

Contracts and Purchasing Board
 County Administration Building, 4th Floor
 April 16, 2012 11:30 AM

- I. Call to Order
- II. Review and Approve Minutes
- III. Public Comment
- IV. Contracts and Awards
 - A. Tabled Items

Item	Requestor	Description	Board Action
CPB2012-325	County Prosecutor	<p>Recommending to employ Brett Horton and the law firm of Horton & Horton Co., LPA, in the amount not-to-exceed \$1,900.00 for legal services in connection with employment matters for Cuyahoga County Juvenile Court, in accordance with Ohio Revised Code Section 305.14(A).</p> <p>Funding Source: 100% General Fund</p>	<p><input type="checkbox"/> Approve</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> Disapprove</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> Hold</p>
CPB2012-333	Court of Common Pleas/ Juvenile Court Division; Clerk of Courts; and Department of Public Works	<p>1. What is the product/service that you seek to acquire? CML-6 certified mailer</p> <p>2. Will this purchase obligate Cuyahoga County to this or any other vendor for future purchases, for example, maintenance, licensing or continuing need? Yes, the Ohio Rules of Civil procedure mandates service via certified mail. 47,913 summons were issued in 2011 and sent via certified mail as required by the Rules of Civil procedure. There will be a continuing need for the foreseeable future.</p> <p>3. Why do you need to acquire these goods or services? First, this mailer is the least labor intensive mailer that the court is aware of. Second, In order to print what the clerk's office requires to be printed on the form, it would require multiple forms if we picked another mailer. Whereas now, the clerk's office can utilize just one form. Next, I-Case (the court information system) was designed to use that mailer. If the clerk's office uses another mailer, then software would need to be changed. Currently, the court does not have another contract with any vendor to support our information system.</p> <p>4. Why are the requested goods/services the only ones that can satisfy your requirements? The CML-6 mailer is the only certified mailer available to the court that allows automated laser printing of data from I-Case onto PS Form 3811 as part of a pre-printed certified mail envelope which holds tip to 20 pages single</p>	

		<p>folded the inch length. Mailers patent# is 5,901,903.</p> <p>5. Were alternative goods/services evaluated? If yes, what were they and why were they unacceptable? Please be specific with regard to features, characteristics', requirements, capabilities and compatibility. If no, why were alternatives not evaluated?</p> <p>Alternative certified mailers have been reviewed. All of the other mailers of which we are aware are more labor intensive in that the certified mail receipts must be separated after printing and then manually affixed to the envelope. In addition, many do not allow more than a few pages to be inserted into the envelope. The mailer has all of the certified mail forms already part of and affixed to the envelope which holds up to 20pages in the envelope. Most of our mailings contain multiple pages. If another mailer were to be used, significant software modifications would have to be made to our 1-Case Information System. We do not have a maintenance agreement, nor sufficient I.T. staff to make the necessary modifications. An additional cost would be incurred to make such software modifications.</p> <p>6. Identity specific steps taken to negate need for sole source provider.</p> <p>No efforts have been made to identity and or locate alternative goods/services because our case management system is currently designed to accommodate the CML-6 mailers. If other mailers are utilized, a design change would be required for our system which may incur additional costs and affect work productivity. However, we shall consult with our IT Department to explore other alternatives.</p> <p>7. Has your department bought these goods/services in the past? If yes, who was the contractor/supplier and was the requirement competitively bid or sole source? What was the last date and price paid for goods/services?</p> <p>Ferrarelli, Inc. was the supplier and they are sole source. The last date of purchase was August 12, 2011. The purchase price was \$11,867.79.</p> <p>8. What efforts have been made or are being made to reduce the Department's reliance on a sole source provider for these goods/services in the future?</p> <p>Currently, no efforts are being made due to the Ohio Rules</p>	
--	--	--	--

		<p>of Civil procedures, which mandate service via certified mail. However, we shall consult with our IT department to explore other alternatives. This will be an on-going need. Utilizing these mailers have proven to be a cost- effective method of complying with the mandate, which also assists in maintaining adequate work productivity.</p> <p>9.What efforts were made to get the best possible price? The court was able to receive mailers at a lower cost due to the quantity ordered. The cost would have been substantially higher if a lesser quantity would have been ordered. In addition, the vendor has always answered the courts inquires as to the features, functions, and assistance with the mailers after purchase. Why Is the price for this purchase considered to be fair and reasonable?</p> <p>The cost of completing the U.S.P.S. forms and envelopes manually would be \$41,092 for salaries and benefits, plus \$4,734 for envelopes and printing cost; versus \$19,251 expended for the number of Ferrarelli mailers used in 2011.</p> <p>10. Amount to be paid: \$24,470.00</p>	<p><input type="checkbox"/> Approve <input type="checkbox"/> Disapprove <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Hold</p>
--	--	---	--

B. Scheduled Items

Item	Requestor	Description	Board Action
CPB2012-358	Department of Development	<p>Submitting a contract with C.B. Mullins Construction Company, Inc. in the amount of \$3,300.00 for Lead Remediation of property located at 5417 Orchard Street, Maple Heights, in connection with the FY2010 Lead-Based Paint Hazard Control and Lead Hazard Reduction Demonstration Grant Program for the period 4/16/2012 - 6/15/2012.</p> <p>Funding Source: 100% US Dept. of HUD Lead Hazard Remediation Grant</p>	<p><input type="checkbox"/> Approve <input type="checkbox"/> Disapprove <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Hold</p>
CPB2012-359	Department of Development	<p>Requesting approval to apply for and accept grant funds from Ohio Department of Transportation, Office of Aviation in the amount of \$175,000.00 for the FY2013 Ohio Airport Grant Program for the period 10/1/2012 - 12/31/2013.</p> <p>Funding Source: 100% Ohio Dept. of Transportation, Office of Aviation, for FY2013 Ohio Airport Grant Program</p>	<p><input type="checkbox"/> Approve <input type="checkbox"/> Disapprove <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Hold</p>
CPB2012-360	Department of Health and	Community Initiatives Division/Office of Early Childhood, submitting an agreement with Cuyahoga Community	

	Human Services	College District in the amount not-to-exceed \$2,872.60 for rental of meeting space and related services for the Invest in Children Program Visioning event to be held on 4/19/2012. Funding Source: 100% Private donations and HHS levy dollars	<input type="checkbox"/> Approve <input type="checkbox"/> Disapprove <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Hold
CPB2012-361	Juvenile Court	Submitting contracts with various municipalities for the Community Diversion Program for the period 1/1/2012 - 12/31/2012: a) City of Brecksville, Brecksville in the amount not-to-exceed \$2,400.00. b) City of Broadview Heights in the amount not-to-exceed \$1,200.00. c) City of Brooklyn in the amount not-to-exceed \$6,000.00. d) City of Brook Park in the amount not-to-exceed \$1,200.00. e) Village of Bratenahl in the amount of \$-0-. f) Village of Brooklyn Heights, Brooklyn Heights in the amount of \$-0-. Funding Source: 100% General Fund	<input type="checkbox"/> Approve <input type="checkbox"/> Disapprove <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Hold
CPB2012-362	Department of Public Safety and Justice Services/Public Safety Grants	Submitting agreements with various municipalities for reimbursement of eligible expenses in connection with the FY2009 State Homeland Security-Law Enforcement Grant Program for the period 8/1/2009 - 3/30/2012: a) City of Painesville in the amount not-to-exceed \$296.82. b) City of Solon in the amount not-to-exceed \$769.50. Funding Source: 100% DHS through OEMA	<input type="checkbox"/> Approve <input type="checkbox"/> Disapprove <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Hold
CPB2012-363	Department of Public Safety and Justice Services/Public Safety Grants	Submitting an agreement with Orange Village in the amount not-to-exceed \$17,040.00 for reimbursement of eligible expenses in connection with the FY2009 Urban Area Security Grant Program for the period 3/20/2012 - 6/30/2012. Funding Source: 100% DHS through OEMA	<input type="checkbox"/> Approve <input type="checkbox"/> Disapprove <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Hold
CPB2012-364	Department of Public Safety and Justice Services/Public	Department of Public Safety and Justice Services/Public Safety Grants, submitting an agreement with Southeast Area Law Enforcement for the purchase of equipment, valued in the amount of \$8,700.00, for the FY2009 State	

	Safety Grants	Homeland Security Grant Program for the period 8/1/2009 - 4/30/2012. Funding Source: 100% FY09 State Homeland Security Funds through OEMA	<input type="checkbox"/> Approve <input type="checkbox"/> Disapprove <input type="checkbox"/> Hold
CPB2012-365	Department of Public Safety and Justice Services/Cuyahoga Regional Information System Section	Submitting an agreement with City of Lakewood in the amount of \$37,000.00 for development, implementation and deployment of an automated interface system to link HTE Crimes Records Management System, Cuyahoga County Regional Information System and Cuyahoga InJail application for the period 12/21/2011 - 12/20/2012. Funding Source: 100% by the Cuyahoga Regional Information System, funded primarily by user fees and moving violation fees	<input type="checkbox"/> Approve <input type="checkbox"/> Disapprove <input type="checkbox"/> Hold
CPB2012-366	Department of Workforce Development	Submitting a contract with Linking Employment, Abilities & Potential in the amount not-to-exceed \$60,000.00 for training and employment related services to individuals with disabilities for the period 3/1/2012 - 6/30/2012. Funding Source: 100% Federal WIA funds	<input type="checkbox"/> Approve <input type="checkbox"/> Disapprove <input type="checkbox"/> Hold

C. Exemption Requests

Item	Requestor	Description	Board Action
CPB2012-367	County Sheriff	<p><u>Vendor: St. Vincent Charity Hospital</u></p> <p>1. Description of Supplies or Services (If contract amendment, please identify contract term and/or scope change) St. Vincent Charity Hospital provides physicals for prospective new hires at the Sheriff's Office.</p> <p>2. Estimated Dollar Value and Funding Source(s) including percentage breakdown Not to exceed \$10,000, 100% SH350272 – Sheriff's General Fund</p> <p>3. Rationale Supporting the Use of the Selected Procurement Method The Sheriff's Office will be hiring upwards of 100 new deputies, protective services and corrections officers, and medical staff in 2012. Each prospective new hire is required to pass a physical. While it is the responsibility of the Sheriff's Office to pay for this service, it is the responsibility of Human Resources to order the physical. **The current process to order the physical: Human Resources confirms to the Sheriff's Office that the physical</p>	

		<p>needs to take place for a certain candidate, a BuySpeed requisition then needs to be processed for the one candidate, OPD must then review and approve the requisition, a DO must then be processed, printed, and sent to the Auditor’s Office for approval, upon approval of funds by the Auditor’s Office, the service can then be ordered – total turn around time is approximately 10 – 21 days.</p> <p>In an effort to streamline and not further impede on the hiring process, the Sheriff’s Office is requesting an exemption to allow Human Resources to automatically request a physical from St. Vincent’s Charity Hospital for the Sheriff’s Office prospective employee and for the BuySpeed/OPD process to take place upon receipt of the invoice.</p> <p>4. What other available options and/or vendors were evaluated? If none, include the reasons why. n/a</p> <p>5. What ultimately led you to this product or service? Why was the recommended vendor selected? n/a</p> <p>6. Provide an explanation of unacceptable delays in fulfilling the County’s need that would be incurred if award was made through a competitive bid. n/a</p> <p>7. Describe what future plans, if any, the County can take to permit competition before any subsequent purchases of the required supplies or services. n/a</p>	<p>___ Approve ___ Disapprove ___ Hold</p>
CPB2012-368	Department of Health and Human Services	<p><u>Vendor: Emerald Development & Economic Network, Inc.</u></p> <p>1. Description of Supplies or Services. Requesting an Exemption from the RFP process as provided in Ordinance No. 02011-0046, 3.03 G. RFP Exemptions, on behalf of EDEN, Inc.</p> <p>In 1993, Cuyahoga County applied for and was awarded the first of many Shelter Plus Care (S+C) grants from the U.S. Department of Housing & Urban Development (HUD). S+C provides a rent subsidy to homeless persons with long term and serious disabilities. The community “matches” the rent dollars with in-kind behavioral health care services. The initial grant application was a collaborative application with the Mental Health Board, EDEN, and the County. Only Public Housing Authorities (PHAs) or Units of Local Government are eligible applicants under the S+C Program</p>	

	<p>regulations. The Mental Health Board had requested that the County submit the application because of this requirement. EDEN was identified in the grant application as the agency that would administer the rent subsidies because it is the nonprofit housing arm of the Mental Health Board. As such, EDEN had demonstrated expertise and capacity to manage eligibility determinations, rent payments, and linkages with mainstream systems serving mentally ill and chemically addicted disabled populations.</p> <p>As a result of the 1993 S+C Application, Cuyahoga County was awarded \$9.9 million to Cuyahoga County for 316 units of housing for a five year term. The efficacy of providing permanent housing with supportive services for disabled homeless persons was confirmed through the implementation of this grant.</p> <p>Based on this evidence, the County continued to apply for new S+C grant awards, maintaining the collaborative model with EDEN as the sub contract provider, and expanding the behavioral health partnership to include the Alcohol and Drug Board, the AIDS Task Force, and the VA. To date, over 1,400 S+C subsidized units exist throughout the county.</p> <p>As the five year grant terms have expired, HUD has maintained funding through annual RENEWAL Grant Awards. Each year, the OHS manages an application process on behalf of the community which includes over 40 programs operated by various agencies. HUD recognizes this process as a “Consolidated” Grant Application. It includes projects for which the County is the direct applicant as well as projects for which other community providers are the direct applicants. All the projects seeking RENEWAL Funding are reviewed by a volunteer community Review and Ranking Committee. Projects not meeting established, objective review criteria may not be recommended for RENEWAL Funding. Final recommendations are approved by vote of the OHS Advisory Board.</p> <p>This process is not an RFP, however, it does involve assessment of program performance, outcomes, fiscal management, consumer satisfaction, and consistency with nationally established HUD Program goals and objectives.</p> <p>2. Estimated Dollar Value This particular Shelter Plus Care Contract has a dollar value of \$10,116,156.00; the contract/grant award term is 4/15/2012 – 4/14/2-13.</p>	
--	--	--

