUARY SUGAR, FLOUR	SH/SHFS	\$301.45
1107496	12/20/2011	JANUARY PASTA	SH/SHFS	\$4,192.15
<u>1107497</u>	12/20/2011	JANUARY CRACKERS/CHIPS	SH/SHFS	\$1,703.90
1107498	12/20/2011	JANUARY SAUCE & DRESSINGS	SH/SHFS	\$4,353.90
1107499	12/20/2011	JANUARY SAUSAGE	SH/SHFS	\$9,150.00
1107500	12/20/2011	JANUARY CHICKEN	SH/SHFS	\$12,180.00
1107501	12/20/2011	JANUARY FRESH VEGETABLES	SH/SHFS	\$14,729.25
<u>1107503</u>	12/20/2011	JANUARY BEEF PATTIES	SH/SHFS	\$4,680.00
1107502	12/20/2011	JANUARY BEEF PATTIES	SH/SHFS	\$4,585.00
1107504	12/20/2011	JANUARY BAGELS	SH/SHFS	\$1,223.20
1107505	12/20/2011	JANUARY BEANS	SH/SHFS	\$4,233.50
1107506	12/20/2011	JANUARY BREAD	SH/SHFS	\$14,453.00
1107507	12/20/2011	JANUARY SOUP	SH/SHFS	\$2,930.90
1107508	12/20/2011	JANUARY MILK	SH/SHFS	\$19,449.90
1107509	12/20/2011	JANUARY TURKEY	SH/SHFS	\$3,240.00
1107510	12/20/2011	JANUARY GROUND BEEF	SH/SHFS	\$5,680.00
<u>1107511</u>	12/20/2011	JANUARY MIXED VEG., ETC.	SH/SHFS	\$2,684.60
<u>1107512</u>	12/20/2011	JANUARY CAN VEGETABLES	SH/SHFS	\$11,301.70
<u>1107513</u>	12/20/2011	JANUARY TURKEY HAM	SH/SHFS	\$8,270.00
<u>1107514</u>	12/20/2011	JANUARY DAIRY	SH/SHFS	\$8,741.00
<u>1107515</u>	12/20/2011	JANUARY POT/MILK/OATS	SH/SHFS	\$5,757.20
<u>1107542</u>	12/22/2011	Mattresses	SH/SH01	\$74,614.50
<u>1207535</u>	12/22/2011	Locate Services	SE/SE01	\$939.00
<u>1107529</u>	12/22/2011	PeachTree Upgrade	PR/PR00	\$647.00
	10/00/011		DD (DD 00	\$<1 <b>5</b> 0.0