

## **Minutes**

Contracts and Purchasing Board  
County Administration Building, 4th Floor  
July 8, 2013 11:30 A.M.

I. Call to Order: The meeting was called to order at 11:41 A.M by David Merriman.

Attendees: Councilman Dale Miller  
Director Bonnie Teeuwen  
Director Lenora Lockett  
Special Assistant Melvin Davis – Alternate  
Treasurer Mark Parks - Alternate  
Clerk of the Contracts and Purchasing Board David Merriman

County Executive Ed FitzGerald, Chief of Staff Matt Carroll and Fiscal Officer Wade Steen were unavailable.

At the July 8, 2013 regular meeting of the Contracts and Purchasing Board all actions taken for the following approved items were done with the approval of all members present. All items were considered and adopted by unanimous vote unless otherwise noted.

II. Review and Approve Minutes –

The minutes were approved as written by unanimous vote.

III. Public Comment - There was no public comment.

IV. Contracts and Awards

### **B. Scheduled Items**

#### **CPB2013-507**

Department of Development, submitting an agreement with Neighborhood Housing Services of Greater Cleveland, Inc. for HOME funded activities in the amount not-to-exceed \$100,000.00. Anticipated start - completion dates are 07/01/2013 - 08/31/2015.

Funding Source: Federal HOME program funds through the Cuyahoga Housing Consortium.

Approve  
 Disapprove  
 Hold

#### **CPB2013-508**

Department of Information Technology, submitting a revenue generating agreement with City of Maple Heights in the amount of \$2,926.00 for professional web services for the period 4/29/2013 - 4/28/2015.

Funding Source: Revenue Generating

Approve  
 Disapprove  
 Hold

**CPB2013-509**

Department of Workforce Development,

A) Submitting a contract with Optima Lender Services, LLC in the amount not-to-exceed \$2,000.00 for the On-the-Job Training Program for the period 4/30/2013 - 7/23/2013.

~~B) Submitting a contract with Professional Placement Services, LLC in the amount not to exceed \$1,200.00 for the On-the-Job Training Program for the period 3/18/2013 - 9/6/2013.~~

C) Submitting a contract with S.A.W., Inc. in the amount not-to-exceed \$2,000.00 for the On-the-Job Training Program for the period 5/15/2013 - 7/13/2013.

D) Submitting a contract with TRC, Inc. in the amount not-to-exceed \$1,200.00 for the On-the-Job Training Program for the period 3/25/2013 - 9/25/2013.

Funding Source: 100% by Federal Workforce Investment Act (WIA) Funds.

**Note: Item B) was held pending completion of ethics registration and training.**

Approve  
 Disapprove  
 Hold

**CPB2013-510**

Office of Procurement & Diversity, recommending an award:

Department of Public Works

a) on RQ27191 to Newell Equipment, Inc. (14-3) in the amount of \$80,410.00 for 2-Tandem bodies mounted on International 7500 Cab & Chassis.

Funding Source: Sanitary Engineering Funds

Approve  
 Disapprove  
 Hold

**CPB2013-511**

County Sheriff, submitting an amendment to Contract No. CE1200378-01 with Recovery Resources for Women's Re-entry community linkage services for the period 7/1/2012 - 6/30/2013 to extend the time period to 6/30/2014 and for additional funds in the amount of \$19,250.00.

Funding Source: 100% General Fund

Approve

Disapprove  
 Hold

**CPB2013-512**

Department of Public Safety and Justice Services, submitting agreements with various municipalities for reimbursement of eligible training expenses in connection with the FY2010 Urban Area Security Initiative Grant Program for the period 4/23/2013 - 5/30/2013:

- A) City of Brecksville in the amount of \$701.20.
- B) City of Pepper Pike in the amount not-to-exceed \$210.45.

Submitting agreements with various municipalities for reimbursement of eligible training expenses in connection with the FY2010 Urban Area Security Initiative Grant Program for various dates:

- A) City of Bay Village in the amount not-to-exceed \$1,344.61 for the period 2/27/2012 - 4/30/2013.
- B) City of Brooklyn in the amount not-to-exceed \$609.25 for the period 4/23/2013 - 5/30/2013.
- C) City of Parma in the amount not-to-exceed \$415.13 for the period 4/23/2013 - 5/30/2013.