		<p>3. Rationale Supporting the Use of the Selected Procurement Method The funding for this activity was awarded by HUD to the County based on the identification of Emerald Development and Economic Network (EDEN) as the service provider in the Grant Application. It is consistent with the Grant Award to contract with EDEN to implement the program services. EDEN has consistently met all HUD, State, and local audits without Findings.</p> <p>4. What other available options and/or vendors were evaluated? If none, include the reasons why. Per the discussion in # 1, the Exemption is being requested based on the provider having been identified in a grant application, and then the County being awarded funding based on the provider’s capacity to perform the grant activities., as judged by the funder, HUD.</p> <p>5. What ultimately lead you to this product or service? Why was the recommended vendor selected? See Above</p> <p>6. Provide an explanation of unacceptable delays in fulfilling the County’s need that would be incurred if award was made through a competitive bid. Contract funding is provided through a GRANT RENEWAL AWARD. This means that the Contract enables the provider to maintain monthly rent subsidies to private landlords on behalf of disabled and formerly homeless persons. Failure to expedite the approval of the Contract to the provider will result in rents not being paid and disabled persons being threatened with eviction. In addition, failure to move quickly in this situation would threaten the County’s current good standing with the funder, the U.S. Department of Housing & Urban Development (HUD). Since HUD provides over \$25,000,000 annually to Cuyahoga County through homeless assistance grants, this would not be in the community’s best interests.</p> <p>7. Describe what future plans, if any, the County can take to permit competition before any subsequent purchases of the required supplies or services. The OHS requests an EXEMPTION for this application and all other renewal applications, per Section 3.03 G. for this provider, EDEN, Inc. for this service, as well as the other providers funded through the annual McKinney-Vento Homeless Assistance Grant for which the County is identified</p>	
--	--	--	--

		<p>as the APPLICANT. This would include the following providers: Salvation Army of Greater Cleveland West Side Catholic Center Domestic Violence Center</p>	<p>___ Approve ___ Disapprove ___ Hold</p>
<p>CPB2012-369</p>	<p>Human Resource Commission</p>	<p>OPERATING DEPARTMENT & ACTIVITY: The Human Resource Commission plans to contract by means of other than full and open competition with The Archer Company.</p> <p>1. Description of Supplies or Services (If contract amendment, please identify contract term and/or scope change) Classification and compensation studies to include a salary survey for attorney positions in the Prosecutor’s Office, and development of classification specifications and job descriptions for support staff in both the County Prosecutor’s office and the Public Defender’s office.</p> <p>2. Estimated Dollar Value and Funding Source(s) including percentage breakdown Approximately \$22,500: estimated \$9,000 to \$10,000 for salary survey for attorney positions in the Prosecutor’s office, and estimated \$12,500 to develop classification specifications and job descriptions for support staff in both offices.</p> <p>3. Rationale Supporting the Use of the Selected Procurement Method According to the Cuyahoga County Charter, the Human Resource Commission is responsible for administering a countywide classification and salary administration system. The Archer Company has conducted compensation studies of various County offices for over ten years, and has used their proprietary job evaluation system to establish pay grade structures for both the Prosecutor’s office, and for those non-bargaining unit classified personnel who work in the County Executive’s realm of authority. Utilizing the Archer Company to conduct these studies will enhance the consistency and standardization between in the classification and compensation systems used in various County offices.</p> <p>4. What other available options and/or vendors were evaluated? If none, include the reasons why. Other options were not explored primarily because Archer’s proprietary system is already the foundation of the pay strategies in these two offices, and proprietary job evaluation systems differ in the definition and value of factors utilized to</p>	

	<p>evaluate jobs, so using different systems can lead to different outcomes, supported by different rationales. Therefore, utilizing a new vendor with a different proprietary job evaluation system would not contribute to the Commission’s goal of a standardized compensation and classification system. Also, other options were not explored because Archer’s previous work in these organizations has provided them with an in-depth knowledge of the organizational structure and the history of compensation practices in these offices and the Commission expects this previous experience will expedite this work.</p> <p>5. What ultimately led you to this product or service? Why was the recommended vendor selected?</p> <p>The Archer Company is a nationally-recognized consulting company with experience in both public and private organizations, and has conducted compensation studies for numerous clients, including various agencies of Cuyahoga County. They have worked extensively with clients from various levels of the public sector, including cities, counties, states and federally-funded entities.</p> <p>Also, the County’s HR department requested approval of a contract with Archer for maintenance of the County’s classification system for three years, and the HRC sees this as further justification for requesting that the same methodology be utilized for this work.</p> <p>6. Provide an explanation of unacceptable delays in fulfilling the County’s need that would be incurred if award was made through a competitive bid.</p> <p>The rationale for this request is not a matter of timing; it is based on the HRC’s position that utilizing a different vendor will not contribute to the HRC’s mission of standardizing personnel practices across various County agencies.</p> <p>7. Describe what future plans, if any, the County can take to permit competition before any subsequent purchases of the required supplies or services.</p> <p>Utilizing one job evaluation system to support the classification and compensation systems of the County’s various agencies will make a significant contribution to the HRC’s goal of standardizing personnel practices across the County’s various agencies. In the future, the County may consider open competition for vendors to complete salary surveys, because proprietary systems are not typically utilized to analyze data or develop recommendations based on the data collected.</p>	<p>___ Approve ___ Disapprove ___ Hold</p>
--	---	--

CPB2012-370	Information Services Center	<p><u>Vendor: DELL/ASAP</u></p> <p>1. Description of Supplies or Services (If contract amendment, please identify contract term and/or scope change) (2) SQL Server Enterprise Processor 2008 (2) Windows Server Enterprise 2008</p> <p>2. Estimated Dollar Value and Funding Source(s) including percentage breakdown Total amount will not exceed \$38,616.72.</p> <p>3. Rationale Supporting the Use of the Selected Procurement Method The ISC will give multiple vendors an opportunity to bid even if the product is on state term.</p> <p>4. What other available options and/or vendors were evaluated? If none, include the reasons why. MNJ was also evaluated.</p> <p>5. What ultimately led you to this product or service? Why was the recommended vendor selected? ISC had requested server licenses and DELL/ASAP met our requirements also was the lowest bid.</p> <p>6. Provide an explanation of unacceptable delays in fulfilling the County's need that would be incurred if award was made through a competitive bid. Competitive bidding was applied in this request.</p> <p>7. Describe what future plans, if any, the County can take to permit competition before any subsequent purchases of the required supplies or services. Receive more quotes from different vendors.</p>	<p>___ Approve ___ Disapprove ___ Hold</p>
CPB2012-371	Information Services Center	<p><u>Vendor: Sprint Solutions, Inc.</u></p> <p>1. Description of Supplies or Services (If contract amendment, please identify contract term and/or scope change) Amendment to County Wide Data and Voice Communication - CE 1000769 - with Sprint Solutions, Inc. Original Contract was for \$1,200,346.00 amended 8/1/11 for \$147,643.07 or a contract total of \$1,347,989.07</p> <p>2. Estimated Dollar Value and Funding Source(s) including percentage breakdown Amendment is for service at the Department of Children and</p>	

		<p>Family Services (100% of amendment to CF 135509 0287 HO 2198) Cost of amendment \$432,069.66, total cost of contract will now be \$1,780,058.73.</p> <p>3. Rationale Supporting the Use of the Selected Procurement Method Sprint was awarded the contract after informal bid of vendors in State of Ohio TSR (Technology Service Request) pricing system. Sprint bid was the lowest and lower than list pricing) Sprint also gave the county \$105,000.00 service credit and a \$200,000.00 for in-building coverage enhancements. Sprint was by far the lowest and best vendor.</p> <p>4. What other available options and/or vendors were evaluated? If none, include the reasons why. Bids were only accepted from TSR System vendors.</p> <p>5. What ultimately led you to this product or service? Why was the recommended vendor selected? Sprint was by far the lowest and best vendor bidding on service.</p> <p>6. Provide an explanation of unacceptable delays in fulfilling the County's need that would be incurred if award was made through a competitive bid. N/A - this is an amendment - CFS needs the additional service for their caseworker in the field, they need the service ASAP.</p> <p>7. Describe what future plans, if any, the County can take to permit competition before any subsequent purchases of the required supplies or services. Will go out to bid again in 2014</p>	<p>___ Approve ___ Disapprove <u> </u> Hold</p>
--	--	--	---

D. Consent Agenda

Scheduled Consent Items

Item	Requestor	Description	Board Action
CPB2012-372	Department of Public Works	<p>Submitting an amendment (Subsidiary No. 2) to Contract No. CE1100207-01 with Fabrizi Trucking & Paving Co., Inc. for improvement of Stumph Road from Snow Road to Pearl Road in the Cities of Parma and Parma Heights for a decrease in the amount of (\$46.73).</p> <p>Funding Source: 70% Ohio Public Works Commission (Issue 1), 15% County (\$5.00 Vehicle License Tax fund), 15% Municipalities</p>	<p>___ Approve ___ Disapprove <u> </u> Hold</p>

CPB2012-373	Department of Public Works	Submitting an amendment to Contract No. CE1100532-01 with Karvo Paving Company for resurfacing of Harvard Road from Green Road to Camp Forbes in the Village of Highland Hills for a decrease in the amount of (\$44,516.94). Funding Source: 100% Cuyahoga County, using funds from the \$7.50 License Tax fund	<input type="checkbox"/> Approve <input type="checkbox"/> Disapprove <input type="checkbox"/> Hold
CPB2012-374	Office of Procurement and Diversity	Presenting BuySpeed purchases for week of April 16, 2012	<input type="checkbox"/> Approve <input type="checkbox"/> Disapprove <input type="checkbox"/> Hold
CPB2012-375	Department of Development	Presenting voucher payments between April 5, 2012-April 11, 2012	<input type="checkbox"/> Approve <input type="checkbox"/> Disapprove <input type="checkbox"/> Hold

- V. Other Business
- VI. General Business
- VII. Public Comment
- VIII. Adjournment

Minutes

Contracts and Purchasing Board
County Administration Building, 4th Floor
April 9, 2012 11:30 PM-revised

- I. Call to Order: The meeting was called to order at 11:48 AM
- II. Review and Approve Minutes: The minutes were reviewed and approved
- III. Public Comment: There was no public comment
- IV. Contracts and Awards
 - A. Tabled Items
 - B. Scheduled Items

CPB2012-320 Department of Development

1) Submitting a contract with Susan Johansen in the amount of \$5,451.61 for exterior improvements in connection with a Storefront Renovation Rebate Program project located at 20111 Lake Road, Rocky River, for the period 2/1/2012 - 7/30/2012.

2) Submitting a contract with Bedford Precision, Inc. in the amount of \$6,100.00 for exterior improvements in connection with a Storefront Renovation Rebate Program project located at 333 Northfield Road, Bedford, for the period 2/8/2012 - 8/6/2012.

Funding Source:100% General Fund

- Approve
- Disapprove
- Hold

CPB2012-321 Department of Development

1) Submitting an amendment to Agreement No. AG1100122-01 with City of Shaker Heights for reimbursement of expenses for demolition of a blighted structure, located at 3666 Hildana Road, Shaker Heights, in connection with the Neighborhood Stabilization Grant Program for the period 9/6/2011 - 9/5/2012, to change the scope of services, effective 2/1/2012 and for additional funds in the amount not-to-exceed \$1,250.00.

2) Submitting an amendment to Agreement No. AG1100139-01 with City of Shaker Heights for reimbursement of expenses for demolition of a blighted structure, located at 3666 Menlo Road, Shaker Heights, in connection with the Neighborhood Stabilization Grant Program for the period 10/17/2011 - 10/16/2012 to change the scope of services, effective 2/1/2012, and for additional funds in the amount not-to-exceed \$3,750.000.

Funding Source: 100% Neighborhood Stabilization Project Funds

Approve
 Disapprove
 Hold

CPB2012-322 Department of Health and Human Services, Division of Employment & Family Services/Cuyahoga Support Enforcement Agency, submitting a state contract with International Business Machines Corporation in the amount not-to-exceed \$5,672.90 for maintenance and support on IBM Tivoli Storage Manager software for the period 1/1/2012 - 12/31/2012.

Funding Source: 100% PA (Public Assistance) Linkage Funding

Approve
 Disapprove
 Hold

CPB2012-323 Office of Procurement and Diversity Veterans Service Commission

a) on RQ21907 to Flag Zone LLC (3-2) in the amount of \$42,353.28 for 817- gross U.S. Flags.

