



AGENDA
JUSTICE CENTER PROJECT EXECUTIVE STEERING COMMITTEE MEETING
Thursday, January 23, 2020
THE GLOBAL CENTER FOR HEALTH INNOVATION, ROOM 201
8:30 A.M. – Noon

Determinations – Shortlist of Alternatives for Detailed Evaluation
Diversion Initiative Report
Update: Interim Central Booking

Meeting Purpose

. . . “To refine the range of Development Alternatives to be analyzed in determining the best course of action to provide state-of-the-art Court, agency & detention facilities to provide enhanced public service and achieve better outcomes for the citizens of Cuyahoga County . . .

. . . “to prioritize the evaluative criteria to be considered by the Steering Committee for eventually determining the preferred Development Alternative for implementation . . .”

. . . “presentation of Diversion Initiative Report . . .”

. . . “update on Interim Central Booking.”

- I. Call to Order**
- II. Roll Call**
- III. Housekeeping & Protocol**
- IV. Purpose of Meeting; Review of Agenda**

V. Determination #1.23-1:

The Steering Committee has reviewed the preliminary comparison of nine alternatives for the future of the Cuyahoga County Justice Center and related facilities ranging from renovation and expansion on the existing site of both the detention center and the courts facility to construction of new separate or co-located facilities. To further the planning process and ultimately determine the preferred course of action for improved justice facilities to better serve the public, the Steering Committee determines that the following alternatives should be developed in greater detail for comparative evaluation and determination of a future course of action by the Steering Committee: *[Note: All nine alternatives shall be presented to and voted on by the Steering Committee. Alternatives receiving 10 or more votes shall be developed in greater detail for further evaluation.]*

Group 1 – Expand, Renovate or Replace Consolidated Justice Center in-place

Option 1a – **Maximum Reuse** (Jail II, Court Tower, PAB & Old Courthouse) – **Limited Courts Consolidation** (Domestic Relations Remains in Old Courthouse)

Option 1b – **Maximum Reuse** (Jail II, Court Tower & PAB) – **Full Courts Consolidation**

Option 1c – **Partial Reuse** (Jail II & Court Tower) **Rebuild on Existing Site, Full Courts Consolidation**

Option 1d – **Full Replacement**

Group 2 – Relocate Jail to a New Site; Renovate or Replace Courts & Related Agencies In-place

Option 2a – Relocate Jail to a New Site, Expand/Renovate Courts in-place

Option 2b – Relocate Jail to a New Site, Replace Courthouse in-place

Group 3 – Develop New Court & Jail Facilities on New Site(s)

Option 3a – New Courthouse & Jail on New Urban Site

Option 3b – New Courthouse & Jail on New Campus Site

Option 3c – New Courthouse on New Urban Site; New Jail on Separate Campus Site

- a. **Public Comment**
- b. **Discussion**
- c. **Motion by Steering Committee**
- d. **Further Discussion (if required)**
- e. **Vote**

VI. Determination #1.23-2:

The Steering Committee determines that the investigation should include a comparative analysis of improving the Old Courthouse to meet the needs and requirements of the Court of Common Pleas Probate Court and the Court of Appeals, should they decide to remain in the Old Courthouse, with the cost of including these courts in a consolidated Justice Center in all options; and, if they are to remain in the Old Courthouse, to include criteria for the repurposing of space vacated by the Court of Common Pleas Domestic Relations Court to assure that intended uses are compatible with the mission and work of the Courts.

- a. **Public Comment**
- b. **Discussion**
- c. **Motion by Steering Committee**
- d. **Further Discussion (if required)**
- e. **Vote**

VII. Determination #1.23-3:

The Steering Committee determines that the development of alternatives in all cases should include both a comparative analysis of objective, measurable criteria, as well as subjective criteria as outlined, with the final determination of subjective criteria subject to the review and decision-making of the Steering Committee. Further it is emphasized that total cost of ownership (capital + operational) over a 30-year period, time to occupancy and acceptability of location criteria are critical issues in the development and evaluation of alternatives.

- a. **Public Comment**
- b. **Discussion**
- c. **Motion by Steering Committee**
- d. **Further Discussion (if required)**
- e. **Vote**

VIII. Update on Mental Health Diversion Study, Final Draft and Request for Expression of Interest

IX. Update on Continued Work on Interim Central Booking

X. Discussion of Site Tours

XI. Other Business

XII. Public Comment

XIII. Next Steps / Next Meetings

- A. February 20, 2020 - Review Refined Alternatives / Evaluative Criteria**
- B. March 19, 2020 - Further Refinement of Alternatives & Initial Comparative Evaluation**
- C. April 23, 2020 - Discussion & Ranking of Alternatives**
- D. May 21, 2020 - Status Update**
- E. June 18, 2020 - Final Program & Master Plan**

XIV. Adjournment