Funding Source: 100% by Department of Homeland Security through Office of Emergency Management

X  Approve  
 Disapprove  
 Hold

**C. Exemption Requests**

**CPB2013-513**

Department of Development, submitting an RFP exemption, which will result in an amendment to Agreement No. AG1200077-01 with City of Shaker Heights for demolition of various blighted structures, located in Shaker Heights, in connection with the Neighborhood Stabilization Grant Program 3 for the period 3/20/2012 - 3/19/2013 to extend the time period to 7/31/2013, by deleting blighted structure address 15716 Scottsdale and adding 3555 Hildana, and for a decrease in the amount of (\$7,476.00.)

Funding Source: Federal Neighborhood Stabilization Program (NSP) 3 grant funds.

1. Description of Supplies or Services (If contract amendment, please identify contract time period and/or scope change)

Department of Development, requesting approval to amend agreement AG1200077-01 with the City of Shaker Heights, Neighborhood Stabilization Program (NSP) 3 Demolition, to amend the time of performance from 03/19/2013 to 07/31/2013; to reduce the funding by \$158,496 to \$151,020 and; amend the scope by removing address 15716 Scottsdale replace with address 3555 Hildana.

2. Estimated Dollar Value and Funding Source(s) including percentage breakdown (If amendment, please enter original contracted amount and additional amount, if any)

The dollar value of the original agreement was \$158,496, amend value \$151,020. The funding source is federal Neighborhood Stabilization Program (NSP) 3 funding.

No additional funds are requested for the amendment.

3. Rationale Supporting the Use of the Selected Procurement Method

(include state contract # or GSA contract # and expiration date)

As part of the planning process to implement Cuyahoga County's Neighborhood Stabilization Program 3 grant, the Department of Development offered each of the eligible 19 cities and villages the opportunity to request a direct allocation of NSP3 funds for the demolitions, or to have demolition carried out by the Cuyahoga County Land Bank on their behalf. Eighteen (18) eligible cities and villages chose to have their demolition carried out under contract with the County Land Bank, to implement their request \$350,000 of NSP3 funds. The City of Shaker Heights was the only eligible city to request a direct allocation.

This request is for an amendment.

4. What other available options and/or vendors were evaluated? If none, include the reasons why.

No other private or nonprofit organizations were offered funding because Ohio Law limits the right to condemn and demolish blighted houses to units of local government and the County Land Bank.

5. What ultimately led you to this product or service? Why was the recommended vendor selected?

Neighborhood Stabilization Program 3 funds were awarded to Cuyahoga County by the U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development with specific regulations regarding the allocation of funds.

All NSP 3 eligible 19 cities and villages were provided the opportunity to apply for funds. The City of Shaker Heights was the only eligible city to be presented and approved by OPD on February 22, 2012.

6. Provide an explanation of unacceptable delays in fulfilling the County's need that would be incurred if award was made through a competitive bid.

Ordinance #02011-0046 Section 4.4(b) 9 referring to exemption from competitive bidding states: The contract is with or the purchase is from the federal government, the state, another county or contracting authority of another county, a board of education, a township, a municipal corporation, a court, or any other one or more political subdivisions.

All referenced contracts are with political subdivisions of the State of Ohio.

7. Describe what future plans, if any, the County can take to permit competition before any subsequent purchases of the required supplies or services.

Ordinance #02011-0046 Section 4.4(b) 9 referring to exemption from competitive bidding states: The contract is with or the purchase is from the federal government, the state, another county or contracting authority of another county, a board of education, a township, a municipal corporation, a court, or any other one or more political subdivisions.

All referenced contracts are with political subdivisions of the State of Ohio.

Approve  
 Disapprove  
 Hold

**CPB2013-514**

Fiscal Officer, submitting a sole source exemption on RQ28004, which will result in an award recommendation to Bloomberg Finance L.P., sole source, in the amount of \$51,500.00 for lease of equipment and financial information services for the period 6/26/2013 – 6/25/2015.

Funding Source: General Funds

1. Vendor information – Bloomberg Finance L.P.

2. What is the product/service that you seek to acquire?

The Treasurer’s Office is seeking an all inclusive source for analytical functions required by the Chief Investment Officer to manage the County’s Portfolio of fixed income securities. Bloomberg provides real-time electronic information, analytics and news. Bloomberg provides the tools and data needed on a single, all-inclusive platform. A Personal Computer and dual monitors are provided by and maintained by Bloomberg.