Funding Source: 100% Veterans Service Fund

Approve
 Disapprove
 Hold

CPB2012-324 Office of Procurement and Diversity Recommending an award:

Department of Public Safety and Justice Services/Public Safety Grants

a) on RQ23188 to John Deere Company, in the amount of \$44,263.72 for (4) Utility Vehicles (State Contract No. 7751501208/O2011-0044 Section 4.4(b) 20).

Funding Source: 100% FY09 UASI (Urban Area Security Initiative) Grant Program

Approve
 Disapprove
 Hold

CPB2012-325 County Prosecutor Recommending to employ Brett Horton and the law firm of Horton & Horton Co., LPA, in the amount not-to-exceed \$1,900.00 for legal services in connection with employment matters for Cuyahoga County Juvenile Court, in accordance with Ohio Revised Code Section 305.14(A).

Funding Source: 100% General Fund

No Ethics Reg.

Approve
 Disapprove
 Hold

CPB2012-326 Department of Public Safety and Justice Services Submitting a state contract with Elsag North America LLC in the amount not-to-exceed \$45,951.50 for maintenance on the license plate readers for the period 3/30/2011 - 3/30/2012.

Funding Source: 50%FY09 SHSP-LE (Federal);50% FY08 JAG (State)

Approve
 Disapprove
 Hold

CPB2012-327 Department of Public Safety and Justice Services Submitting an agreement with Lake County Board of Commissioners in the amount not-to-exceed \$18,000.00 for reimbursement of eligible expenses in connection with the FY2009 State Homeland Security-Law Enforcement Grant Program for the period 8/1/2009 - 5/31/2012.

Funding Source: 100% FY2009 State Homeland Security-Law Enforcement Grant Program

Approve
 Disapprove
 Hold

CPB2012-328 Department of Public Safety and Justice Services Submitting agreements with various providers for reimbursement of eligible expenses in connection with the FY2010 State Homeland Security Grant Program for the period 8/1/2010 - 2/28/2013:

- a) City of Lakewood in the amount not-to-exceed \$1,296.10.
- b) City of South Euclid in the amount not-to-exceed \$1,247.64.
- c) City of Strongsville in the amount not-to-exceed \$875.00.

Funding Source: 100% FY2010 State Homeland Security Grant

Approve
 Disapprove
 Hold

CPB2012-329 Department of Public Safety and Justice Services Submitting a contract with Clear Channel Outdoor, Inc. in the amount not-to-exceed \$12,000.00 for outdoor advertising services in connection with the FY2009 Urban Area Security Grant Program for the period 2/1/2012 - 5/1/2012.

Funding Source: 100% the FY2009 Urban Area Security Grant

Nicole Daily Jones (Communications Director) spoke to this item, explaining that her department needs to be aware of these kinds of agreements right away, on the front end of the grant writing.

Approve
 Disapprove
 Hold

CPB2012-330 Department of Public Works Submitting a contract with The Ohio Pump Company of Salem, Ohio, sole source, in the amount not-to-exceed \$50,000.00 for maintenance and repair of Hydromatic Pumps for the period 4/1/2012 - 3/31/2013.

Funding Source: 100% Sewer Fund

Approve
 Disapprove

Hold

CPB2012-331 Department of Public Works Submitting a contract with Pioneer Environmental Systems, Inc. in the amount not-to-exceed \$21,050.00 for removal, decontamination and disposal services for the Firing Range Decontamination Project for the County Sheriff for the period 5/7/2012 - 5/18/2012.

Funding Source: 100% General Fund

Approve

Disapprove

Hold

C. Exemption Requests

CPB2012-332 Court of Common Pleas/Juvenile Court Division

1. Description of Supplies or Services (If contract amendment, please identify contract term and/or scope change)

The primary goals of the project are to provide for interpretation and translation services for Court hearings, probation/client meetings (i.e., office visits, home visits, school visits, phone contacts), and Assigned Counsel/Guardian ad Litem meetings with clients approved by the appropriate Court/contact person as well as meetings requested for Custody Mediation staff.

2. Estimated Dollar Value and Funding Source(s) including percentage breakdown

The amendment is adding an additional not-to-exceed amount of \$52,500.00 for a contract total of \$60,000.00. All funding is from the General Fund.

3. Rationale Supporting the Use of the Selected Procurement Method

Juvenile Court completed an informal bid for these services after the bid for these services was rejected by OPD due to problems with the selected vendor's ability to meet the specifications. Juvenile Court is developing a new Request for Proposal in conjunction with all divisions of the Court of Common Pleas (general, domestic relations and probate divisions) in order to meet the service needs as well as meeting the new Supreme Court of Ohio requirements regarding spoken language interpretation and translation services as well as sign language interpretation. The new rules take effect January 1, 2013.

4. What other available options and/or vendors were evaluated? If none, include the reasons why.

None. The development among the different Court divisions is taking longer than expected, so this amendment will allow for all the concerns and specifications to be addressed in the RFP before release, as well as allowing for the release and vendor selection process by all involved Court divisions.

5. What ultimately led you to this product or service? Why was the recommended vendor selected?

Juvenile Court completed an informal RFP in January 2012 after the selected vendor from the bid specification process was rejected. The informal bid included notification to six vendors, including those local vendors who had previously requested a bid specification package, as well as additional northeast Ohio located vendors. Two vendors responded and the selected vendor was the lowest bidder.

6. Provide an explanation of unacceptable delays in fulfilling the County's need that would be incurred if award was made through a competitive bid.

Interpretation and translation services are not only integral to Court hearing functioning, but required by the Rules of Superintendence of the Supreme Court of Ohio.

7. Describe what future plans, if any, the County can take to permit competition before any subsequent purchases of the required supplies or services.

Juvenile Court, In conjunction with the other divisions of the Court of Common Pleas is completing the specifications for a RFP for these services, with a release date of approximately May 1st. This amendment will allow for services to continue at Juvenile Court uninterrupted until the RFP can be

released, responses considered and the contracting process completed with the selected vendor for a January 1, 2013 start.

Approve
 Disapprove
 Hold

CPB2012-333 Court of Common Pleas/Juvenile Court Division

1. What is the product/service that you seek to acquire?

CML-6 certified mailer

2. Will this purchase obligate Cuyahoga County to this or any other vendor for future purchases, for example, maintenance, licensing or continuing need?

Yes, the Ohio Rules of Civil procedure mandates service via certified mail. 47,913 summons were issued in 2011 and sent via certified mail as required by the Rules of Civil procedure. There will be a continuing need for the foreseeable future.

3. Why do you need to acquire these goods or services?

First, this mailer is the least labor intensive mailer that the court is aware of. Second, In order to print what the clerk's office requires to be printed on the form, it would require multiple forms if we picked another mailer. Whereas now, the clerk's office can utilize just one form. Next, I-Case (the court information system) was designed to use that mailer. If the clerk's office uses another mailer, then software would need to be changed. Currently, the court does not have another contract with any vendor to support our information system.

4. Why are the requested goods/services the only ones that can satisfy your requirements?

The CML-6 mailer is the only certified mailer available to the court that allows automated laser printing of data from I-Case onto PS Form 3811 as part of a pre-printed certified mail envelope which holds up to 20 pages single folded the inch length. Mailers patent# is 5,901,903.

5. Were alternative goods/services evaluated? If yes, what were they and why were they unacceptable? Please be specific with regard to features, characteristics, requirements, capabilities and compatibility. If no, why were alternatives not evaluated?

Alternative certified mailers have been reviewed. All of the other mailers of which we are aware are more labor intensive in that the certified mail receipts must be separated after printing and then manually affixed to the envelope. In addition, many do not allow more than a few pages to be inserted into the envelope. The mailer has all of the certified mail forms already part of and affixed to the envelope which holds up to 20 pages in the envelope. Most of our mailings contain multiple pages. If another mailer were to be used, significant software modifications would have to be made to our I-Case Information System. We do not have a maintenance agreement, nor sufficient I.T. staff to make the necessary modifications. An additional cost would be incurred to make such software modifications.

6. Identify specific steps taken to negate need for sole source provider.

No efforts have been made to identify and or locate alternative goods/services because our case management system is currently designed to accommodate the CML-6 mailers. If other mailers are utilized, a design change would be required for our system which may incur additional costs and affect work productivity. However, we shall consult with our IT Department to explore other alternatives.

7. Has your department bought these goods/services in the past? If yes, who was the contractor/supplier and was the requirement competitively bid or sole source? What was the last date and price paid for goods/services?

Ferrarelli, Inc. was the supplier and they are sole source. The last date of purchase was August 12, 2011. The purchase price was \$11,867.79.

8. What efforts have been made or are being made to reduce the Department's reliance on a sole source provider for these goods/services in the future?

Currently, no efforts are being made due to the Ohio Rules of Civil procedures, which mandate service via certified mail. However, we shall consult with our IT department to explore other alternatives. This will be an on-going need. Utilizing these mailers have proven to be a cost-effective method of complying with the mandate, which also assists in maintaining adequate work productivity.

9. What efforts were made to get the best possible price?

The court was able to receive mailers at a lower cost due to the quantity ordered. The cost would have been substantially higher if a lesser quantity would have been ordered. In addition, the vendor has always answered the courts inquires as to the features, functions, and assistance with the mailers after purchase.

Why is the price for this purchase considered to be fair and reasonable?

The cost of completing the U.S.P.S. forms and envelopes manually would be \$41,092 for salaries and benefits, plus \$4,734 for envelopes and printing cost; versus \$19,251 expended for the number of Ferrarelli mailers used in 2011.

10. Amount to be paid: \$24,470.00

Approve

Disapprove

Hold

CPB2012-334 Department of Health and Human Services/Division of Children and Family Services

1. Description of Supplies or Services (If contract amendment, please identify contract term and/or scope change)

Adoption Network Cleveland (ANC) for the Adopt Cuyahoga Kids Initiative is a Public-Private partnership. The services provided are mentoring services, child preparation, post adoption services, navigation support, and Child Centered Recruitment (CCR) Technical Assistance. These services are designed to decrease barriers to permanency for the children in the permanent custody of the DCFS.

2. Estimated Dollar Value and Funding Source(s) including percentage breakdown

Adoption Network Cleveland--\$236,500.00

3. Rationale Supporting the Use of the Selected Procurement Method

Adopt Cuyahoga's Kids was established in 2004 as a public-private venture of the Community Vision Council with Adoption Network Cleveland (ANC) operating as the lead agency. This agency is currently providing Child Centered Recruitment Technical Assistance that will be in place through September 2012.

The initiative, created by the Strong Families= Successful Children Community Vision Council and funded by the Vision Council, United Way and private foundation funding, was designed to decrease barriers to permanency for the youth in the permanent custody of CFS without identified adoptive families.

4. What other available options and/or vendors were evaluated? If none, include the reasons why.

No other vendors were evaluated due to the fact ANC has served as the lead agency for the Adopt Cuyahoga's Kids Initiative, also private foundation and philanthropic funding is in place to support one-half of all programming. Additionally, United Way funding to support and offset the cost of a portion of programming associated with Child Centered Recruitment Technical Assistance is in place through September 2012.

5. What ultimately led you to this product or service? Why was the recommended vendor selected?

Adopt Cuyahoga's Kids was established in 2004 as a public-private venture of the Community Vision Council with Adoption Network Cleveland (ANC) operating as the lead agency. The initiative was designed to decrease barriers to permanency for the youth in the permanent custody of CFS without identified adoptive families.

6. Provide an explanation of unacceptable delays in fulfilling the County's need that would be incurred if award was made through a competitive bid.

There would be a disruption in the continuity of services and technical assistance to the agency in the full transition of Child Centered Recruitment to CFS. Currently, there are 50 youth in the agency's permanent custody matched to mentors and receiving mentoring support. These youth have no identified adoptive family and the interruption of services could potentially compound the separation and loss issues experienced by these youth.

7. Describe what future plans, if any, the County can take to permit competition before any subsequent purchases of the required supplies or services.

Before any subsequent purchases of the services, Children and Family Services will initiate a RFP with the goal of having the process completed and a signed contract in place by October 1, 2012.

Approve

Disapprove

Hold

CPB2012-335 Department of Health and Human Services/

Division of Children and Family Services 1. Description of Supplies or Services (If contract amendment, please identify contract term and/or scope change)

The Division of Children and Family Services (DCFS) is requesting authorization to contract with various agencies.

The specific adoption services to be provided include completion of adoptive home studies; supervision of adoptive placements and representation of approved adoptive families in the matching process. Individuals or families are allowed to select an adoption agency of their choice to facilitate their adoption. A significant portion of the documentation/ paperwork needed to complete an adoption cannot be exchanged between agencies. The majority of finalizations are the result of foster caregiver adoptions, in which the child (ren) is adopted by their current foster parent(s). DCFS already has board and care contracts in place with the majority of vendors the agency is seeking to contract with for adoption services.

Additionally, in accordance with state and federal rules governing adoption DCFS is required to consider any family who can best meet the needs of the child (ren) who is available for adoption regardless of the family's geographic location. Therefore, DCFS is required to contract with vendors outside of the county and state.

The contract term will be from January 1, 2012 through December 31, 2012.