3. Will this purchase obligate Cuyahoga County to this or any other vendor for future purchases, for example, maintenance, licensing or continuing need?  Yes  No

The County Investment Department has used Bloomberg since 1991. Bloomberg is the number one source for financial information and world news which is critical to the County’s Investment Department. Bloomberg has over 180,000 terminals worldwide.

4. Why do you need to acquire these goods or services?

The Investment Department of the County, to function efficiently, needs the analytical functions provided by the Bloomberg system for fixed income securities. No other service can meet the needs of the County.

5. Why are the requested goods/services the only ones that can satisfy your requirements?

What are the unique features of the product or service that are not available in any other product or service? Provide specific, quantifiable factors/qualifications.

No other service can provide what Bloomberg does. Fair Market Yield curves can be displayed historically, individual curves are detailed and spreads are displayed. Yield Analysis calculates bond yield several ways and provides a measure of interest rate risk. Bloomberg also provides historical comparisons, bond ranking, indexes, performance in the U. S. and a bonds fair value. Provides up to the minute market conditions.

6. Were alternative goods/services evaluated? If yes, what were they and why were they unacceptable? Please be specific with regard to features, characteristics, requirements, capabilities and compatibility. If no, why were alternatives not evaluated?

No alternatives were evaluated. Bloomberg is known throughout the industry as the leader for up to the minute financial information.

7. Identify specific steps taken to negate need for sole source provider.

No steps were taken. Bloomberg has signed an Affidavit stating they are the only vendor who can perform the required analytical functions for the County by offering such from one source.

8. Has your department bought these goods/services in the past?  Yes  No

If yes, who was the contractor/supplier and was the requirement competitively bid or sole source? What was the last date and price paid for goods/services?

Cuyahoga County has had a sole contract with Bloomberg since 1991. The cost for the contract from June 2011 till June 26, 2013(two Years) was \$51,000.00.

9. What efforts have been made or are being made to reduce the Department's reliance on a sole source provider for these goods/services in the future? We have very few, only two including Bloomberg, Sole Source purchases.

10. What efforts were made to get the best possible price?

We are receiving their government pricing. All expenses are covered by Bloomberg. They provide the terminal and dual monitors. Any problems are handled by Bloomberg directly.

11. Why is the price for this purchase considered to be fair and reasonable?

Based on the rates charged over the years, there has never been much of an increase percentage wise. This agreement is up \$500.00 from 2011, \$25.00 a month, less than a 1% increase.

12. Amount to be paid: \$51,500.00 total two years, \$2,150.00 monthly

Approve

Disapprove

Hold

#### **CPB2013-515**

Department of Health and Human Services/Cuyahoga Job and Family Services, submitting an RFP exemption on RQ27266, which will result in an award recommendation to LexisNexis Risk Solutions FL Inc. in the amount not-to-exceed \$96,000.00 for on-line Accurint for Government locate services for the period 10/1/2013 - 9/30/2017.

Funding Sources:

Federal Financial Participation - 58%

Federal Incentives - 12%

Administrative Fees Collected - 13%

State Allocation - 8%

HHS Levy Dollars - 9%

1. Description of Supplies or Services (If contract amendment, please identify contract time period and/or scope change)

LexisNexis provides the Accurint online records research product to assist in locating non-custodial parents for the purposes of establishing paternity and the collection of payments under child support orders. The term of the existing contract between the Cuyahoga Job and Family Services, Office of Child Support Services (CJFS/OCSS) and LexisNexis, which originally ran from May 1, 2012 through April 30, 2013, has been extended to September 30, 2013, to assure that CJFS/OCSS will continue to receive

uninterrupted Accurant service, which is critical in finding absentee parents to secure support payments, until a new contract can be put into place.

The currently amended Contract (# CE1200217) was approved in 2012 on the basis that the Accurant tool was a unique product of LexisNexis necessary for reliable and timely online research by CJFS/OCSS employees, as indicated in the Sole Source Justification filed with and approved by the Office of Procurement & Diversity (OPD) in 2012.

In 2013, CJFS/OCSS anticipated using the same rational and procurement method to enter into another contract with LexisNexis for use of its Accurant product. A Sole Source Justification was filed with OPD to initiate the 2013 contract process. However, the response by another vendor to OPD's posting of this Sole Source Justification, indicating that it could provide a comparable research tool, has resulted in CJFS/OCSS attempting to obtain an RFP Exemption for the LexisNexis Accurant product, which, again, has been and continues to be critical to CJFS/OCSS in the location of obligor parents and the collection of child support payments.