2. Estimated Dollar Value and Funding Source(s) including percentages breakdown.

1. Adoption Advocacy SC -\$5,000.00
2. Catholic Charities Services Corp. -\$3,000.00
3. Catholic Family Center New York -\$5,000.00
4. Children's Bureau, Inc. -\$5,000.00
5. Children's Home Society of NC -\$7,000.00
6. Gateway Longview -\$7,000.00
7. House of New Hope -\$3,000.00
8. Maryhurst -\$5,000.00
9. Rejoyce -\$5,000.00
10. The Village Network, Inc. -\$1,000.00

Total: \$46,000.00

3. Rationale Supporting the Use of the Selected Procurement Method

In accordance with state and federal rules governing adoption, individuals or families are allowed to select an adoption agency of their choice to facilitate their adoption. A significant portion of the documentation/ paperwork needed to complete an adoption cannot be exchanged between agencies. The majority of finalizations are the result of foster caregiver adoptions, in which the child (ren) is

adopted by their current foster parent(s). DCFS already has board and care contracts in place with the majority of vendors the agency is seeking to contract with for adoption services.

Reference Ohio Administration Code Section 5101:2-48-12 and 5101:2-48-19.

Additionally, in accordance with state and federal rules governing adoption, DCFS is required to consider any family who can best meet the needs of the child (ren) who is available for adoption regardless of the family's geographic location. Therefore, DCFS is required to contract with vendors outside of the county and state.

The vendors DCFS is seeking to contract with currently have permanent custody child (ren) placed within their foster care network; in which the foster family has expressed an interest in adopting or the family has an approved adoptive home study with the vendor and has been identified as a match with a specific "waiting" child (ren).

4. What other available options and/or vendors were evaluated? If none, include the reasons why.

Adoption services are vastly child (ren) and family specific. The reason no other vendors were evaluated is based upon the following:

** The identified vendors currently have permanent custody (PC) child (ren) placed within their network in which the foster family has expressed an interest in adopting and DCFS has determined the adoption of the child (ren) by the specific family to be in the child's best interest.

** The vendor has an approved adoptive family that has been identified as a potential match with a specific "waiting" child (ren) and/or sibling group.

5. What ultimately led you to this product or service? Why was the recommended vendor selected?

DCFS has identified waiting child (ren) on track to be adopted and identified the vendor to fall into one of the following two categories:

1. The vendor currently has a permanent custody (PC) child (ren) placed within their network and the foster family has expressed an interest in adopting the specific child (ren).

2. The vendor has an approved adoptive family that has been identified as a potential match with a specific "waiting" child (ren) and/or sibling group.

6. Provide an explanation of unacceptable delays in fulfilling the County's need that would be incurred if award was made through a competitive bid.

The process would delay permanency to child (ren) who are available for adoption. Timeliness to adoption is one of the state and federal measures upon which DCFS is evaluated.

Additionally, there could be a disruption to the continuity of services for approved adoptive families.

7. Describe what future plans, if any, the County can take to permit competition before any subsequent purchases of the required supplies or services.

Options are limited due to the child (ren) specific nature of the service.

Approve

Disapprove

Hold

CPB2012-336 Department of Health and Human Services/Cuyahoga Support Enforcement Agency1.
Department/Vendor

Cuyahoga Support Enforcement Agency/LexisNexis

2. What is the product/service that you seek to acquire?

LexisNexis provides a program called Accurint which allows for online access to public records/investigative research information to facilitate the location of individuals.

3. Will this purchase obligate Cuyahoga County to this or any other vendor for future purchases, for example, maintenance, licensing or continuing need?

No

4. Why do you need to acquire these goods or services?

Provide information on the main requirement for this purchase. For example, detail the nature of the instructional, research, or community outreach activities for which the purchase is necessary.

CSEA requires the online services available through Accurint to readily and accurately locate absent parents for the purposes of (a) establishing paternity and (b) enforcing child support orders, which include the collection of child support payments as determined by such orders.

5. Why are the requested goods/services the only ones that can satisfy your requirements?

To enable CSEA to quickly and accurately locate absentee parents, LexisNexis has access to more than 34 billion public and proprietary records; 4.2 billion name/address combinations that map over 585 million unique identities; more than 917 million business records and 1.3 billion contact records; more than 2 billion personal property data records; and links between more than 10,000 sources of unobstructed data for locating and identifying individuals.

6. Were alternative goods/services evaluated?

No alternatives to Accurint had been considered or evaluated because, unlike the legal requirements for locating persons through credit reporting agencies, the locate services provided by LexisNexis do not require prior notice to the individuals attempting to be located, thereby facilitating the process of CSEA finding absent parents as expeditiously and economically as possible. Under the current provisions of the Fair Credit Reporting Act (FCRA), using a credit reporting agency (such as Trans Union, which CSEA utilized several years ago) to locate absent parents would require CSEA to mail advance notices to such persons indicating that their records were being checked. Due to FCRA guidelines, national credit agencies are no longer a viable alternative because of timing and financial considerations.

7. Identify specific steps taken to negate need for sole source provider.

Unless the requirements under FCRA are revised to allow CSEA to use credit reporting agencies for absent parent searches without prior notification, CSEA would expect to continue to utilize a vendor such as LexisNexis with a database expansive enough to provide CSEA with swift and accurate information on absent parents.

8. Has your department bought these goods/services in the past?

Yes. CSEA had a contract for the same Accurint services with LexisNexis for the period January 1, 2010 through December 31, 2010. The contract was for \$24,000.00. During 2011, CSEA purchased Accurint services from LexisNexis on a monthly basis as we reviewed our options which included the consideration of another 12-month contract with LexisNexis.

9. What efforts have been made or are being made to reduce the Department's reliance on a sole source provider for these goods/services in the future?

In carrying out its responsibilities to find missing parents and to discharge its child support establishment and enforcement obligations under the law, CSEA has used the LexisNexis Accurint tool to locate parents because the service it provides cannot be duplicated by other data sources. CSEA currently has 20,000 cases with absent parents that we are attempting to locate. Of those cases in which an absent parent had been located, the Accurint location tool has been used to find about 95% of those parents.

10. What efforts were made to get the best possible price?

CSEA has identified the need for five employee-users at a per user monthly fee of \$185.00 and three employee-users at a per user monthly fee of \$225.00. Pricing for LexisNexis Accurint is included on a State Term Schedule, and these fees are the standard charges for the searches and features selected.

11. Why is the price for this purchase considered to be fair and reasonable?

In addition to LexisNexis Accurint qualifying for state contract pricing, the value of this service to CSEA is the expediency and accuracy in which data is provided to us as well as the cost savings that CSEA would realize by not incurring mailing expenses and other potential costs mandated under FCRA if credit reporting agencies were still a consideration.

12. Amount to be paid Not more than \$24,000.00 during 12-month contract term

Withdrawn

Approve

Disapprove

Hold

CPB2012-337 Medical Examiner's Office

1. Department/Vendor

Medical Examiner's Office/CRAIC Technologies

2. What is the product/service that you seek to acquire?

A CRAIC Technologies 20/20 PVTM UV-VIS-NIR Microspectrophotometer with Refractive Index Quantification Package and Apollo 785 nm Raman Spectroscopy Package

3. Will this purchase obligate Cuyahoga County to this or any other vendor for future purchases, for example, maintenance, licensing or continuing need?

It will be necessary to obtain an annually renewable maintenance contract with CRAIC Technologies.

The contract will need to begin after the product warranty is over, approximately one year after installation of the system. The estimated cost of the annual service contract is \$12,995.00 USD. It is important to understand that the CRAIC 20/20 PVTM System will perform the capabilities of three separate instruments. There is an inherent cost savings to this in that only one service contract is necessary instead of three service contracts to three separate manufacturers / service companies.

4. Why do you need to acquire these goods or services?

The forensic analysis of trace evidence is often done by a comparative process in which an unknown sample of material is compared to one or more known samples of material, an example being the comparison of a paint chip collected from the clothing of a hit and run victim to the paint from the vehicle suspected of hitting the victim.

Forensic Science is a science of exclusion. Samples are compared in order to find a difference between the known and unknown materials. The more components that can be analyzed between the known and unknown material the more reliable the comparison will be.

It is necessary to instrumentally analyze the color and the optical properties of materials as well as to instrumentally determine the components that make up complex mixtures of materials.

The CRAIC Technologies 20/20 PVTM will allow for the CCRFSL Trace Evidence Unit to obtain analytical information from fiber, hair, paint, polymer, adhesive tape, and unknown materials that it cannot currently obtain. The CRAIC Technologies 20/20 PVTM will allow for the CCRFSL Trace Evidence Unit to begin the examination of glass, which is a category of trace evidence that the laboratory currently cannot perform due to lack of instrumentation.

The purchase of this instrumentation will advance the ability of the CCRFSL Trace Evidence Unit to perform forensic examinations that will result in more accurate prosecutions and aid in the criminal investigations for each community within Cuyahoga County.

5. Why are the requested goods/services the only ones that can satisfy your requirements?

The CRAIC Technologies 20/20 PVTM is the only forensic microspectrophotometer system with the ability to collect transmission, reflectance, and fluorescence spectra from the 200 nm to 400 nm ultraviolet portion of the electromagnetic spectrum.

The CRAIC Technologies 20/20 PVTM is an integrated unit that allows for true kohler illumination of transmission and incident light sources from 200nm to 950nm.

The CRAIC Technologies 20/20 PVTM can acquire transmission spectra from 200nm to 950nm with a single operation and with true kohler illumination.

The CRAIC Technologies 20/20 PVTM can acquire reflectance spectra from 200nm to 950nm with a single operation and with true kohler illumination.

The CRAIC Technologies 20/20 PVTM can acquire fluorescence spectra with excitations at 280nm, 365nm, and 546 nm.

The CRAIC Technologies 20/20 PVTM possesses six calibrated entrance apertures of different sizes that have absolute size reproducibility and never require recalibration. Samples as small as 1.8x1.8 microns can be measured by UV-vis-NIR reflectance, transmission and fluorescence. Additionally, it images the entrance aperture directly and simultaneously with the sample to easily define the portion of the sample measured.

The CRAIC Technologies 20/20 PVTM is supplied with NIST traceable standards for checking both the photometric and wavelength accuracy of the instrument in the UV-vis-NIR regions.

CRAIC Technologies microspectrophotometers are used by most of the forensic labs in the United States and it is Made in America.

See attached document. All highlighted items are available only from CRAIC Technologies.

6. Were alternative goods/services evaluated? If yes, what were they and why were they unacceptable?

The Foster and Freeman fFTA-1 Trace Evidence examination system was evaluated as an alternative.

The above system was found to be unacceptable as its spectral detection range does not include the ultraviolet portion of the electromagnetic spectrum, approximately 200 nm to 400 nm. The fFTA-1

spectral range is indicated as 400 nm to 1000 nm. It does not perform UV-vis-NIR reflectance nor

fluorescence microspectroscopy and does not have an imaged aperture, this will degrade the spectral

quality and makes analysis difficult to prove what exactly was measured. The fFTA-1 does not have NIST

traceable standards available for the UV-vis-NIR wavelength range nor photometric axis.

Though the Foster and Freeman fFTA-1 includes refractive index quantification and Raman spectroscopy

modules similar to those included with the CRAIC Technologies 20/20 PVTM system, they are not inter-

compatible. Purchase of separate components from Foster and Freeman would require the purchase of another complete optical microscope system.

The Angstrom Sun MSP500-RTMF was evaluated as an alternative.

The above system was found to be unacceptable as its spectral detection range extends into the ultraviolet but only to 250 nm.

Additionally, the system has poor optics and cannot clearly image the entrance aperture. Angstrom Sun does not have a glass refractive index system nor NIST Traceable Standards.

The Jasco MSV-370 was evaluated as an alternative.

The above system was found to be unacceptable as its spectral detection ranges extends into the

ultraviolet but only to 250 nm and the system does not allow for modular add on systems. Additionally,

it cannot measure fluorescence microspectra nor can it measure samples less than 20 microns in size by transmission or reflectance.

The absence of ultraviolet spectral range does not allow for the detection or analysis of material

components that absorb ultraviolet energy or fluoresce when excited by ultraviolet energy. Important

comparative information from paint clear coats, polymers, fibers, and unknown materials could be

overlooked, leading to incorrect examinations.

No other manufacturers, beyond what is stated above, were found that produce a UV-VIS-NIR

microspectrophotometer with refractive index quantification and Raman Spectroscopy modules.

7. Identify specific steps taken to negate need for sole source provider.

Evaluation of the Foster and Freeman fFTA-1 Trace Evidence examination system, the Sun Angstrom Technologies MSP500-RTMF system, and the Jasco MSV-370 system for suitability to the needs of the CCRFSL Trace Evidence Unit.

Internet search for manufacturers of UV-VIS-NIR microspectrophotometers with modular add on systems.

8. Has your department bought these goods/services in the past? Yes No

9. What efforts have been made or are being made to reduce the Department's reliance on a sole source provider for these goods/services in the future?

This request is for the purchase of scientific instrumentation with a multi-year life span. Consumables to be used with the CRAIC Technologies 20/20 PVTM microspectrophotometer do not need to be sole source and can be obtained through the normal competitive process.

10. What efforts were made to get the best possible price?

A quote was requested from CRAIC Technologies.

The quote was reviewed to determine the presence of unnecessary components. A printer was included on the preliminary quote and was asked to be removed from the final quote. The printer was not needed as printing needs within the CCRFSL Trace Evidence Unit is centralized.

The quote for the CRAIC Technologies 20/20 PVTM includes a discount of 1.5% of the quoted instrument price. This discount provides 2 days of onsite instrument installation, qualification, and training.

11. Why is the price for this purchase considered to be fair and reasonable?

There are no other modular systems with the same spectral capabilities as the CRAIC Technologies 20/20 PVTM microspectrophotometer, therefore, it is not possible to obtain a realistic price comparison.

The CRAIC Technologies 20/20 PVTM microspectrophotometer is a precision scientific instrument and as such is constructed of non-mass produced / high sensitivity components. The cost of high sensitivity / micro-spot instrumentation can never be as economical as that which is mass produced for lower sensitivity equipment.

12. Amount to be paid: \$262,855

Approve

Disapprove

Hold

CPB2012-338 Medical Examiner's Office Requesting plans to contract by means of other than full and open competition (see the attached spreadsheets (5).

1. Description of Supplies or Services (If contract amendment, please identify contract term and/or scope change)

- Laboratory Testing Supplies
- Instrument Specific Supplies and Consumables Calibration,
- Maintenance and Repair Services
- ASCLDILAB Approved Proficiency Test
- Send-out Laboratories

2. Estimated Dollar Value and Funding Source(s) including percentage breakdown

CR180265- \$ 361,000 92%

CR180026- \$ 32,000 8%

Estimated total is \$393,000.

3. Rationale Supporting the Use of the Selected Procurement Method

The Cuyahoga County Regional Forensic Science Laboratory of the Cuyahoga County Medical Examiner's Office has been accredited by ASCLDILAB- International (American Society of Crime Laboratory Directors/Laboratory Accreditation Board); AABB (American Association of Blood Banks). In addition, the DNA Department is required to fulfill the FBI Quality Assurance Standards for Forensic DNA testing Laboratories in order to perform the forensic DNA work. In order to maintain its accreditations, the laboratory is required to fulfill all the quality assurance requirements of the accrediting/governing bodies which also include critical/technical supplies, equipment, as well as the suppliers/vendors of these supplies. The work performed by our laboratory is highly specialized and critical in nature, and

therefore, most of the supplies used by the laboratory are critical/technical supplies which are only supplied by specialized vendors. The critical/technical supplies used by the laboratory have to meet certain quality assurance criteria in order for the laboratory to be able to use these supplies in casework. By granting this request for exemption of the procurement rules, the County and its citizens, will save an immeasurable amount of time. Supplies and services will be more readily available to the laboratories; therefore, preventing delays of lab results, such as Toxicology, DNA , and Drug Chemistry. This will provide much needed answers to our citizens and our legal system in a timely fashion, providing closure for all. By granting this request for exemption of the procurement rules, the County and its citizens, will also save an immeasurable amount of money in man hours alone.

4. What other available options and/or vendors were evaluated? If none, include the reasons why.

The laboratory has evaluated and selected vendors based on the quality and specification so of the products and services provided by these vendors to meet our unique accreditation requirements.

5. What ultimately led you to this product or service? Why was the recommended vendor selected?

The attached list contains all the specialized technical vendors that were selected based on these criteria and the laboratories have been using a majority of them for an extended period of time. Some of these are sole source vendors and other have been selected based on the highly critical nature of supplies, equipment, calibration, maintenance services that are required to pass certain quality control checks to fulfill our accreditation requirements. In order to maintain its accreditations, the laboratory is required to fulfill all the quality assurance requirements of the accrediting/governing bodies which also include critical/technical supplies, equipment, as well as the suppliers/vendors of these supplies.

6. Provide an explanation of unacceptable delays in fulfilling the County's need that would be incurred if award was made through a competitive bid.

Unacceptable delays in our laboratories production are caused when time is spent attempting to find alternative vendors that either does not exist or vendors with products that do not stand up to the quality products or services in which our accreditation is based upon.

7. Describe what future plans, if any, the County can take to permit competition before any subsequent purchases of the required supplies or services.

Supplies and services that do not fall into a highly specialized and critical nature will continue to be solicited for competitive pricing.

Approve

Disapprove

Hold

CPB2012-339 Department of Public Safety and Justice Services

1. Description of Supplies or Services

Each agency recommended for funding must provide programmatic services to address Disproportionate Minority Contact (DMC) in Cuyahoga County or funding category #4- DMC. Programs funded as a result of these grant funds (FY2011 JABG) FY2009JJDMC are mandated and approved by the Ohio Department of Youth Services.

2. Estimated Dollar Value and Funding Source(s) including percentage breakdown:

Public Safety and Justice Services Witness / Victims - \$90,641.07 or 32%

Bellefaire JCB - \$30,000.00 or 10%

Golden Ciphers - \$63,215.46 or 22%

Godsson, LLC - \$52,215.47 or 18%

YMCA of Greater Cleveland - \$50,000.00 or 17%

3. Rationale Supporting the Use of the Selected Procurement Method

The Juvenile Justice and Delinquency Prevention funding that provides funding for the recommended agencies is mandated by ORC statute 5139.87. The procurement method for this agreement is a direct allocation from the Ohio Department of Youth Services.

All scores were tallied and averaged using a scoring matrix. Based on the scoring system used (average score) and community collaboration proposed, the review committee recommended agencies for funding. The recommendations were made to the JSRGB and approved per resolution on February 9, 2012.

4. What other available options and/or vendors were evaluated? If none, include the reasons why. A Request For Proposals process was conducted in 2009. The Juvenile Justice review committee, a sub-committee under the JSR Governing Board, comprised of 10 local juvenile justice experts, was responsible for reviewing and scoring each proposal that was received. Since the first funding cycle the Ohio Department of Youth Services has mandated a continuation of funding to agencies receiving DMC funding for training purposes.

5. What ultimately led you to this product or service? Why was the recommended vendor selected? The Juvenile Accountability block Grant funding is mandated by ORC statute 5139.87, and is a direct award from the Ohio Department of Youth Services.

All scores were tallied and averaged. Based on the scoring system used (average score) the review committee recommended agencies for their ability to provide a cohesive diversion program, additional collaboration efforts and existing community involvement.

6. Provide an explanation of unacceptable delays in fulfilling the County's need that would be incurred if award was made through a competitive bid.

N/A

7. Describe what future plans, if any, the County can take to permit competition before any subsequent purchases of the required supplies or services.

N/A

Approve

Disapprove

Hold

CPB2012-340 Department of Public Safety and Justice Services

1. Description of Supplies or Services:

Each agency recommended for funding must provide accountability programmatic services to youth referred to the program; funding category #11- Accountability. Programs funded as a result of these grant funds (FY2011 JABG) are mandated and approved by the Ohio Department of Youth Services.

2. Estimated Dollar Value and Funding Source(s) including percentage breakdown:

City of Cleveland - \$53,534.00 or 29.3%

City of North Olmsted - \$8,468.00 or 4.6%

City of Lakewood - \$23,402.00 or 13%

City of South Euclid - \$28,000.00 or 15%

East Cleveland Neighborhood Center - \$27,900.00 or 15.3%

Golden Ciphers - \$20,000.00 or 11%

Juvenile Crime Coalition Conference – 15,000.00 or 8%

3. Rationale Supporting the Use of the Selected Procurement Method

All scores were tallied and averaged using a scoring matrix. Based on the scoring system used (average score) and community collaboration proposed, the review committee recommended agencies for funding. The recommendations were made to the JSRGB and approved per resolution on February 9, 2012.

4. What other available options and/or vendors were evaluated? If none, include the reasons why.

A Request For Proposals process was conducted in 2011. The Juvenile Justice review committee, a sub-committee under the JSR Governing Board, comprised of 10 local juvenile justice experts, was responsible for reviewing and scoring each proposal that was received.

5. What ultimately led you to this product or service? Why was the recommended vendor selected? The Juvenile Accountability block Grant funding is mandated by ORC statute 5139.87, and is a direct award from the Ohio Department of Youth Services.

All scores were tallied and averaged. Based on the scoring system used (average score) the review committee recommended agencies for their ability to provide a cohesive diversion program, additional collaboration efforts and existing community involvement.

6. Provide an explanation of unacceptable delays in fulfilling the County's need that would be incurred if award was made through a competitive bid.

N/A

7. Describe what future plans, if any, the County can take to permit competition before any subsequent purchases of the required supplies or services.

N/A

Approve

Disapprove

Hold

CPB2012-341 Department of Public Safety and Justice Services

1. Description of Supplies or Services (If contract amendment, please identify contract term and/or scope change)

All supplies or services will fall under the five priorities listed in the FY2011 guidance.

1) Enhancing Maritime Domain Awareness (MDA)

2) Enhancing Improvised Explosive Device (IED) and Chemical, Biological, Radiological, Nuclear, Explosive (CBRNE) prevention, protection, response and supporting recovery capabilities

3) Port Resilience and Recovery Capabilities

4) Training and Exercises

5) Efforts supporting implementation of the Transportation Worker Identification Credential (TWIC)

2. Estimated Dollar Value and Funding Source(s) including percentage breakdown

Total \$950,000

Ohio Department of Natural Resources – Division of Watercraft \$52,000

Lake County Board of Commissioners \$300,000

City of Cleveland \$400,000

West Shore Council of Governments \$60,000

Cleveland Cuyahoga County Port Authority \$97,000

Cuyahoga County Sheriff Office \$41,000

3. Rationale Supporting the Use of the Selected Procurement Method

The procurement is through a grant agreement. The review occurs using the process established by the Federal Maritime Security Coordinator (FMSC), Ares Maritime Security Committee (AMSC), and Caption of the Port (COTP), all of which have approval authority.

The COTP scores the project using the Federal Emergency Management Agency (FEMA) process. Then the Investment Justifications/Applications are submitted to FEMA for final review and approval.

Also, in order for the Fiduciary Agent to acquire Investment Justifications/Applications there had to be a Port Wide Risk Management/Mitigation Plan approved by the United States Coast Guard (USCG).

4. What other available options and/or vendors were evaluated? If none, include the reasons why.

There were 11 Investment Justifications reviewed in all.

5. What ultimately led you to this product or service? Why was the recommended vendor selected?

The NEORAMMSS committee has the expertise and lead on matters related to prioritizing specific projects associated with the PSGP.

6. Provide an explanation of unacceptable delays in fulfilling the County's need that would be incurred if award was made through a competitive bid.

N/A The agreement is for a grant award. These are not agreements for good or services.

7. Describe what future plans, if any, the County can take to permit competition before any subsequent purchases of the required supplies or services.

N/A

Approve

Disapprove

Hold

CPB2012-342 Department of Public Works

1. Description of Supplies or Services (If contract amendment, please identify contract term and/or scope change)

The Department of Public Works is requesting a twelve month RFP Exemption contract in the amount not to exceed \$5,000 with Dr. Alisa Taddeo for the period of October 1, 2011 – September 30, 2012.

The Dr. Alisa Taddeo is a Veterinarian that provides on-site spay /neuter surgeries for dogs housed at the County Kennel.

2. Estimated Dollar Value and Funding Source(s) including percentage breakdown

The estimated contract amount is \$5,000 for the period of October 1, 2011 to September 30, 2012. The funding for this contract is from dog license fees. The index code is CT050047.

3. Rationale Supporting the Use of the Selected Procurement Method

The Department of Public Works has previously advertised for bids for these Veterinary services and no bids were received. The Department is currently rebidding the services. This exemption will allow the Kennel to continue the needed/vital services for the dogs housed at the Kennel.

4. What other available options and/or vendors were evaluated? If none, include the reasons why.

As noted above, the Department advertised for bids and no bids were received. The Department is currently rebidding the veterinary services. The named Veterinarian is one of three vets that have responded to the Kennels needs and schedule. A similar RFP exemption is being submitted for the other two, in order to meet operational need of the Kennel.

5. What ultimately led you to this product or service? Why was the recommended vendor selected?

The named Veterinarian has been selected because they have provided past services in good standard and they meet the operational needs of the Kennel.

6. Provide an explanation of unacceptable delays in fulfilling the County's need that would be incurred if award was made through a competitive bid.

Delays will result in dogs not be adopted, and more importantly, dogs will not received the specialty care and surgeries that is part of the mission of the Kennel.

7. Describe what future plans, if any, the County can take to permit competition before any subsequent purchases of the required supplies or services.

The Department of Public Works is currently rebidding these services. In the future the Kennel will start this process sooner and allow sufficient time for rebidding as needed.

Approve

Disapprove

Hold

CPB2012-343 Department of Public Works

1. Description of Supplies or Services (If contract amendment, please identify contract term and/or scope change)

The Department of Public Works is requesting a twelve month RFP Exemption contract in the amount not to exceed \$12,000 with Dr. Karen Ganofsky for the period of October 1, 2011 – September 30, 2012. The Dr. Karen Ganofsky is a Veterinarian that provides on-site spay /neuter surgeries, and specialty surgeries for dogs housed at the County Kennel.

2. Estimated Dollar Value and Funding Source(s) including percentage breakdown

The estimated contract amount is \$12,000 for the period of October 1, 2011 to September 30, 2012. The funding for this contract is from dog license fees. The index code is CT050047.

3. Rationale Supporting the Use of the Selected Procurement Method

The Department of Public Works has previously advertised for bids for these Veterinary services and no bids were received. The Department is currently rebidding the services. This exemption will allow the Kennel to continue the needed/vital services for the dogs housed at the Kennel.