2. Estimated Dollar Value and Funding Source(s) including percentage breakdown (If amendment, please enter original contracted amount and additional amount, if any)

CJFS/OCSS's current and prior contracts with LexisNexis have been for periods of 12 months in duration and in an amount not to exceed \$24,000.00 per contract. However, since CJFS/OCSS needs to increase its employee-users of the Accurant tool to 15 from 8, which would substantially increase the cost of the service, LexisNexis has offered a significant discount on the monthly user fee if the contract term under a subsequent contract with them would be extended to 48 months at an annual cost of \$24,000.00. Under the extended contract, CJFS/OCSS would receive a 29% reduction in user fees which would keep the cost of 15 users equivalent to the cost of 8 users under a 12-month contract. The 48-month-term contract would renew automatically at the end of each 12-month period unless CJFS/OCSS or the vendor provides a written notice of termination at least 60 days prior to the expiration of the term. Funding sources would continue to be Federal (66%) and local (33%).

3. Rationale Supporting the Use of the Selected Procurement Method

(include state contract # or GSA contract # and expiration date)

CJFS/OCSS believes that an RFP Exemption for the LexisNexis Accurant service is warranted because of the uniqueness of the service, as indicated in the Sole Source Justification for the product previously filed with OPD, because of the positive results CJFS/OCSS has realized by utilizing this service the past several years, and because Accurant has been a valuable and reliable tool for CJFS/OCSS in the process of quickly locating absentee parents.

CJFS/OCSS requires the online services available through the LexisNexis Accurant product to readily and accurately locate absent parents for the purposes of (a) establishing paternity and (b) enforcing child support orders, including the collection of child support payments as ordered by the Courts or Administrative Hearing Officers. (LexisNexis is a state approved contractor/vendor under State Term Contract pricing #7776000109 effective dates 08/06/08 to 07/31/13.)

To enable CJFS/OCSS to quickly and accurately locate absentee parents, LexisNexis has access to more than 34 billion public and proprietary records; 4.2 billion name/address combinations that map over 585 million unique identities; more than 917 million business records and 1.3 billion contact records; more than 2 billion personal property data records; and links between more than 10,000 sources of unobstructed data for locating and identifying individuals.

The records obtained by LexisNexis are not limited to public records, but include information from private contracts not otherwise available. The records accessible in Accurint are equal to or greater, and updated more frequently, than other vendor products reviewed, which increases the percentages of obtaining useful, timely and relevant information. Accurint databases supply a higher percentage of information from Ohio and surrounding states than other reviewed products, which also aids in relevant information, for instance, 46 states provide incarceration data to LexisNexis while 37 states provide data from local arrest logs. A recently reviewed product offers incarcerated data from only 31 states. Driver License data is provided for 49 states, which is much greater than other reviewed products of which one recently reviewed product offers data from only 23 states.

Additional factors offered in LexisNexis' Accurint program contribute to its uniqueness. Although, there are many vendors who provide services through public records, none of the reviewed products can compare their search engines to Accurint's structure. Accurint does not have a rigid search engine. The criteria for searches are not limited to knowing a first and last name and/or social security number. The Accurint reverse phone search engine does not require a first name, last name, and address to produce a result. Accurint searches can be completed with a compilation of partial information across separate databases.

Accurint also includes many of the databases or functionality as part of the flat fee they charge and are not add-on services. A recently reviewed product has add-on fees for Web Analytics, Real-time Incarceration and Arrest Records, and Alert feature.

4. What other available options and/or vendors were evaluated? If none, include the reasons why.

Alternatives to Accurint had been considered and evaluated continuously to determine effectiveness and efficiency of contractual locate tools. A recently reviewed vendor who proposes that they offer services comparable to LexisNexis' Accurint product failed to meet the percentage of available relative and pertinent data that is accessible timely in Accurint, as mentioned in #3 above. The difference in accessible pertinent information could cause a loss of up to 60% of client locate services and collections.