4. What other available options and/or vendors were evaluated? If none, include the reasons why.

As noted above, the Department advertised for bids and no bids were received. The Department is currently rebidding the veterinary services. The named Veterinarian is one of three vets that have responded to the Kennels needs and schedule. A similar RFP exemption is being submitted for the other two, in order to meet operational need of the Kennel.

5. What ultimately led you to this product or service? Why was the recommended vendor selected?

The named Veterinarian has been selected because they have provided past services in good standard and they meet the operational needs of the Kennel.

6. Provide an explanation of unacceptable delays in fulfilling the County's need that would be incurred if award was made through a competitive bid.

Delays will result in dogs not be adopted, and more importantly, dogs will not received the specialty care and surgeries that is part of the mission of the Kennel.

7. Describe what future plans, if any, the County can take to permit competition before any subsequent purchases of the required supplies or services.

The Department of Public Works is currently rebidding these services. In the future the Kennel will start this process sooner and allow sufficient time for rebidding as needed.

Approve

Disapprove

Hold

CPB2012-344 Department of Public Works

1. Description of Supplies or Services (If contract amendment, please identify contract term and/or scope change)

The Department of Public Works is requesting a twelve month RFP Exemption contract in the amount not to exceed \$8,000 with Dr. Lisa Mach for the period of October 1, 2011 – September 30, 2012. The Dr. Lisa Mach is a Veterinarian that provides on-site spay/ neuter surgeries for dogs housed at the County Kennel.

2. Estimated Dollar Value and Funding Source(s) including percentage breakdown

The estimated contract amount is \$8,000 for the period of October 1, 2011 to September 30, 2012. The funding for this contract is from dog license fee. The index code is CT050047.

3. Rationale Supporting the Use of the Selected Procurement Method

The Department of Public Works has previously advertised for bids for these Veterinary services and no bids were received. The Department is currently rebidding the services. This exemption will allow the Kennel to continue the needed/vital services for the dogs housed at the Kennel.

4. What other available options and/or vendors were evaluated? If none, include the reasons why.

As noted above, the Department advertised for bids and no bids were received. The Department is currently rebidding the veterinary services. The named Veterinarian is one of three vets that have responded to the Kennels needs and schedule. A similar RFP exemption is being submitted for the other two, in order to meet operational need of the Kennel.

5. What ultimately led you to this product or service? Why was the recommended vendor selected?

The named Veterinarian has been selected because they have provided past services in good standard and they meet the operational needs of the Kennel.

6. Provide an explanation of unacceptable delays in fulfilling the County's need that would be incurred if award was made through a competitive bid.

Delays will result in dogs not be adopted, and more importantly, dogs will not received the specialty care and surgeries that is part of the mission of the Kennel.

7. Describe what future plans, if any, the County can take to permit competition before any subsequent purchases of the required supplies or services.

The Department of Public Works is currently rebidding these services. In the future the Kennel will start this process sooner and allow sufficient time for rebidding as needed.

Approve

Disapprove

Hold

CPB2012-345 Fiscal Office

1. Requesting a sole source purchase.

2. What is the product/service that you seek to acquire?

Burroughs is the hardware service provider for our remittance processing equipment, the Unisys/Burroughs INDP 300 -A Document Processors of which the Treasurer's Office has two. Along with hardware support Burroughs provides software support and maintenance updates for Burroughs proprietary system software and phone support

3. Will this purchase obligate Cuyahoga County to this or any other vendor for future purchases, for example, maintenance, licensing or continuing need? x Yes D No

Yes, as long as we own the equipment. The Treasurer's Office has no problem going to Burroughs because they are the only vendor that can handle the hardware support that is necessary.

4. Why do you need to acquire these goods or services?

The Burroughs Payment Systems Support Services Plan is a single bundled offering which addresses the Treasurer's Office needs as it relates to the remittance processing system hardware. Their repair team is timely for repairs, does a great job keeping the systems well maintained and operating. Burroughs is the sole support of the product. They have the technical expertise and parts necessary for repairs.

5. Why are the requested goods/services the only ones that can satisfy your requirements?

What are the unique features of the product or service that are not available in any other product or service? Provide specific, quantifiable factors/qualifications.

Burroughs is the only authorized vendor that makes the repairs and has the parts for the equipment.

They also have the expertise for the remittance application as it relates to the operating software. The equipment is made by Burroughs/Unisys.

6. Were alternative goods/services evaluated? If yes, what were they and why were they unacceptable? Please be specific with regard to features, characteristics, requirements, capabilities and compatibility. If no, why were alternatives not evaluated?

No because no other company is authorized to do the repair and maintenance on the NDP300 -A Document Processors. The cost to keep the existing equipment running is much less than replacement.

7. Identify specific steps taken to negate need for sole source provider.

No steps were taken at this time. Burroughs is the sole source provider

8. H11s your department bought the goods/services in the past? . X Yes D No

If yes, who was the contractor/supplier and was the requirement competitively bid or sole source? What was the last date and price paid for goods/services? .

Yes, the last contract was with Burroughs through the Unisys Corporation. Burroughs was part of the Unisys Corporation but has since broken off from Unisys and is now on its own. This previous agreement was also sole source. The previous contract was in the amount of \$ 257,060.25 for three years, this total included the Unisys portion of software licensing and maintenance.

9. What efforts have been made or are being made to reduce the Department's reliance on a sole source provider for these goods/services in the future?

No steps have been taken at this time in regards to this function. The remittance processing system is run on proprietary software from Unisys Corporation and Burroughs is the only vendor authorized for repairs.

10. What efforts were made to get the best possible price?

The Treasurer's Office has had this system for a number of years. It has been our practice to enter into a three year agreement because by doing so we can lock in a 5% or less cap per year

Increase. It would be more costly if we went to a year to year agreement System replacement would be very costly

11. Why is the price for this purchase considered to be fair and reasonable?

The cost has not gone up that much over the years. The equipment is getting older and the costs to send a repair team have not gotten any cheaper. They do a good and timely job which is important to the function of the department.

12. Amount to be paid: \$121, 272.77

Approve

Disapprove

Hold

D. Consent Agenda

Scheduled Consent Items

CPB2012-346 Department of Development Submitting an amendment to Agreement No. AG0900134-01 with City of Lakewood for construction of single-family homes for the period 11/1/2009 - 12/31/2011 to extend the time period to 12/31/2013; no additional funds required.

Funding Source: 100% City of Lakewood's allocation of federal HOME funds

Approve

Disapprove

Hold

CPB2012-347 Department of Health and Human Services Community Initiatives Division/Office of Homeless Services, submitting an amendment to Contract No. CE1100067-01 with Enterprise Community Partners, Inc. for supportive services for the Housing First Initiative for the period 11/1/2010 - 1/31/2012 to extend the time period to 9/30/2012; no additional funds required.

Funding Source: N/A

Approve

Disapprove

Hold

CPB2012-348 Department of Health and Human Services Community Initiatives Division/Office of Early Childhood, submitting an amendment to a grant award in the amount of \$700,000.00 for

operational support of the Invest in Children Program for the period 6/1/2011 - 5/31/2012 to extend the time period to 12/31/2012.

Funding Source: 100% Cleveland Foundation grant

Approve
 Disapprove
 Hold

CPB2012-349 Department of Health and Human Services Division of Senior & Adult Services, submitting a grant agreement with the Western Reserve Area Agency on Aging in the amount of \$850.00 for the Medicare Improvements for Patients and Providers Act Beneficiary Outreach and Assistance Program for the period 1/1/2012 - 9/30/2012.

Funding Source: 100% by Western Reserve Area Agency on Aging

Approve
 Disapprove
 Hold

CPB2012-350 Department of Law Requesting approval of the fourth amendment to and release from base lease of June 1, 1982, as amended, with the Cleveland Clinic Foundation.

Approve
 Disapprove
 Hold

CPB2012-351 Office of Procurement and Diversity Recommending to declare various computer equipment as surplus County property no longer needed for public use; recommending to sell said property to RET3 Job Corp. for a fee in the amount of \$1.00.

Funding Source: Revenue generating

Approve
 Disapprove
 Hold

CPB2012-352 Department of Public Safety and Justice Services Submitting an amendment to Contract No. CE1100266-01 with Norfolk Southern Railway Company for reimbursement of eligible expenses in connection with the FY2008 Port Security Grant Program for the period 8/1/2008 - 1/31/2012 to extend the time period to 6/30/2012; no additional funds required.

Funding Source: 75% federal by Department of Homeland Security FEMA, 25th Subgrantee responsibility

Approve
 Disapprove
 Hold

CPB2012-353 Department of Public Works Submitting an amendment to a revenue generating agreement with Northcoast Inc. Recycling Specialists for recycling services for various County facilities for the period 6/1/2009 - 5/31/2012 to extend the time period to 8/31/2012.

Funding Source: Revenue generating

Approve
 Disapprove
 Hold

CPB2012-354 Department of Public Works Submitting an amendment to Contract No. CE1000224-02 with AKE Environmental and Construction Services, Inc. for sludge removal from various wastewater treatment plants for the period 1/1/2010 - 12/31/2011 to extend the time period to 4/31/2012; no additional funds required.

Funding Source: N/A

Approve
 Disapprove
 Hold

CPB2012-355 Office of Procurement and Diversity Presenting BuySpeed purchases for the week of April 9, 2012

Approve
 Disapprove
 Hold

CPB2012-356 Department of Development Presenting voucher payments between March 20, 2012- April 4, 2012

Approve
 Disapprove
 Hold

V. Other Business

CPB2012-357 Department of Public Works On behalf of the County Kennel, recommending to accept two donations in the amount of \$5,000.00 from The Toledo Foundation from anonymous donor; and \$1,755.33 from the "Blue Bandits" as a class fundraiser from Westerly School in Bay Village, OH.

Approve
 Disapprove
 Hold

VI. General Business: Ed FitzGerald was not available

VII. Public Comment: There was no public comment

VIII. Adjournment: The meeting was adjourned at 1:08 PM

Tabled Items

CPB2012-325 and CPB2012-333 See Item Detail Above

Scheduled Items

CPB2012-358

Title: Department of Development 2012 C.B. Mullins Construction Company, Inc. Contract Lead Remediation Case 117 Avery at 5417 Orchard St. Maple Heights RQ# 23412

A. Scope of Work Summary

1. Department of Development requesting approval of a contract with C.B. Mullins Construction Company, Inc. for the anticipated cost of \$3,300.00. The anticipated start-completion dates are April 16, 2012 – June 15, 2012.
2. The primary goals of the project are removing lead hazards at the home of a low-income family, maintaining the housing stock of Cuyahoga County's inner ring suburbs, and reducing the risk of lead poisoning in young children.

B. Procurement

1. The procurement method for this project was competitive bidding administered by the Department of Development. The total value of the winning competitive bid is \$3,300.00.
2. The competitive bid was closed on 01/12/2012.
3. There were 6 bids received by the Department of Development, lowest bidder approved.

C. Contractor and Project Information

1. The address(es) of all vendors and/or contractors is:

C.B. Mullins Construction Company Inc.

P.O. Box 200

Berea, Ohio 44017

Council District 05

2. The president for the contractor/vendor is Chris Mullins.

- 3.a. The address or location of the project is:

Avery

5417 Orchard Street

Maple Heights, Ohio 44137

- 3.b. The project is located in Council District 08.

D. Project Status and Planning

This is one of 250 such contracts that will be issued during the three-year grant period.

E. Funding

1. The project is funded 100% by the U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development Lead Hazard Remediation Grant passed through County Board of Health.
2. The schedule of payments is payment upon completion of project.

CPB2012-359

Title: DCA - Department of Development/Airport Division, FY2013 Ohio Airport Grant Program, grant application.- WET SIGNATURE REQUIRED

A. Scope of Work Summary:

1. Department of Development/Airport Division requesting approval to submit a grant application to Ohio Department of Transportation for the anticipated amount of \$175,000.00. The anticipated start-completion date for this grant is 10/01/2012 thru 12/31/2013.
2. The grant application requires that the County provide 10% match totaling \$ 19,444.00. The total amount of the funds including match will be \$194,444.00 The matching funds are from County Airport Capital Budget.

B. Procurement: N/A

C. Contract and Project Information

1. The address or location of the project is:

Airport Property, Willoughby Hills (Lake County) & Richmond Hts.

3.b. County Council District # 11

D. Project Status and Planning

2. The obstruction removal project has multiple phases, however, the projects will be triggered by the acceptance of a FAA or ODOT grant and separate RFPs.

The agreement (application) needs a signature in ink by 4/25/12

E. Funding:

1. The project is funded by Ohio Department of Transportation, Office of Aviation at the requested amount (of \$ 175,000.00) for FY 2013 Ohio Airport Grant Program

5. The agreement (application) needs a signature in ink by 4/25/12

CPB2012-360

Title: The Office of Early Childhood 2012, Cuyahoga Community College District, contract, Invest in Children Program Visioning Event, at Corporate College East, 4400 Richmond Road, Warrensville Hts., Ohio 44128.

A. Scope of Work Summary:

1. The Office of Early Childhood is requesting approval of a contract with Cuyahoga Community College District, a not for profit organization whose principal offices are located at 700 Carnegie Avenue, Cleveland, Ohio 44115, for the anticipated cost not-to-exceed \$2,872.60 for the Invest in Children Program Visioning Event. The anticipated start date is April 19, 2012 and the completion date is April 19, 2012.