In addition, unlike the legal requirements for locating persons through credit reporting agencies (whose services CJFS/OCSS used several years ago), the locate services provided by LexisNexis do not require prior notice to the individuals attempting to be located, thereby facilitating the process of CJFS/OCSS finding absent parents as expeditiously and economically as possible. Under the current provisions of the Fair Credit Reporting Act (FCRA), using a credit reporting agency (such as Trans Union, which CJFS/OCSS utilized several years ago) to locate absent parents would require CJFS/OCSS to mail advance notices to such persons indicating that their records were being checked. Due to FCRA guidelines, national credit agencies are no longer a viable alternative because of timing and financial considerations.

5. What ultimately led you to this product or service? Why was the recommended vendor selected?



As indicated in the response to Question 4, above, the provisions of FCRA resulted in credit reporting agencies no longer being a reasonable option from which to obtain online public records research services. Since CJFS/OCSS had previous experience with LexisNexis research products for other types of searches, it began using the LexisNexis Accurint tool to replace the credit reporting agencies and found that Accurint successfully met all of CJFS/OCSS's research expectations.

In carrying out its responsibilities to find missing parents and to discharge its

child support establishment and enforcement obligations under the law,

CJFS/OCSS has used the LexisNexis Accurint tool to locate parents because the

service it provides cannot be duplicated by other data sources. CJFS/OCSS

currently has 20,000 cases with absent parents that it is attempting to locate. Of those cases in which an absent parent had been located, the Accurint location tool has been used to find about 95% of those parents. Additionally, it has been an essential tool in identifying parents where only partial information was available, allowing for a high volume of cases to move forward with the establishment of paternity that would not be able otherwise.

6. Provide an explanation of unacceptable delays in fulfilling the County's need that would be incurred if award was made through a competitive bid.

For over four years, the LexisNexis Accurint online research service has proved to be a necessary and valuable service to CJFS/OCSS in efficiently and effectively locating absent parents to secure support payments. Even though Accurint is a proprietary service of LexisNexis, should CJFS/OCSS be compelled, via competitive bidding, to switch to a vendor which could not provide research services equivalent to Accurint, then such a change could possibly reduce the ability of CJFS/OCSS to locate absent parents on a timely basis to establish paternity and enforce child support orders. It could also potentially delay the collection of child support payments that had been ordered by the Courts or Hearing Officers.

7. Describe what future plans, if any, the County can take to permit competition before any subsequent purchases of the required supplies or services.

The number of vendors providing the ability to search large, online data bases of public records for the purpose of locating individuals based on the needs and requirements of CJFS/OCSS is very limited. In consideration of its current and previous experience utilizing the LexisNexis Accurint service, CJFS/OCSS is of the opinion that no other vendor could replicate or exceed the level of service provided by the LexisNexis Accurint product.

Approve  
 Disapprove  
 Hold

**CPB2013-516**

Medical Examiner, submitting a sole source exemption on RQ27709, which will result in an award recommendation to Charles H. Brenner, Ph.D., in the amount of \$10,800.00 for maintenance on DNA-View and PATER software for the period 10/01/2013-9/30/2016.

Funding Source: 100% General Funds

1. Vendor/Department Information

Vendor Contact Name: Charles Brenner, Ph.D.

2. What is the product/service that you seek to acquire?

Provide a detailed description of the product/service.

We are requesting for a maintenance contract for DNA-View software which is a technical program used for statistical analysis in DNA relationship testing casework to determine probability of an alleged relationship between individuals based on their DNA profiles. No DNA relationship report can be issued without this statistical analysis which is based on the frequencies of various genotypes in different races.

3. Will this purchase obligate Cuyahoga County to this or any other vendor for future purchases, for example, maintenance, licensing or continuing need?  Yes  No

If yes, please provide details regarding future obligations and/or needs.

What is the duration of this purchase, including number of "potential" renewal options?

Check the appropriate box. If yes, provide information regarding the duration of any potential commitments as well as the estimated costs for such commitments.

4. Why do you need to acquire these goods or services?

Provide information on the main requirement for this purchase. For example, detail the nature of the instructional, research, or community outreach activities for which the purchase is necessary.

This is a maintenance contract for DNA-View software which is a technical program used for statistical analysis in DNA relationship testing casework to determine probability of an alleged relationship between individuals based on their DNA profiles. No DNA relationship report can be issued without this statistical analysis which is based on the frequencies of various genotypes in different races. Any issue with the software will stop statistical analysis and issuance of the DNA relationship testing reports. The maintenance contract is required for maintenance and technical support for the DNA View program to resolve any issues and to receive any updates made to the program due to updated DNA technology or any change required as per DNA Relationship Testing Standards. As this is a specialized program, technical support is necessary for any issue to be resolved in a timely manner so that statistical analysis can be performed in DNA relationship casework and the reports can be issued in a timely manner.

5. Why are the requested goods/services the only ones that can satisfy your requirements? What are the unique features of the product or service that are not available in any other

product or service? Provide specific, quantifiable factors/qualifications.

Provide specific, quantifiable factors/qualifications.

Provide specific details on the compelling functional and/or technical reasons why the requested good or service is the only one that can satisfy the requirement. Indicate any features of the product or service that cannot be provided by an alternative product or service. In enumerating the unique aspects of the product or service, provide quantifiable details on the factors and/or qualifications that make the good or service distinctive. If the good or service is patented or copyrighted, provide the supporting information such as patent number or copyright holder.

As DNA-View software is used for statistical analysis in our DNA relationship testing casework, we need maintenance and technical support for the program to resolve any issues and to receive any updates made to the program due to updated DNA technology or any change in Relationship Testing Standards. This service is only provided through this maintenance contract offered by Dr. Charles Brenner, the creator of the program.

6. Were alternative goods/services evaluated? If yes, what were they and why were they unacceptable? Please be specific with regard to features, characteristics, requirements, capabilities and compatibility. If no, why were alternatives not evaluated?

Provide names of alternate sources that were considered and specific reasons why each one was rejected. If other options were not evaluated, indicate the basis for not considering available alternatives.

No, the service contract is only offered by Dr. Charles Brenner, the creator of the DNA-View software program.

7. Identify specific steps taken to negate need for sole source provider.

Provide specific information regarding methods of search, geographic areas searched, and time-frame of search for alternate providers. If no efforts were made to identify and/or locate alternate goods/services, identify rationale for acceptance of sole source.

The DNA-View is highly specialized technical software and the service contract is only offered by Dr. Charles Brenner, the creator of the DNA-View software program.

8. Has your department bought these goods/services in the past?    X  Yes     No

If yes, who was the contractor/supplier and was the requirement competitively bid or sole source? What was the last date and price paid for goods/services?

Indicate whether the department has previously acquired the same goods or services. If the department has purchased the same goods or services, indicate if the purchase was based on a competitive process or a sole source. NOTE: If the same goods or services had been previously purchased based on a competitive process, provide additional details regarding why a sole source

request is being made for this purchase. If the same goods or service had been previously purchased, indicate the date of last purchase and the price paid.

The last contract was sole source at a cost of \$5,000 for the time period of 10/1/2011 to 9/30/2013.

9. What efforts have been made or are being made to reduce the Department's reliance on a sole source provider for these goods/services in the future? Describe specific steps, and/or alternate solutions under consideration.

The DNA-View is highly specialized technical software and the service contract is only offered by Dr. Charles Brenner, the creator of the DNA-View software program. No DNA relationship report can be issued without this statistical analysis which is based on the frequencies of various genotypes in different races. Any issue with the software will stop statistical analysis and issuance of the DNA relationship testing reports. The maintenance contract is required for maintenance and technical support for the DNA View program to resolve any issues and to receive any updates made to the program due to updated DNA technology or any change required as per DNA Relationship Testing Standards. As this is a specialized program, technical support is necessary for any issue to be resolved in a timely manner so that statistical analysis can be performed in DNA relationship casework and the reports can be issued in a timely manner.

10. What efforts were made to get the best possible price? Identify any initiatives made with the vendor to obtain the most favorable pricing. For example, indicate if any quotes or discounted pricing was requested from the vendor. Additionally, if discounts or special pricing was provided please indicate the amount of the discounts as a percentage of the original or published selling price. If any other value added services such as training or extended warranty were provided, please provide details on those services.

For the first time in 15 years, Dr. Brenner is increasing the annual cost from \$2,500 to \$3,600.

11. Why is the price for this purchase considered to be fair and reasonable? Indicate any price comparisons or other benchmarks against which the vendor's price was evaluated. For example, if a professional service, are the hourly rates comparable to those available for providers of similar services? If no price comparisons were made, please explain.

While this is a substantial increase, we have not seen an increase in cost from Dr. Brenner for 15 years. If we were to average this increase over the past 15 years, it amounts to an annual increase of 2.5%. We are entering into a 3 year contract, therefore, the price will be locked in until September 30th, 2016.