2. The primary goal of the project is;

-convene a maximum of 240 guests including civic leaders, early childhood service providers, and other community stakeholders in the community, and

-to engage community stakeholders in an open forum to contribute new, bold, and creative ideas on how Invest in Children can enhance its impact,

-how all early childhood community stakeholders can join together to make a difference for kids in our community.

B. Procurement

The procurement method for this project was through an informal request for bid (RFB) process. The total value of the contract is \$2,872.60. The RFB process was closed on March 5, 2012. As per discussion with OPD (James Taylor), we issued an informal RFB and received 3 bids. Upon reviewing all 3 bids, we chose Corporate College East, as the lowest bidder.

C. Contractor and Project Information

1. The address of the vendor is:

Cuyahoga Community College District
700 Carnegie Avenue Cleveland, Ohio 44115
County Council District 7

2. The manager/coordinator at Corporate College East is Bridget Conway.

3 a. Location of the project:

Corporate College East

4400 Richmond Road

Warrensville Heights, Ohio 44128

3 b. The project is located in Council District 9 (Corporate College East, Warrensville Heights)

D. Project Status and Planning

1. This is the first ever Invest in Children Visioning Event.

2. N/A

3. N/A

4. N/A

5. N/A

E. Funding

1. The project is funded in part by private donations and HHS Levy dollars.

2. The schedule of payment will be by invoice.

3. N/A

CPB2012-361

A. Scope of Work Summary

1. Juvenile Court, requesting approval of contracts with various municipalities for the period January 1, 2012 – December 31, 2012, for funding in the not-to-exceed amounts as follows:

i. Village of Bratenahl; \$0.00

ii. City of Brecksville, Brecksville Police Department; \$2,400.00

iii. City of Broadview Heights; \$1,200.00

iv. City of Brooklyn; \$6,000.00

v. City of Brook Park; \$1,200.00

vi. Village of Brooklyn Heights, Brooklyn Heights Police Department; \$0.00

2. The primary goals of the project are to develop and implement a Community Diversion Program (CDP) in various municipalities to hear misdemeanor and status offense complaints that occur in those municipalities, or by that municipality's residents.

3. N/A.

B. Procurement

1. The procurement method for this project was government purchase. The total value of the entire CDP program (all municipalities) is \$219,300.00.

2. N/A

3. These contracts are agreements with Cuyahoga County municipalities.

C. Contractor and Project Information

1. i.. Mayor John Licastro

Village of Bratenahl
411 Bratenahl Road
Bratenahl, Ohio 44108
Council District: 10

ii. Patrolman John Chrisopoulos
City of Brecksville, Brecksville Police Department
9069 Brecksville Road
Brecksville, Ohio 44141
Council District: 6

iii. Ms. Jean Mazer
City of Broadview Heights
9543 Broadview Road
Broadview Heights, Ohio 44147
Council District: 6

iv. Mr. Lou Galizio
City of Brooklyn
7619 Memphis Avenue
Brooklyn, Ohio 44144
Council District: 4

v. Ms. Agnes Drost
City of Brook Park
6161 Engle Road
Brook Park, Ohio 44142
Council District: 2

vi. Patrolman Mike Tulcewicz
Village of Brooklyn Heights, Brooklyn Heights Police Department
345 Tuxedo Avenue
Brooklyn Heights, Ohio 44131
Council District: 6

2. The Mayor of the Village of Bratenahl is The Honorable John Licastro
The Mayor of the City of Brecksville is The Honorable John Hruby
The Mayor of the City of Broadview Heights is The Honorable Samuel Alai
The Mayor of the City of Brooklyn is The Honorable Richard Balbier
The Mayor of the City of Brook Park is The Honorable Mark Elliott
The Mayor of the Village of Brooklyn Heights is The Honorable Michael Procuk

3.a. The location of the services is:

i. Village of Bratenahl
411 Bratenahl Road
Bratenahl, Ohio 44108
Council District: 10

ii. City of Brecksville, Brecksville Police Department
9069 Brecksville Road
Brecksville, Ohio 44141
Council District: 6

iii. City of Broadview Heights
9543 Broadview Road
Broadview Heights, Ohio 44147
Council District: 6

iv. City of Brooklyn

7619 Memphis Avenue

Brooklyn, Ohio 44144

Council District: 4

v. City of Brook Park

6161 Engle Road

Brook Park, Ohio 44142

Council District: 2

vi. Village of Brooklyn Heights, Brooklyn Heights Police Department

345 Tuxedo Avenue

Brooklyn Heights, Ohio 44131

Council District: 6

3.b. N/A

D. Project Status and Planning

1. The project reoccurs annually.

2. N/A

3. N/A.

4. The project term has begun. The reason for the delay is waiting for the agreements to be returned.

5. N/A

E. Funding

1. The project is funded 100% by General Fund.

2. The schedule of payments is monthly, by invoice.

3. N/A.

CPB2012-362

Title: CONFIDENTIAL: Public Safety & Justice Services, 2012, Painesville OT/BF Agreement, TLO Induction Ceremony, FY09 SHSP-LE.

A. Scope of Work Summary

1. Public Safety & Justice Services requesting approval for an OT/BF agreement with the City of Painesville for the FY09 SHSP-LE in an amount not-to-exceed \$296.82 for the period 8/1/2009-3/30/2012 (anticipated start and completion dates). The OT/BF incurred was part of a TLO Induction Ceremony.

2. The primary goals of the TLO program are:

Create a team environment that promotes the sharing of information amongst TLOs throughout Cuyahoga County and Ohio Homeland Security Region 2.

Information sharing amongst TLOs throughout Cuyahoga County and Ohio Homeland Security Region 2.

3. The funding for FY09 SHSP-LE is passed through to Cuyahoga County from the Ohio Emergency Management Agency (OEMA) and the Department of Homeland Security (DHS).

B. Procurement

1. Procurement method is not really applicable for this item as it is part of the Fusion Center's FY09 SHSP-LE agreement. Approval to offer the OT/BF was sought and approved by the Ohio Emergency

Management Agency. The original agreement for the Fusion Center was approved by the Region 2 Law Enforcement Terrorism Prevention Program Advisory Group. Per guidance provided for the FY09 SHSP-LE program, the Advisory Group must consist AT A MINIMUM of the following members: three police chiefs, three County Sheriffs, one university law enforcement representative, one representative from a criminal justice services agency, and one representative from a terrorism and early warning group. Specific names can be provided if necessary, but this group accepted applications and determined awards in accordance with OEMA's guidance and oversight.

2. The performance period of the agreement ends March 30, 2012. PLEASE NOTE: these agreements will be reviewed after the performance period of the agreement. However, the OT/BF was incurred within the performance period of the agreement and the performance period of the FY09 SHSP-LE grant has been extended to June 30, 2012. Therefore, as long as this OT/BF expense is paid by June 30, 2012, it is within the performance period of the FY09 SHSP-LE grant program where the original agreement with the Fusion Center came from.

3. N/A as there is no procurement in connection with this agreement.

C. Contractor and Project Information

1. The address of the City of Painesville:

7 Richmond St.

Painesville, OH 44077

Council District N/A as Painesville is in Lake County

2. N/A

3a. The address or location of the project is the same as above.

3b. N/A

D. Project Status and Planning

1. The SHSP-LE is awarded on a yearly basis provided DHS receives funding from Congress.

2. The project will be completed by March 30, 2012.

3. The project is not on a critical action path.

4. The project's term has begun and will be completed before the agreement is heard. The reason for the delay was the agreement was working its way through the City approval process. However, all expenses incurred in connection with this agreement occurred within the agreement period. In addition, this OT/BF agreement with Painesville is part of the Fusion Center's overall FY09 SHSP-LE agreement. The performance period for the FY09 SHSP-LE agreement has been extended to June 30, 2012. The expenses associated with this agreement must be paid out before June 30, 2012.

5. N/A

E. Funding

1. The project is 100% approved by the DHS through OEMA.

2. This grant is a reimbursement grant so Painesville has until June 30, 2012 to seek reimbursement against the FY09 SHSP-LE award.

3. N/A

Title: CONFIDENTIAL: Public Safety & Justice Services, 2012, Solon OT/BF Agreement, TLO Induction Ceremony, FY09 SHSP-LE.

A. Scope of Work Summary

1. Public Safety & Justice Services requesting approval for an OT/BF agreement with the City of Solon for the FY09 SHSP-LE in an amount not-to-exceed \$769.50 for the period 8/1/2009-3/30/2012 (anticipated start and completion dates). The OT/BF incurred was part of a TLO Induction Ceremony.

2. The primary goals of the TLO program are:

Create a team environment that promotes the sharing of information amongst TLOs throughout Cuyahoga County and Ohio Homeland Security Region 2.

Information sharing amongst TLOs throughout Cuyahoga County and Ohio Homeland Security Region 2.

3. The funding for FY09 SHSP-LE is passed through to Cuyahoga County from the Ohio Emergency Management Agency (OEMA) and the Department of Homeland Security (DHS).

B. Procurement

1. Procurement method is not really applicable for this item as it is part of the Fusion Center's FY09 SHSP-LE agreement. Approval to offer the OT/BF was sought and approved by the Ohio Emergency Management Agency. The original agreement for the Fusion Center was approved by the Region 2 Law Enforcement Terrorism Prevention Program Advisory Group. Per guidance provided for the FY09 SHSP-LE program, the Advisory Group must consist AT A MINIMUM of the following members: three police chiefs, three County Sheriffs, one university law enforcement representative, one representative from a criminal justice services agency, and one representative from a terrorism and early warning group. Specific names can be provided if necessary, but this group accepted applications and determined awards in accordance with OEMA's guidance and oversight.

2. The performance period of the agreement ends March 30, 2012. PLEASE NOTE: these agreements will be reviewed after the performance period of the agreement. However, the OT/BF was incurred within the performance period of the agreement and the performance period of the FY09 SHSP-LE grant has been extended to June 30, 2012. Therefore, as long as this OT/BF expense is paid by June 30, 2012, it is within the performance period of the FY09 SHSP-LE grant program where the original agreement with the Fusion Center came from.

3. N/A as there is no procurement in connection with this agreement.

C. Contractor and Project Information

1. The address of the City of Solon:

34200 Bainbridge Rd.

Solon, OH 44139

Council District 6

2. N/A

3a. The address or location of the project is the same as above.

3b. Council District 6

D. Project Status and Planning

1. The SHSP-LE is awarded on a yearly basis provided DHS receives funding from Congress.

2. The project will be completed by March 30, 2012.

3. The project is not on a critical action path.

4. The project's term has begun and will be completed before the agreement is heard. The reason for the delay was the agreement was working its way through the City approval process. However, all expenses incurred in connection with this agreement occurred within the agreement period. In addition, this OT/BF agreement with Solon is part of the Fusion Center's overall FY09 SHSP-LE agreement. The performance period for the FY09 SHSP-LE agreement has been extended to June 30, 2012. The expenses associated with this agreement must be paid out before June 30, 2012.

5. N/A

E. Funding

1. The project is 100% approved by the DHS through OEMA.
2. This grant is a reimbursement grant so Solon has until June 30, 2012 to seek reimbursement against the FY09 SHSP-LE award.
3. N/A

CPB2012-363

Title: Public Safety and Justice Services 2012 Orange Village Agreement FY09 Urban Area Security Initiative (UASI)

A. Scope of Work Summary

1. Public Safety and Justice Services requesting approval of an agreement with Orange Village for the anticipated cost of \$17,040.00. The anticipated start-completion dates are March 20, 2012 – June 30, 2012
2. The primary goals of the project are:
Provide funding for First Responders to prepare for, prevent, respond to and recover from natural and man-made disasters. Provide funding for homeland security related equipment, training, exercises. The project was approved by the Public Safety Urban Area Working Group, and all equipment will approved by Ohio Emergency Management Agency. 3. The funding for FY09 UASI is passed through to Cuyahoga County from the Ohio Emergency Management Agency (OEMA) and the Department of Homeland Security (DHS).

B. Procurement

1. There is no procurement for the County. This is a grant allocation only.
2. The procurement method for this project will be RFQ by Orange Village. The total value for this RFQ is \$17,040.00. Orange Village will be responsible for all costs exceeding the agreement amount. The RFQ for this project has not yet closed.

C. Contractor and Project Information

1. Orange Village 4600 Lander Road Orange, Ohio 44022 Council District 9
2. The Mayor of Orange Village is Kathy Mulcahy
3. a The address or location of the project is: (provide the full address or list the municipality(ies) impacted by the project in the following format): Same as above
3. N/A

D. Project Status and Planning

1. The Orange Village project is a one-time agreement for reimbursement of this equipment.
2. The project was submitted by Orange Village Police and the Law Enforcement Committee to the PSUAWG for approval on March 19, 2012. The grant application was approved by OEMA in August, 2011.

E. Funding

1. The project is funded 100% by DHS through OEMA.
2. The grant is a reimbursement grant. Expenses are submitted for reimbursement as they occur.

CPB2012-364

Title: Public Safety & Justice Services 2012 Southeast Area Law Enforcement (SEALE) FY 09 State Homeland Security Program Asset Transfer Agreement

A. Scope of Work Summary

1. Public Safety and Justice Services requesting approval to enter into an agreement for a transfer of assets with the Southeast Area Law Enforcement. The asset transfer is in the amount of \$8,700.00.
2. The primary goals of the project are;§ Provide funding for First Responder to prepare for, prevent, respond to and recover from natural and man-made disasters.§ Funding equips, trains, exercises and evaluates first responders in this goal§ Utilizing FY 09 State Homeland Security Program Funds, Cuyahoga County purchased equipment utilized on behalf of the Southeast Area Law Enforcement SWAT team.§ Urban Area Working Group Communications Committee awarded funding for headset equipment to various SWAT Teams throughout Cuyahoga County.

B. Procurement

1. The procurement method for this project was the grant agreement with OEMA utilizing FY 09 State Homeland Security Program (SHSP) grant funds.
2. The performance period of the agreement is the grant period from August 1, 2009 – April 30, 2012. There is no termination date for the transfer of equipment as the equipment will remain with the Southeast Area Law Enforcement unless as noted in the agreement.

C. Contractor and Project Information

1. Southeast Area Law Enforcement165 Center Road, Bedford, OH 44146Council Districts: 6, 8 and 9The Southeast Area Law Enforcement consists of the following police departments: Bedford, Bedford Hts, Garfield Hts, Maple Hts, Solon, Oakwood and Walton Hills
2. The current Southeast Area Law Enforcement (SEALE) Chair is Gregory Duber, the Police Chief of Bedford.

D. Project Status and Planning

1. This project is completed one time agreement with the Southeast Area Law Enforcement for an asset transfer. The agreement is utilizing FY 09 State Homeland Security Program Funds (SHSP) grant funds which have been earmarked for this purpose through the Cuyahoga County Communications Committee under the Urban Area Working Group committees.
2. This is a one-time agreement to transfer

E. Funding

FY 09 State Homeland Security Funds through Ohio Emergency Management Agency

CPB2012-365

Title: Public Safety and Justice Services Agreement with City of Lakewood for Automated Interface

A. Scope of Work Summary

1. The Department of Public Safety and Justice Services is requesting approval of an agreement with the City of Lakewood for the anticipated cost not to exceed, \$37,000.00. The anticipated start and completion dates are December 21, 2011 -- December 20, 2012.
2. The primary goals of the project are to:
 - a.) develop, test and deploy an automated interface between the City of Lakewood's Records Management System (RMS), Cuyahoga County Regional Information System (CRIS), and the Cuyahoga County InJail application.
 - b.) The implementation of the interface will avoid duplicate entries of arrest data into the various applications.

B. Procurement

The proposed agreement received an exemption on January 4, 2012. The approval letter is attached for review.

C. Contractor and Project Information

1. The address of the vendor is:
City of Lakewood
12650 Detroit Avenue
Lakewood, OH 44107
Council District 2
2. The Mayor of Lakewood is Michael P. Summers
The project is countywide.

D. Project Status and Planning

1. The project is new to the County.
2. The project has 1 phase
3. The project is not on a critical action path.
4. The project's term has already begun. The reason there was a delay in this request is the Department was waiting for signed agreement documents to be returned by the City of Lakewood. The City of Lakewood had to obtain signature through its council policies. There were additional delays surrounding obtaining TAC approval for the project.

E. Funding

1. The project is funded 100% by the Cuyahoga Regional Information System (CRIS). CRIS is funded primarily by User Fees and Moving Violation Fees. The CRIS index code is expected to receive approximately 18% of its funding through a General Fund subsidy.
2. The schedule of payments will be by invoice.

CPB2012-366

Title: Workforce Development submitting a contract with Linking Employment, Abilities and Potential in the amount not-to-exceed \$60,000.00 for employment and training related services to individuals with disabilities for the period 3/1/12 - 6/30/12.

A. Scope of Work Summary

1. Workforce Development submitting a contract with Linking, Employment, Abilities & Potential for the period March 1, 2012 - June30, 2012. The anticipated start-completion dates are 3/1/12 - 6/30/12.
2. The primary goals of the project are to serve individuals with significant disabilities. Services will include: hiring a Disability Navigator to assist one-stop personnel and various customized employment related services to assist individuals with disabilities (including Outreach and Recruitment, Intake and Orientation, Comprehensive Needs Assessment, Computer Skills Assessment)
3. The project is funded with a special Workforce Investment Act grant allocation by the State of Ohio Rehabilitation Services Commission.

B. Procurement

The procurement method for this project was a Request for Proposal .

C. Contractor and Project Information

1. The address of Linking Employment, Abilities & Potential is:
LINKING EMPLOYMENT, ABILITIES & POTENTIAL
2545 Lorain Ave.
Cleveland, Oh. 44113
Council District 7
2. The executive director for the contractor is Melanie Hogan

D. Project Status Planning

E. Funding

Funding source: Workforce Investment Act Funds

Exemption Requests

CPB2012-367 through CPB2012-371 See Item Detail Above

Consent Agenda – Scheduled Consent Items

CPB2012-372

Department of Public Works (DOPW):

A. Summary of Scope

1. Department of Public Works is submitting an amendment (Subsidiary No. 2) to Contract CE1100207-01 with Fabrizi Trucking & Paving Co., Inc. for the improvement of Stumph Road from Snow Road to Pearl Road in the Cities of Parma and Parma Heights for a decreased amount of (\$46.73).

The project is staffed with the following DOPW employees:

Michael Tworzydlo, P.E., Area Construction Engineer

Patrick Kearney, Project Supervisor

Anthony Ditz, Project Inspector

Original Contract Amount: \$6,696,970.75

Amendment No. 1: (\$-8.16)

Amendment No. 2: (-43.73)

Rev. Contract Amount: \$6,696,915.85 (0%)

2. The primary goal to complete construction per the original plan intent.

B. Procurement

Competitive Bid Process (Original Contract).

C. Contractor and Project Information

Contractor: Fabrizi Trucking & Paving Co., Inc., 389 Columbia Road, Valley City, Ohio 44280 (Council District N/A). Project Location: Cities of Parma & Parma Hts. (Council District 4).

D. Project Status and Planning

Construction progressing as per plan.

E. Funding

1. Funding: 70% Ohio Public Works Commission (Issue 1) 15% County using funds from the \$5.00 Vehicle License Tax Fund and 15% from the municipalities.

Fabrizi Trucking & Paving Co., Inc. has completed the required training.

CPB2012-373

Department of Public Works (DOPW):

A. Summary of Scope

1. Department of Public Works (a.) is submitting the final amendment (Subsidiary No. 1) to Contract CE1100532-01 with Karvo Paving Company for the resurfacing of Harvard Road from Green Road to Camp Forbes in the Village of Highland Hills for a decrease amount of (\$44,516.94).

(b) is requesting that the above referenced project be accepted as complete in accordance with the plans and specifications.

(c) is requesting that the County Treasurer be authorized to release the escrow account upon notification from the DOPW in accordance with O.R.C. 153.63.

The project is staffed with the following DOPW employees:

Darwin Merdes, P.E., Area Construction Engineer

Richard Orosz, Project Supervisor

Phil Harrison, Construction Technician

Original Contract Amount: \$456,306.50

Amendment No. 1: (\$44,516.94)

Rev. Contract Amount: \$411,789.56 (-9.76% under original contract amount)

2) The primary goal is to process the final amended agreement, accept construction as complete and release the remaining escrow balance

B. Procurement

Competitive Bid Process (original contract).

C. Contractor and Project Information

Contractor: Karvo Paving Company, 4524 Hudson Drive, Stow, Ohio 44224 (Council District n/a). Project Location: City of Highland Heights (Council District 9).

D. Project Status and Planning

Construction is complete per plan and specifications.

E. Funding

1. Funding: 100% Cuyahoga County using funds from the \$7.50 Vehicle License Tax Fund..

Karvo Paving Company completed required training.

Cuyahoga County - Contracts and Purchasing Board Purchase Order Approvals (04-16 12)

Department/Location Key:					
AU: Auditor (Fiscal Officer)	CE: County Engineer (Public Works)	CT: Central Services (Public Works)	JA: Cuyahoga County Public Safety and Justice Services	SA: Senior & Adult Svs.	WI: Workforce Development
BE: Board of Elections	CF: Children & Family Svs.	DV: Development	JC: Juvenile Court	SE: Support Enforcement Agency	WT: Employment & Family Svs.
CA: Court of Appeals	CL: Clerk of Courts	EC: Early Childhood	LLOO: Law library	SM: Solid Waste District	
CC-010: County Administration	CO: Common Pleas	HS: Health & Human Svs.	MI: HIV/AIDS Services	ST: Sanitary Engineers (Public Works)	
CC-002: Human Resources	CR: Coroner (Medical Examiner)	IS: Information Systems	SH: Sheriff	TR: Treasurer	

Direct Open Market Purchases (Purchases between \$500.- \$25,000 unless requiring assistance from Procurement & Diversity Dept - see below)

Requisition #	Purchase Order Date	Description	Dept/Loc	Total
CE-12-23664	4/10/2012	Sign Supplies	CE / CE01	\$1,441.29
CE-12-23644	4/6/2012	Body Work #03-0321	CE / CE01	\$1,282.00
CE-12-23554	4/2/2012	Coatings for Bridge #09.51	CE / CE01	\$1,649.91
CE-12-23498	3/27/2012	Turbo #04-0055	CE / CE01	\$1,561.53
CE-12-23441	3/20/2012	Steering Housing #03-0029	CE / CE01	\$735.64
CE-12-23192	2/28/2012	Retroreflective Sheeting	CE / CE01	\$11,972.70
CF-12-23681	4/11/2012	Institutional Board and Care	CF / CF01	\$3,005.00
CF-12-23662	4/9/2012	PASSS - Non Custody Residential	CF / CF01	\$5,117.69
CF-12-23661	4/9/2012	Foster Care Placement	CF / CF01	\$5,070.00
CF-12-23658	4/9/2012	Gift Cards	CF / CF01	\$22,175.70
CF-12-23576	4/3/2012	HHS Special Services -PASSS	CF / CF01	\$1,000.00
CF-12-23269	3/6/2012	PASSS - Intensive Attachment and Bonding	CF / CF01	\$4,975.00
<u>CR-12-23675</u>	4/10/2012	Toxicology - Outside	CR /	\$606.00

		Testing	CR00	
<u>CR-12-23674</u>	4/10/2012	Lab gases	CR / CR00	\$810.42
<u>CT-12-23682</u>	4/11/2012	SIMPLEX LOCKSET AND DON-JO FACEPLATE	CT / CT01	\$580.00
<u>CT-12-23663</u>	4/10/2012	MULCH FOR VARIOUS LOCATIONS	CT / CT01	\$5,737.50
<u>CT-12-23647</u>	4/9/2012	REFRIGERANT AND FILTER KIT	CT / CT01	\$801.40
<u>CT-12-23618</u>	4/4/2012	FILTER SERVICE- VEB	CT / CT01	\$525.00
<u>CT-12-23379</u>	3/14/2012	HVAC BELTS FOR VARIOUS LOCATIONS	CT / CT01	\$24,900.00
<u>CT-12-23643</u>	4/6/2012	REVENUE RECEIPTS	CT / CT09	\$795.00
<u>DV-12-23670</u>	4/10/2012	Heat Transfer Oil	DV / DV01	\$517.90
IS-12-23409	3/16/2012	Cisco ASA 5520 Firewalls and ASA 5500 Security Appliances	IS / IS01	\$20,469.84
SH-12-23638	4/6/2012	L.E.A.D.S.	SH / SH01	\$919.00
SH-12-23628	4/5/2012	EMT Medical Kits	SH / SH01	\$654.00
SH-12-23574	4/3/2012	FA 575 indoor wireless repeater kits	SH / SH01	\$1,965.00
SH-12-23484	3/23/2012	Uniforms - Deputy	SH / SH01	\$833.50
<u>ST-12-23642</u>	4/6/2012	Ladders #S-506	ST / ST01	\$588.00
CE-12-23246	3/5/2012	HSTS Discharge	ST / ST01	\$10,000.00
CE-12-23244	3/5/2012	HSTS Discharge	ST / ST01	\$12,000.00
CE-11-21946	11/3/2011	HSTS Discharge	ST / ST01	\$9,400.00

Open Market Purchases (Over \$25,000 or requiring assistance from Procurement & Diversity Dept)

Source: BuySpeed Approval cue for POs.

Purchase Order #	Purchase Order Date	Description	Dept/Loc	Total
1208390	4/12/2012	VEHICLE DATA SUBSCRIPTION	CE/CE01	\$1,500.00

DEPARTMENT OF DEVELOPMENT				
VOUCHER LIST FOR THE PERIOD 04/05/2012 - 04/11/2012				
VOUCHER NO.	PAYEE	AMOUNT	FUNDING SOURCE	AUTHORIZATION
DV1280179	Kilroy Home Insulation, Inc.	\$3,134.00	Healthy Homs III	Weatherization Alternate Procurement
DV1280180	A-1 Energy Conservation Services LLC	\$4,000.00	Formula HWAP	Weatherization Alternate Procurement
DV1280181	Juan Hernandez	\$5,000.00	Formula HWAP	Weatherization Alternate Procurement
DV1280183	Kilroy Home Insulation, Inc.	\$5,274.75	Formula HWAP	Weatherization Alternate Procurement
DV1250096	Cuyahoga County Board of Health	\$1,150.00	Federal CDBG Grant	Rehab Loan Ordinance
		\$18,558.75		