12. Amount to be paid: \$10,800

Approve  
 Disapprove  
 Hold

#### **D. Consent Agenda**

**CPB2013-517**

Department of Public Works,

A) Submitting an amendment (Subsidiary No. 11) to Contract No. CE0800032-01 with Anthony Allega Cement Contractor, Inc. for the grading, draining and paving of Eastland Road from Bagley Road to State Route 237 including the grade separation at the Conrail Railroad and the replacement of Eastland Road Bridge No. 27 over Lake Abrams Ditch in the Cities of Berea, Brook Park and Middleburg Heights for a decrease in the amount of (\$643,155.73).

B) Recommending to accept the project as complete and in accordance with plans and specifications.

C) Requesting authority for the County Treasurer to release the escrow account, in accordance with Ohio Revised Code Section 153.63.

Funding Source: Eighty (80%) percent federal funds, thirteen (13%) percent county funds using the \$5.00 Vehicle License Tax Fund and seven (7%) percent the Cities of Berea, Brookpark and Middleburg Heights.

Approve  
 Disapprove  
 Hold

**CPB2013-518**

Department of Development, submitting an amendment to Agreement No. AG1200233-01 with City of Bedford for the Neighborhood Stabilization 3 Municipal grant Program for the period 7/1/2012 - 6/30/2013 to extend the time period to 12/31/2013; no additional funds required.

Funding Source: Federal Neighborhood Stabilization Program (NSP) 3 funds

Approve  
 Disapprove  
 Hold

**CPB2013-519**

County Sheriff, submitting a Memorandum of Understanding with National Child Safety Council for the solicitation of donations from local area businesses for the provision of educational materials at County sponsored events in connection with the National Child Safety Project.

Funding Source: Donations

**Note: This item was held pending completion of ethics registration and training.**

Approve  
 Disapprove  
 Hold

**CPB2013-520**

Department of Public Safety and Justice Services, submitting an amendment to a grant agreement with Ohio Emergency Management Agency for the FY2009 Interoperable Emergency Communications Grant Program for the period 6/1/2009 - 12/31/2010 for a decrease in the amount of (\$5.99).

Funding Source: Interoperable Emergency Communication Grant funds

Approve  
 Disapprove  
 Hold

**CPB2013-521**

Department of Public Safety and Justice Services/Public Safety Grants, submitting an agreement with City of Bay Village for the purchase of equipment, valued in the amount of \$18,078.75, for the FY2007 Urban Area Security Initiative Grant Program for the period 7/1/2007 - 9/30/2010.

Funding Source: 100% FY07 Urban Area Security Initiative grant funds passed through to Cuyahoga County from the Department of Homeland Security (DHS) through Ohio Emergency Management Agency (OEMA).

Approve  
 Disapprove  
 Hold

**CPB2013-522**

Department of Public Safety and Justice Services/Public Safety Grants, submitting an agreement with City of Bay Village for the purchase of equipment, valued in the amount of \$247.50, for the FY2008 Urban Area Security Initiative Grant Program for the period 9/1/2008 - 8/31/2011.

Funding Source: FY08 Urban Area Security Initiative funded and is passed through to Cuyahoga County from the Department of Homeland Security (DHS) through Ohio Emergency Management Agency (OEMA).

Approve  
 Disapprove  
 Hold

**CPB2013-523**

Department of Public Safety and Justice Services/Public Safety Grants, submitting an agreement with City of Bay Village for the purchase of equipment, valued in the amount of \$396.00, for the FY2007 State Homeland Security Grant Program for the period 7/1/2007 - 3/31/2010.

Funding Source: 100% FY07 State Homeland Security Grant Program funded and is passed through to Cuyahoga County from the Department of Homeland Security (DHS) through Ohio Emergency Management Agency (OEMA).

Approve  
 Disapprove  
 Hold

**CPB2013-524**

Office of Procurement & Diversity, presenting voucher payments for the week of July 8, 2013.

Approve  
 Disapprove

Hold

**CPB2013-525**

Department of Development, presenting voucher payments between 06/27/2013 to 07/02/2013.

Approve

Disapprove

Hold

V. Other Business - None

VI. Public Comment – There was no public comment.

VII. Adjournment: The meeting was adjourned at 11:56 A. M. without objection.